

I.O.S.

M. I. A. S.

12 JUN 1980

R. S. DISCOVERY

CRUISE 106

5 - 22 DECEMBER 1979

**TRANS-ATLANTIC PASSAGE WITH EQUIPMENT TRIALS
AND HIGH RESOLUTION SEISMIC PROFILING**

CRUISE REPORT NO 90

1980

**NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
INSTITUTE OF
OCEANOGRAPHIC
SCIENCES
RESEARCH
COUNCIL**

INSTITUTE OF OCEANOGRAPHIC SCIENCES

**Wormley, Godalming,
Surrey, GU8 5UB.
(0428 - 79 - 4141)**

(Director: Dr. A.S. Laughton)

**Bidston Observatory,
Birkenhead,
Merseyside, L43 7RA.
(051 - 653 - 8633)**

(Assistant Director: Dr. D.E. Cartwright)

**Crossway,
Taunton,
Somerset, TA1 2DW.
(0823 - 86211)**

(Assistant Director: M.J. Tucker)

On citing this report in a bibliography the reference should be followed by the words UNPUBLISHED MANUSCRIPT.

R.R.S. DISCOVERY

CRUISE 106

5 December - 22 December 1979

Trans-Atlantic Passage with Equipment Trials
and High Resolution Seismic Profiling

Cruise Report No. 90

1980

Institute of Oceanographic Sciences,
Wormley, Godalming,
Surrey GU8 5UB

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Itinerary | 1 |
| Scientific Personnel | 1 |
| Ship's Officers | 2 |
| Cruise Objectives | 3 |
| Narrative | 3 |
| Project Reports | 5 |
| 1. Reconnaissance Survey of HLRW Disposal Area | 5 |
| 2. 2 kHz High Resolution Profiling | 6 |
| 3. Shipboard Analysis and Sampling of Sediment Cores | 7 |
| Equipment Reports | 8 |
| 1. Precision Echo Sounder | 8 |
| 2. Magnetometer | 9 |
| 3. Shipboard Computer | 9 |
| 4. Electro-magnetic Logs | 9 |
| 5. Photography of Cores and Records | 9 |
| 6. Hydraulic Main | 11 |
| 7. Air Compressors | 11 |
| 8. Conveyor System for Explosives | 12 |
| 9. Corer Compass | 12 |
| 10. Sonar Platform | 12 |
| 11. Meteorological Observations | 13 |
| Table 1 Periods during which Underway Equipment was used | 14 |
| Table 2 E.M. Log Calibration - Starboard | 15 |
| Table 3 E.M. Log Calibration - Port | 16 |
| Figure 1 General Track Chart | |
| Figure 2 Tensions on the Towed 2 kHz Receiving Array | |

ITINERARY

Departed Tyneside

1979 December 5th Day 339

Arrived San Juan

1979 December 22nd Day 355

SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL

| | | |
|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| R.B. Kidd | Principal Scientist | IOS Wormley |
| J.S.M. Rusby | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| R.H. Edge | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| R. Dobson | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| B.H. Hart | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| E.P. Collins | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| G. Lodge | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| N.I. Timmins | Mechanical Engineering | IOS Wormley |
| D.G. Bishop | Applied Physics | IOS Wormley |
| C.H. Hunter | Applied Physics | IOS Wormley |
| R.G. Rothwell | Geophysics | IOS Wormley |
| M.R. Saunders | Geophysics | IOS Wormley |
| R.F. Wallace | Workshop | IOS Wormley |
| A.W. Gray | Workshop | IOS Wormley |
| D. Lewis | Computer | RVS Barry |
| S. Crease | Sedimentology | Chelsea College, London |
| J.A. Luchford | Micropalaeontology | University College, London |

SHIP'S OFFICERS

| | |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| P. Moran | Master |
| K. Avery | Chief Officer |
| M. Putman | Second Officer |
| T. Harrison | Third Officer |
| D. Taylor | Radio Officer |
| R. Morris | Purser/Catering Officer |
| C. Latter | Chief Engineer |
| G. Batten | Second Engineer |
| J. Richardson | Third Engineer |
| A. Grattidge | Fourth Engineer |
| G. Gimber | Fifth Engineer |
| G. Greene | Electrical Engineer |
| G.A. Carss | Ship's Doctor |

CRUISE OBJECTIVES

Cruise 106 was to be a transit leg UK to the Caribbean which was to include reconnaissance sediment sampling and seismic profiling in a mid-Atlantic location for the HLRW research programme, together with testing and modification of various shipboard equipment both on passage and on station during sampling operations.

The time constraint that Discovery was to arrive in Puerto Rico for Christmas meant that the time allowable for deviation southward to the Great Meteor Seamount study area, to meet scientific objectives, was estimated at a maximum of four to five days. Some of the engineering objectives, including renewal and tensioning of winch wires and load telemetry during coring operations, were to be carried out while on station in the study area. Running up and commissioning trials by IOS personnel on the new hydraulic ring main system and tests of crane modifications were to be major engineering objectives of the cruise.

Other engineering objectives were performance tests on the sonar platform and airgun towing depth trials. These were to take place whenever convenient, when the ship's underway speed could be slowed and varied.

A subsidiary cruise objective was the development of a system of shipboard analysis and sampling of sediment cores.

R.B.K.

NARRATIVE

Discovery was originally scheduled to leave the refit port, South Shields, for ship trials and E.M. Log calibration on 30th November (334), to return for explosives loading at North Shields and to sail for Cruise 106 on 1st December (335). In the event, delays caused by repair work on a generator and by scheduling of explosives loading meant that Discovery left the Tyne at 1200/339, the 4th December, four days late.

Discovery sailed north in very good weather, moderate winds and good visibility, towards Blyth for calibration of the E.M. Log over a measured mile. Reversed traverses were done at varying engine revs: 150, 120, 100 and 80 revs. This successfully completed, Discovery sailed southwards for the English Channel and the launch point for the profiling gear in the Western Approaches. During this traverse, Discovery's engines were run-in at a maximum 150 revs and a start was made on developing a system for shipboard analysis and sampling of cores.

At 0800/341 Discovery was south of Start Point and Falmouth, making slow progress at 7 to 8 knots through the English Channel in force 6 to 7 winds.

The weather moderated overnight and on the morning of 8th December (342) the ship was making 10 knots with the skies clearing. Discovery arrived at the UK Data Buoy at 1200/342 and rendezvoused with RRS John Murray, which was on station conducting experiments. Our original launch point for the profiling gear was a few kilometres to the northwest and so it was decided that here the profiling gear would be deployed. The PES fish was operational by 1230/342 and the 2 kHz receiving array and magnetometer were streamed. Load tests on the array were run as the ship came up to speed, and were projected to reach 230 lbs at 11 knots. Both profiling watches, for the 2 kHz and the PES, were in operation by 1300. Data from the magnetometer were being logged by the IBM 1800 computer from 1500/342 (see Table 1).

At 1600/342 after a profiling run over the shelf edge into deep water, the ship altered course to due south in an attempt to avoid a force 10 gale, forecast to be rapidly approaching from the west. By 2120/342 it became necessary to recover the profiling gear because it was expected that the ship would have to in order to ride out the storm.

Two days were spent either hove to or in making an erratic 2 to 3 knot passage generally southwards in the Bay of Biscay. By 11th December (345) the weather had improved sufficiently to make 6 to 7 knots, although another gale was forecast. The profiling gear was streamed again at 1000/345 over the Charcot Seamounts. That afternoon Discovery sailed SSE at 13 knots to try to get well south, away from the prevalent storm "trails" before turning west.

At 0700/346 Discovery set a course 240° for the Azores and by 0800 was making 12.5 knots over the Iberia Abyssal Plain in clear skies, but still against a 27 knot headwind and heavy swell. The ship's speed dropped rapidly overnight to average only 8 knots by 0800/347, and wind speeds had risen to 50 knots.

The next day Discovery was back up to 12.5 knots average speed and was within 200 miles of the Azores. The inevitable decision was made to abandon scientific objectives in the Great Meteor Seamount study area and to make a direct run to Puerto Rico, whilst attempting en route to accomplish as many of our engineering objectives as possible. The setting up of a system of shipboard core analysis had gone well and the transatlantic traverse with the 2 kHz profiler would anyway produce a useful test of its potential.

At 1020/348 the PES and 2 kHz profilers were switched off since no clearance for profiling within Azores limits had yet been received.

Periodically between 1330/348 and 1400/349, the wire on the electric winch was reeled off and dumped in lengths over the side while underway, and work continued

on the hydraulic main system. At 1900/349 the PES and 2 kHz profiling systems were switched on again and watches continued.

By 16th December, 0800/350 Discovery had crossed Oceanographer Fracture Zone in good weather and was averaging 13.5 knots. The 2 kHz profiler records improved in response to improved sea conditions and lowering in ship motion and the recorder was displaying its best records yet with recognisable subbottoms to 50 metres below the seabed. That afternoon the wind on the beam unexpectedly began to rise sharply. Sea states 7 to 9 were encountered overnight and through much of the 17th December.

At 1300/351 a lifebelt was sighted and the ship made a series of tight turns to investigate, only to find that the lifebelt was a broken one with no name visible. Between 1850 and 2100 on Day 351 the 2 kHz profiler was off for repairs to the transmitter unit. Torque tests were run on the forward hydro, double barrelled capstan and midships winches while underway, employing deck anchor points.

Days 352, 18th December to 355, 21st December were spent underway at top speed, $13\frac{1}{2}$ knots plus, towards San Juan. During this period new 4 mm wire was reeled on to the starboard electric winch; all commissioning was completed on the hydraulic main system and torque tests were completed on the forward hydro, midships and double-barrelled capstan winches. Also, work was carried out on the transit system for the forward explosives magazine.

Discovery arrived within sight of San Juan at 0700/356, 22nd December. The engines were run at 100 revs for a cooling period. At 0800/356 PES and 2 kHz watches ended and the ship slowed to 4 knots to recover this gear and the magnetometer. At 0830 the sonar platform was deployed for a short period for trials on our port approach. The ship was tied up alongside its berth in San Juan at 1030/356, 22nd December.

R.B.K.

PROJECT REPORTS

1. Reconnaissance Coring and Profiling on HLRW Study Area

This part of the programme was abandoned when it was found on arrival in the region of the Azores that time was barely available for a direct transit to Puerto Rico, let alone a deviation south to the Study Area. Delays at the refit port and storm conditions off the European Margin had removed any possibility of scientific studies south of the Azores.

R.B.K.

2. 2 kHz High Resolution Profiling

(a) Modifications for Cruise 106

For Discovery Cruise 106, it was decided to begin again with the 2 kHz profiler development and build a completely new correlator with improved resolution, and also to build a hydrophone with a stronger cable termination which could withstand being towed at 13 knots. The new correlator has the same pulse length, because of the noise problem, but has a bandwidth of 1000 Hz giving a resolution of 1 ms and hence an improvement of 2.5 times. At the start of the cruise, after the new hydrophone had been deployed to the full extent of the cable, approximately 450 metres, the strain was taken up by a spring balance and the tension in the cable measured at various speeds up to 10 knots. Figure 2 shows the results obtained. One part of the system which could, and has, reduced the quality of the records in the past is the recorder itself. Although the EPC recorder is reliable, it does suffer badly from stylii pairing which results in regularly spaced gaps in the record and consequently ruins the trace-to-trace correlation. For this reason a Raytheon recorder was purchased and used on this cruise for the first time.

(b) General Performance

All the data was collected at maximum passage speed as governed by the weather conditions at the time. This for the most part meant heavy seas and swell, which are unfortunately not the best profiling conditions. This, therefore, resulted in most of the records being affected by considerable noise. At one stage, the hydrophone had to be pulled in 100 metres to reduce tension and this length was retained for the rest of the cruise.

During some periods, the sea conditions were favourable and the records looked reasonable. Overall the system worked well with only a few breaks in data. These were caused by a minor problem with the take-up spool on the recorder, and the output transitions of one transmitter going short-circuit. Once these faults were corrected the system worked reasonably well.

A separate internal report on the performance of the system by Kidd, Bishop and Rothwell has been prepared. This includes example illustrations of the records obtained during Cruise 106 and comparisons are made, both with previous 2 kHz records obtained from Discovery and with published 3.5 kHz records. Where weather conditions were good the Cruise 106 records are clearly an improvement on previous 2 kHz records. They compare favourably with 3.5 kHz records, giving sediment penetration and recognisable subbottoms to 50 metres plus, despite towing

speeds in excess of 12 to 13 knots.

D.G.B., R.B.K.

3. Shipboard Analysis and Sampling of Sediment Cores

A subsidiary objective of the cruise was to develop a system of handling and analysing cores on board ship. This would provide for the HLRW disposal programme a preliminary analysis and description to serve as a basis for future laboratory studies on subsamples at IOS Wormley, and other laboratories.

To this end, two unsplit sediment cores taken northeast of the Azores on an RRS Shackleton cruise two months previously, were brought along to provide material on which to practice techniques during transit to the study area.

A team of five (RBK, GR, SC, JAL, MAS) set up operations in the aft chemistry laboratory. The cores, each already split into two sections vertically, were split longitudinally using a circular saw and cheesewire. One half, designated 'ARCHIVE', was cleaned, visually described and its lithologies were analysed using smear studies. Core photography was carried out on this archive section. The other half, designated 'WORKING', was subsampled both for shipboard analysis of palaeontology, non-biogenic coarse fractions and carbonate content, and for shorebased X-ray diffraction grain size, LECO carbonate and other studies.

A standard format shipboard report was prepared on the two practice cores employing as illustrative material: visual core description forms, smear slide and coarse fraction descriptions, core summary plots and core photograph mounts.

Although this "DSDP" style system of core description analysis and data presentation was not used in earnest on Cruise 106, valuable experience was gained of the potential for such work on subsequent Discovery cruises in the HLRW programme.

R.B.K.

EQUIPMENT REPORTS

1. Precision Echo Sounder

The echo-sounder fish and Mufax were continuously used during the cruise. Most times, good results were obtained, however, faring slippage on the fish towing cable caused some problems in obtaining a good bottom echo towards the end of the cruise. The same fish, No.3, was towed throughout.

R.G.R.

2. Magnetometer

Operation of the Varian V-75 Proton Magnetometer was continuous throughout the cruise, except during storm conditions when the fish was brought inboard (from 2116/342 to 1000/345). Data spikes were thought to be caused by water in the RVS Barry fish, but continued after the fluid had been changed. The analogue chart recorder was not used; all data being logged on the shipboard computer.

M.R.S.

3. Shipboard Computer

The IBM 1800 computer system was used throughout the cruise to log bathymetric, magnetic and meteorological data. In conjunction with the Magnavox satellite navigation system, it provided satellite-corrected dead-reckoning. Track charts were produced on a daily basis and also on this cruise an attempt was made to produce track charts in Admiralty Plotting Sheet format on Mylar sheet. After initial problems with ink pens this was accomplished successfully. Initially an attempt was made to use pressurized ball pens, but it was found that their ink smeared very easily.

An E.M. log calibration was carried out at the beginning of the cruise and the appropriate new computer calibration constants were determined.

Carter depth correction areas were used for the first time on the computer during this cruise.

D.L.

4. Electro-magnetic Logs

Immediately after leaving South Shields, the two Electro-magnetic logs were calibrated over the measured mile at Blyth. One of these had just been fitted during the refit, while the other was of somewhat earlier fitting, both being of the new automatically deployable electro-hydraulic design by Colnbrooke Instruments Ltd.

As soon as the ship put to sea it was found that one pair of components were reversed on each log, in one case the Fore/Aft, and in the other the Port/Starboard. This was corrected by a wiring change and, with the logs switched to the calibration mode, all the repeater stations were adjusted to read the same.

On the measured mile we were able to do four pairs of runs at various speeds from 5 to 11 knots, corresponding to engine revolutions per minute of 150, 130, 100 and 80. Lack of time in the programme did not permit more than this. From

these runs, ground speeds were computed, and after making corrections for derived tidal currents, a factor was obtained for each E.M. log against the true velocity relative to the water mass. The means were taken of these factors for each log and new calibration constants applied to the computer. The digital readouts were also adjusted to read correctly. The data from which these factors was derived is shown in Tables 2 and 3 for each log.in condensed form.

Hydraulic controls

When first deployed on leaving South Shields the E.M. log controls in the engine room cofferdam space were used, so as to allow the operation of the hydraulic rams to be closely observed. Once the correct operation had been verified, control was switched over to the bridge. About a week after putting to sea, the engine room reported that all the E.M. log lights had gone out on the port log control box overnight. Investigation of the cause showed that the log could not be raised due to the circuits being locked out, water having gotten into the limit switches on the sea valve. In an attempt to regain control, this part of the circuit was linked out by hard-wiring across in the control box. This still had no effect and it was found that the limit switch at the bottom of the hydraulic ram was also affected by water ingress.

A dummy control box, consisting of toggle switches wired to the appropriate connector plug, was made up. By unplugging the cable from the control box, which served the limit switches, and replacing it with the simulator it proved to be a simple matter to raise the log by correct sequence of operation of the switches. Since no replacements were available for the limit switches aboard the ship, this log was left in a raised condition and operations continued using the Starboard log.

C.A.H.

5. Photography of Cores and Records

Tests were run to gain experience of core photography aboard ship. These were aimed at providing an accurate record of core lithology to supplement future shipboard core descriptions. The 'Archive' half-sections of cores were photographed after smear slide sampling, using a 'Polaroid' MP-4 land camera and copying stand. It was essential that the sections be photographed as soon as possible after splitting; before there had been any serious colour changes in the core lithology. The MP-4 camera used was fitted with a Tominon 135 mm lens which resolved fine detail. Illumination was provided by four 150w tungsten lamps. Some problems were caused by some sections rapidly drying out under the

lamps.

Each print measured 9 x 11 cms and covered 40 cms of core with overlap.

Laboratory tests had previously shown that Polaroid Type 52/Polaplan 4 x 5 land film was the most suitable of the Polaroid range in producing an accurate rendition of core lithology. Type 52/Polaplan film is a panchromatic, medium-contrast film having type B sensitisation and provides high quality prints. The correct exposure was determined using the Polaroid exposure guide but in some cases an adjustment of one stop was necessary. The optimum exposure was found to be a shutter speed of 1/125 sec at $f8\frac{1}{2}$. Print processing time was set at 15-20 seconds at 70°F as recommended by the manufacturers.

Once developed, all prints were coated to prevent scratching and fading. When dry the prints were cut and montaged to provide continuous sections and then mounted on standard format core photograph forms.

Originally, it had been intended to photograph each section with black and white FP4 and colour Kodachrome 64 35 mm film by fitting two 35 mm rangefinder cameras to a modified universal head, which would replace the MP-4 land camera on the copying stand. However the light-meter batteries in both cameras were "flat" making them unusable. Additionally, serious parallax errors were encountered. It was concluded that range finder cameras are unsuitable for this type of work and should be replaced by the single-lens reflex variety.

Sixteen selected sections of 2 kHz high resolution profiler record, including some 3.5 kHz comparison records were photographed to provide illustrations for a separate internal report on the 2 kHz profiler system.

The prints were produced using the Polaroid MP-4 Land Camera fitted to the copying stand. Previous work had shown that, in this case, Polaroid Type 51/High Contrast film is particularly suited to photographing profiler records. Lab tests confirmed this view. Type 51 film is sensitive to blue light only and this made necessary the reannotation of the records where they had previously been annotated in blue ink. The film yields black and white only with little intermediate grey tones. Hence, is well suited to this type of work. As with all high contrast film, the exposure latitude of type 51 film is limited and determination of optimum exposure values varied with subject type. With the 2 kHz records, an exposure of $f8$ at 1/60 sec, generally produced good results.

R.G.R.

6. Hydraulic main

During the cruise a considerable amount of work was carried out on this newly installed system:

- (a) There were initial filtration problems which caused the pressure relief valve across the Lucas pump to block, so that full line pressure of 3000 psi could not be reached. This was traced to an incorrectly installed filter element.
- (b) Leaks were found in two braised joints in the high pressure line, due to faulty workmanship. Each joint was cut out and replaced by an Hiduran coupling.
- (c) The recommended safety system start-up was fitted to the forward hydrographic winch, but had to be removed because of unsuitable valve pressures. It should be noted that with the existing system when the pump is started, full power is applied to all winches.
- (d) A centrifugal pump has been installed which requires a head to deck level to prime and start. It is planned to replace this with a displacement pump. The heat exchanger system is inadequate, so that in use the winches may only be run for $\frac{3}{4}$ hour before the oil temperature at the flow control valves exceeds 80 °C.
- (e) All units in the system were exercised: the double-barrelled capstan plus reeler, the forward A-frame and hydrographic winch, and the midships hydrographic winch. The double-barrelled capstan had a stationary pull of 3400 lbs, the reeler 120 lbs (after raising the applied pressure to 700 lbs), and the forward winch initially 5000 lbs, but this was subsequently derated to 3400 lbs.
- (f) Noise levels from the pump room were found to be unacceptably high in certain spaces. No significant noise came from the lines to the midships winch.

J.S.M.R.

7. Air Compressors

During the refit the two Reavell compressors and ancillary equipment were removed so that the after tank space could be renovated. After these had been installed, there was a considerable amount of commissioning work to do which continued during the early part of the cruise. In addition, No. 2 compressor received a 500 hour overhaul which included replacing valves on the second, third and fourth stages. New filters were fitted to both compressors, and No. 1 compressor was drained to determine the cause of low pressure. This was found to be due to loose oil lines.

J.S.M.R.

8. Conveyor system for explosives

The Conveyor System comprises of a deck roller conveyor which runs from under the loading hatch at the forward end of the Hold to the entrance of the Magazine Store and is fixed to the deck. There is a removable section, which extends the conveyor through the path of the outward opening Magazine door to just over its threshold, and a further removable section which extends a short way into the Magazine Store. A purpose designed wooden pallet runs on this conveyor to transport the 300 Kg. drums of Tovex plastic explosive, which are lowered by crane into the Hold, to just inside the Magazine.

From here each drum is lifted up with a compact hand-operated hoist, which is attached to the carriage of an overhead track conveyor. This conveyor has 3 turntables to rotate the carriage approximately 98° in the horizontal plane and so allow the drums to be loaded into the Magazine in 3 rows and stacked 2 high. Total capacity of the Magazine Store is 28 drums.

The carriage has a fail-safe hand-operated brake which is applied automatically, immediately a downward pull is removed, by 4 strong springs.

During the cruise, work was completed on this system for handling explosives in the forward hold. The deck conveyor system leading to the Magazine was fitted and the overhead track inside the Magazine was aligned and stops fitted to the turntable. The overhead trolley was modified to ensure smooth running and to enable drums to be double-stacked.

N.T., J.S.M.R.

9. Corer compass

A recording compass unit was fitted to the RVS corer, the control unit was modified and the system satisfactorily tested in the laboratory. Testing on the corer awaits sampling operations on a subsequent cruise.

J.S.M.R.

10. Sonar platform

After leaving South Shields work continued on recommissioning the sonar platform. The azimuth realignment motor was modified to bring it into line with the response rate of the azimuth drive motor. All cables from the azimuth junction box to the control console were renewed to enable a dust cover to be fitted over the control console. Certain wiring faults in the warning light system were corrected, and cables in the Electronics laboratory were remade to give greater flexibility in the layout of the laboratory display and control units. When

nearing San Juan the platform was deployed and tests of the azimuth response were made at speeds up to 11 knots. From these very brief trials it is clear that more time is needed to equalise the response to port and starboard and ensure that the speed of rotation is adequate.

DISCOVERY CRUISE 106

J.S.M.R.

11. Meteorological observations

Meteorological observations were logged automatically by the computer and manual checks were made each day at 12.00 from the following instruments:

- (a) Bridge screen thermometers (port and starboard)
- (b) Bridge barometer
- (c) M.O. sea temperature (R.A.S.T.U.S.)
- (d) M.O. anemometer (relative wind)
- (e) Ship's speed and course.

These readings and the corresponding data produced by the computer were recorded in a meteorological log to provide a running comparison. This, on analysis, showed a good correlation, indicating that the readings obtained were of good quality.

Data were obtained throughout the cruise. All scientific instruments functioned well, requiring only general servicing.

M.R.S.

TABLE 1 Periods during which Underway Equipment was used

| <u>Instrument</u> | <u>From</u> | <u>To</u> |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Echosounding fish | 1218/342 | 1018/348 |
| | 1900/349 | 0800/356 |
| Magnetometer | 1542/342 | 2116/342 |
| | 1000/345 | 0800/356 |
| 2 kHz profiler | 1800/342 | 2118/342 |
| | 1000/345 | 1022/348 |
| | 1909/349 | 1850/351 |
| | 2245/351 | 1401/352 |
| | 1511/352 | 0800/356 |

TABLE 2

E.M. STARBOARD LOG

| | T MIN: SECS | V_G | Cur. V_T | \bar{V}_L | $V_{G'}$ | d $\frac{V_G}{V_{G'}}$ |
|-----|----------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| RUN | | | | | | |
| 1A | 5:28 | 10.975) | 0.433 | 10.423 | 9.990 | 1.0987 |
| 1B | 5:04 | 11.842) | | 10.546 | 10.979 | 1.0786 |
| 2A | 6:11 | 9.704) | 0.442 | 9.426 | 8.984 | 1.0801 |
| 2B | 5:40 | 10.588) | | 9.241 | 9.683 | 1.0935 |
| 3A | 8:25 | 7.129) | 0.593 | 7.190 | 6.597 | 1.0806 |
| 3B | 7:13 | 8.314) | | 6.884 | 7.437 | 1.1179 |
| 4A | 11:03 | 5.430) | 0.794 | 5.767 | 4.973 | 1.0919 |
| 4B | 8:33 | 7.018) | | 5.243 | 6.037 | 1.1625 |
| | | | | | MEAN | <u>1.1005</u> |

Original cal voltage 8.45
 ∴ new voltage set to 9.299

TABLE 3

| RUN | T MIN: SECS | V_G | <u>E.M. PORT LOG</u> | | | α $\frac{V_{G1}}{V_G}$ |
|-----|----------------|---------|----------------------|-------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Curr. V_T | \bar{V}_L | V_{G1} | |
| 1A | 5:28 | 10.976) | 0.433 | 11.077 | 10.644 | 1.0312 |
| 1B | 5:04 | 11.842) | | 11.318 | 11.751 | 1.0077 |
| 2A | 6:11 | 9.704) | 0.422 | 10.135 | 9.693 | 1.0011 |
| 2B | 5:40 | 10.588) | | 9.928 | 10.370 | 1.0210 |
| 3A | 8:25 | 7.129) | 0.593 | 7.632 | 7.039 | 1.0128 |
| 3B | 7:13 | 8.314) | | 7.351 | 7.944 | 1.0466 |
| 4A | 11:03 | 5.430) | 0.794 | 6.126 | 5.332 | 1.0184 |
| 4B | 8:33 | 7.018) | | 5.969 | 6.763 | 1.0377 |
| | | | | | MEAN | <u><u>1.0221</u></u> |

Original Cal. Voltage 8.75

∴ New Voltage should be 8.94




FIG 1

2 KHZ ARRAY TENSION \propto SPEED WITH
ALL 450 YDS DEPLOYED




FIG 2

CRUISE REPORTS

RRS "DISCOVERY"

CRUISE NO.

1 JUN - AUG 1963
2 AUG - DEC 1963
3 DEC 1963 - SEP 1964

REPORT NO.

1*
2*
3*

NIO CR**

4 FEB - MAR 1965
TO TO
37 NOV - DEC 1970
38 JAN - APR 1971
39 APR - JUN 1971
40 JUN - JUL 1971
41 AUG - SEP 1971
42 SEP 1971
43 OCT - NOV 1971
44 DEC 1971
45 FEB - APR 1972
46 APR - MAY 1972
47 JUN - JUL 1972
48 JUL - AUG 1972
49 AUG - OCT 1972
50 OCT 1972
51 NOV - DEC 1972
52 FEB - MAR 1973
53 APR - JUN 1973

4
TO
37
41
40
48
45
49
47
46
50
55
52
53
57
56
54
59
58

IOS CR***

54 JUN - AUG 1973
55 SEP - OCT 1973
56 OCT - NOV 1973
57 NOV - DEC 1973
58 DEC 1973
59 FEB 1974
60 FEB - MAR 1974
61 MAR - MAY 1974
62 MAY - JUN 1974
63 JUN - JUL 1974
64 JUL - AUG 1974
65 AUG 1974
66 AUG - SEP 1974
68 NOV - DEC 1974
69 JAN - MAR 1975
73 JUL - AUG 1975
74/1 + 3 SEP - OCT 1975
74/2 SEP 1975
75 OCT - NOV 1975
77 JUL - AUG 1976
78 SEP - OCT 1976
79 OCT - NOV 1976
82 MAR - MAY 1977
83 MAY - JUN 1977
84 JUN - JUL 1977
86 SEP 1977
87 OCT 1977
88 OCT - NOV 1977
89 NOV - DEC 1977
90 JAN - MAR 1978
91 MAR 1978
92 APR - MAY 1978
93 MAY - JUL 1978
94 JUL - SEP 1978
95 OCT - NOV 1978
96 NOV - DEC 1978
97 DEC 1978
98 DEC 1978 - JAN 1979
99 JAN 1979

2
5
4
6
4
14
8
10
11
12
13
17
20
16
51
34
35
33
43
46
52
54
59
61
60
57
58
65
67
68
69
70
71
74
77
79
77
75
78

CRUISE DATES

RRS "CHALLENGER"

AUG - SEP 1974
MAR - APR 1976
MAR - MAY 1978
APR - 1979

REPORT NO.

IOS CR 22
IOS CR 47
IOS CR 72
IOS CR 81

MV "CRISCILLA"

NOV - DEC 1978

IOS CR 73

RV "EDWARD FORBES"

OCT 1974
JAN - FEB 1975
APR 1975
MAY 1975
MAY - JUN 1975
JUL 1975
JUL - AUG 1975
AUG - SEP 1975
FEB - APR 1976
APR - JUN 1976
MAY 1976
AUG - SEP 1977

IOS CR 15 X
IOS CR 19
IOS CR 23
IOS CR 32
IOS CR 28
IOS CR 31
IOS CR 36
IOS CR 41
IOS CR 48
IOS CR 50
IOS CR 53
IOS CR 64

RRS "JOHN MURRAY"

APR - MAY 1972
SEP 1973
MAY - APR 1974
OCT - NOV
& DEC 1974
APR - MAY 1975
APR 1975
OCT - NOV 1975
AUG - OCT 1975
OCT - NOV 1976
MAR - APR 1977
JUL - SEP 1978

NIO CR 51
IOS CR 7
IOS CR 9
IOS CR 21
IOS CR 25
IOS CR 39
IOS CR 40
IOS CR 42
IOS CR 53
IOS CR 66
IOS CR 76

NC "MARCEL BAYARD"

FEB - APR 1971

NIO CR 44

MV "RESEARCHER"

AUG - SEP 1972

NIO CR 60

RV "SARSIA"

MAY - JUN 1975
AUG - SEP 1975
MAR - APR 1976
MAR 1977

IOS CR 30
IOS CR 38
IOS CR 44
IOS CR 63

RRS "SHACKLETON"

AUG - SEP 1973
JAN - FEB 1975
MAR - MAY 1975
FEB - MAR 1975
JUL - AUG 1975
JUN - JUL 1976
OCT - NOV 1976
JUL 1977
JUL 1979

IOS CR 3
IOS CR 18
IOS CR 24
IOS CR 29
IOS CR 37
IOS CR 45
IOS CR 49
IOS CR 62
IOS CR 80

MV "SURVEYOR"

FEB - APR 1971
JUN 1971
AUG 1971

NIO CR 38
NIO CR 39 X
NIO CR 42 X

DE "VICKERS VOYAGER" AND "PISCES III"

JUN - JUL 1973

IOS CR 1

* Reports 1 to 3 were published and distributed by the Royal Society following the International Indian Ocean Expedition.

** NIO CR: National Institute of Oceanography, Cruise Report.

*** IOS CR: Institute of Oceanographic Sciences, Cruise Report.

X Not distributed

