

RRS DISCOVERY
CRUISE 120

9 MAY - 1 JUNE 1981

BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL INVESTIGATIONS OF THE OCEANIC FRONT TO THE SOUTH-WEST OF THE AZORES

CRUISE REPORT NO 124 1981

MATURAL

INSTITUTE OF OCEANOGRAPHIC SCIENCES

1/3MAOO HOWNSON

INSTITUTE OF OCEANOGRAPHIC SCIENCES

Wormley, Godalming, Surrey, GU8 5UB. (0428 - 79 - 4141)

(Director: Dr. A.S. Laughton FRS)

Bidston Observatory, Birkenhead, Merseyside, L43 7RA. (051 - 653 - 8633)

(Assistant Director: Dr. D.E. Cartwright)

Crossway, Taunton, Somerset, TA1 2DW. (0823 - 86211)

(Assistant Director: M.J. Tucker)

On citing this report in a bibliography the reference should be followed by the words UNPUBLISHED MANUSCRIPT.

RRS DISCOVERY CRUISE 120

9 May - 1 June 1981

Biological and Physical Investigations of the Oceanic Front to the South-West of the Azores

CRUISE REPORT NO. 124

1981

Institute of Oceanographic Sciences, Wormley, Godalming, Surrey GU8 5UB

CONTENTS

	Page
Scientific Personnel	ii
Ship's Officers	ii
Objectives	1
Narrative	1
Equipment	6
(a) IOS Batfish and shallow CTD systems	6
(b) Plessey light meters	6
(c) 1134 Batfish computer system	7
Primary Production Experiments	7
Longhurst-Hardy Plankton Recorder	8
Phytoplankton, Chlorophyll and Nutrients	9
Table 1. Stations sampled from chlorophyll, nitrate and phytoplankton	11
Batfish Results	
(a) The Relationship between Chlorophyll Biomass and the Front	12
(b) Cruiser Bank Results	12
Physiological Experiments	13
Table 2. Summary of XBT data	14
Station List	15 - 25
Cruise Track Chart	
(a) Stations 10357 to 10370	

(b) Stations 10371 to 10376

SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL

A.W. Coombes

T.A. Rees

I. McGill

R. Cotter

G. Gimber

T.J. Comley

P.G. Parker

Chief Engineer

2nd Engineer

3rd Engineer

4th Engineer

5th Engineer

5th Engineer

Electrical Engineer

M.J.R. Fasham	IOS Wormley	Principal Scienti	.st
M.V. Angel	11		
J. Badcock	If		
P.R. Pugh	11		
Mrs C. Ellis	11		
S. Houghton	п		
Miss J. Horton	11		
P. Herring	11		,
J. Smithers	11		
R. Wild	п		
P. Domanski	11		•
M. Harris	n		
C. Jackson	RVS Barry		
D. Lewis	· ·	•	
T. Probert	n		
R. Williams	IMER		
D. Conway	11		
T. Platt	Bedford I.O.		
B. Irwin	ü		
Mrs. P. Lindley	11		
K. Jones	SMBA		
SHIP'S OFFICERS			
P.H.P. Maw	Master	D.H. Dixon	R.O.
E.M. Bowen	Chief Officer	F.S. Williams	Chief Petty Officer
S. Sykes	2nd Officer	L. Cromwell	P.O. Deck
R. Chamberlain	3rd Officer	D.S. Knox	P.O. Deck
	al tes and test		

R. Overton

Purser and

Catering Officer

OBJECTIVES

The cruise was designed to make further physical and biological investigations of a large meander in the frontal system separating east Atlantic from west Atlantic water in the vicinity of 32°N, 32°W. On Cruise 119 batfish and XBT data had suggested that this meander was in the process of forming a detached eddy and on Cruise 120 it was hoped to obtain further batfish profiles of this area.

The biological investigations were mainly centred on an investigation of the effect of the front on primary production, nutrient distribution and the fine-scale vertical distribution of zooplankton. Subsidiary experiments to be carried out were investigations on the zoogeographic distribution of <u>Calanus helgolandicus</u> in the area SW of the Azores (IMER), physiological studies of plankton luminescence and, if time permitted, a survey of the effect of a shallow sea-mount on chlorophyll production.

NARRATIVE

The ship sailed from Ponta Delgada, Sao Miguel, Azores at 09.30 on the 9th of May, 1981 and set course for 35°N 28° 30'W, which was intended to be the first of a series of stations and XBT launches along 28° 30'W down to a latitude of 31°N. We arrived at this position at 0804 on the 10th and began the first station (10357). Two CTD dips to 300m were made to obtain continuous profiles of temperature, salinity, chlorophyll fluorescence and oxygen concentration. Bottle samples were also taken for analysis of chlorophyll and nitrate concentrations. At 1330 an RMT 1+8 combination net was launched to provide live animals for physiological experiments. At 1600 two 30% water samples were obtained from a depth of 75m to provide material for the primary production experiments. Finally at 1650 an IMER Longhurst net was trawled between the surface and 560m and back. This combination of samplers was used a number of times on the cruise and will be referred to as a 'standard' station, although the depths of the nets and bottle samples were varied according to information obtained from the CTD profile.

Before proceeding to the next station the P.E.S. Fish was launched and the surface water pump was started to feed sea water to the thermosalinograph and fluorometers. XBTs were launched on the even hours and the position of all the

XBT launches on the cruise is given in table 2. At 2230 a gale warning was received and the master recommended a course alteration to 225° to avoid the expected passage of the storm centre.

At 0800/11-V course was altered to 180° and at 1230 the ship hove to for station 10358, which consisted of a CTD dip and 30 ℓ water samples for productivity experiments. This station was completed at 1348 and a southerly course was resumed. The 16°C isotherm sank from 145m at station 10357 to 270m at 10358. It had been found on Cruise 119 that a good criterion for the front between eastern Atlantic water (EAW) and western Atlantic water (WAW) was the 16°C isotherm crossing 200m. Using this criterion the front was crossed somewhere between XBT nos. 8 and 9. At 0024/12-V the ship hove to for station 10359 where a standard set of samples was obtained.

It was then decided to launch the batfish for a survey of the meander observed on Cruise 119. However it was found that the hydraulic ram on the batfish sheave had seized and after several hours trying unsuccessfully to free it, it was decided to remove it and use the alluminium sheave attached directly to the HIAB crane. This arrangement worked successfully but when the batfish cable had been streamed out it was realised that the control box for the batfish capstan had failed. After further repair work the batfish was finally launched at 1330. A course of 250° / was held until 1722/12-V when course was altered to 326° in order to traverse the main axis of the meander observed on Cruise 119. Before altering course the 16°C isotherm was at 300m but thereafter it rose steadily passing through 200m at 2150. From this time until 2133/13-V a steady course was held and the 16°C isotherm lay between 144m and 205m. At 2133 course was altered to 234° in order to cross the front which was expected to lie to the west. depth of the 16°C isotherm began to decrease and passed through 200m between 0200/14-V and 0300/14-V. This course was continued until 0800 by which time the 16°C isotherm had dropped below 300m. The batfish was brought inboard at 0914 and a standard set of stations (10360) in WAW was begun and completed at 2208/14-V.

A course was then set at 054° for a standard station in the frontal region between WAW and EAW, as determined from the previous batfish section. At 0806/15-V the frontal position was reached and the station (10362) was completed at 0544/16-V. For this station and all succeeding stations the procedure for obtaining the 30 ½ water samples for productivity experiments was modified.

Using the midships hydraulic winch a CTD profile was made to determine the depth of the sub-surface chlorophyll maxima and then the 30l Niskin bottle was sent down to the same depth using the electric winch. If more than one 30l sample was required the depth of the chlorphyll maximum was checked using the CTD system. A 0 to 1000m oblique combination net was also made at this station and it was decided to add this sample to the standard series of samples when time permitted.

Course was then set to 34°N 32°50'W for a standard station in EAW. This position was reached at 0809/16-V and the station (10364) completed by 1600/16-V. The ship then returned to the boundary position where a further series of samples were obtained (10365) finishing at 0445/17-V. We then returned to the station in WAW in order to obtain a 0-1000m oblique combination net sample.

It was then decided to launch the batfish in order to survey the western boundary of the meander in more detail and the launch was completed at 1448/17-V. A course was first set at 090° and then after crossing the front this course was held for two hours before altering course to 196° at 0143/18-V in order to cross the front to the south of the first crossing. This zig-zag process was continued in order to trace the course of the front southwards. At 1100/18-V the front was crossed going west to east and it was decided to continue in an easterly direction to traverse the whole meander. The front was crossed on the eastern side of the meander at 2230/18-V.

On Cruise 119 we had obtained evidence that after making a large meander centred around 32°N 32°W the front then veered eastwards till about 30°W and then headed south again. It was therefore decided to investigate this more fully by continuing the batfish run eastwards to the Cruiser Bank seamount, which would also provide an opportunity of investigating the effect of a seamount on biological productivity. From 2230/18-V the 16°C isotherm was below 200m indicating WAW and the front was next crossed at around 1150/19-V at around 32°N 28°30'W.

After crossing the front we continued eastwards across Cruiser Bank where a shallowest depth of 258m was recorded. At 1640/19-V course was altered to 221° to head round to the south of the Bank and at 2031 the course was altered to make a south-north traverse of the Bank. This traverse showed some interesting features in the density and chlorophyll fluorescence sections and it was decided to bring in

the batfish and make a number of CTD dips southwards across the bank and so the batfish was recovered at 0130/20-V. However, at this stage it was decided for logistic reasons to carry out some tests on the Bank of an RMT 1+8 net fitted with a near bottom echo-sounder. Two hauls were made and the echo-sounder worked successfully to within 33m of the bottom. A CTD profile and a set of 30l water samples were then made on the northern edge of the Bank (10368) and then a course was made for an area of intense vertical mixing and increased surface fluorescence levels observed during the batfish traverse. Unfortunately on returning to this position the CTD profile (10369#1) showed no signs of vertical mixing or high surface chlorophyll. It was then decided to steam southwards and stop for a station if high surface chlorophyll fluorescence was observed. Despite crossing some very intriguing fronts with strong surface convergence no areas of high surface chlorophyll were found and so after making one more CTD dip the search was abandoned.

At 1428/20-V the RMT 1+8 was launched for another bottom echo-sounder test which was also successful. A course of 170° was then set south of the Bank to search for a possible plume effect produced by the Bank and some further frontal features were crossed. A CTD dip was begun at 1900/20-V but electronic problems in the CTD telemetering caused this to be abandoned.

In view of the temporary problems with the CTD and the fact that no plume had been observed it was decided to finish the survey of Cruise Bank and return to the main meander and to survey the front from the position of the original standard frontal station (10362) northwestwards towards the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Accordingly a course of 194° was set at 1930/20-V with XBT launches scheduled every two hours.

At 0900/21-V the ship hove to in order to change the P.E.S. fish which had been producing noisy records. Also the closing cod-end device was tested on the electric winch but failed to work successfully.

At 0650/22-V we arrived at the front in the vicinity of 33°35'N, 33°38'W. A 0-300m CTD, some 30\ell water samples, and a vertical plankton net sample were made followed by an RMT1+8 net for live material (10371). At 1416/22-V the batfish was launched and a zig-zag course was made to trace the direction of the Front to the north-west. The front was crossed at 0030, 0412 and 0748 on the 23-V. At 1155/23-V the batfish was brought inboard briefly in order to take some 30\ell water samples

for productivity experiments and the batfish was re-launched at 1328.

As the front now seemed to be trending westwards it was decided to save time by altering course to reach the nearest point on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. During this leg the 16°C isotherm was always above 150m indicating EAW. At 0056/24-V the batfish was recovered just to the west of the Ridge in the vicinity of 35°29'N, 35°31'W. As the batfish was being recovered the thermosalinograph indicated a sharp rise in salinity indicative of the frontal region. It was decided to trawl the Longhurst-Hardy net to the west of the front in WAW and this was followed by a RMT1+8 0-1000m oblique to provide further material for IMER.

The ship then returned to the frontal position for a CTD dip and to obtain some 30 water samples (10373). The CTD was then transferred to the electric winch so that it could be used in conjunction with the Pugh underwater pump system. Water from the pump was passed through the Turner Designs fluorometer and the HIAC particle counter and a profile was made down to 100m.

A course of 270° was then made to a position about 10 miles west of the front (WAW) and a CTD dip, a further Longhurst sample and a 0-1000m RMT1+8 oblique were carried out (10374). It was then decided to make an XBT survey of the area to the SW of the front between about 35°30'W and the original front station at 34°30'W. This XBT survey was begun at 2210/24-V but at 0200/25-V the XBT launcher failed to operate and, after some fruitless attempts to repair it, it was decided to abandon the XBT survey and proceed to the original frontal station position (10362) to carry out a day-night mini-series. At 0440/25-V a course was set to cross the front at a latitude somewhere between stations 10372 and 10373 and the thermosalinograph indicated the front was crossed at about 0750. At 0940/25-V the ship hove to for a CTD profile, some 30ℓ water samples, an RMT1+8 materials haul plus another unsuccessful trial of the closing cod-end device. This work was completed at 1430/25-V and a course of 122° was set for the main frontal station. At 15.00 a large school of sperm whales and dolphins were sighted basking on the surface. The estimated number was 20-30.

At 1850/25-V the thermo-salinograph record indicated that we had passed through the front again and had entered WAW. During the day the XBT launcher had been repaired and so at 0000/26-V a short XBT survey was begun to determine the exact position of the front. This was completed at 0400/26-V and the frontal mini-series begun (station 10376). All the nets in this series were trawled in a direction parallel to the estimated position of the front in this area. During this station

further water samples were taken for productivity experiments and the closing codend device was tested successfully. On the 29/V the deep (0-6000m) CTD was fitted to the hydraulic winch and a 0-2000m CTD profile was made. The station was completed at 0406/30-V and course was set for Ponta Delgada which was reached early in the morning of 1/vi.

EQUIPMENT (M.J.R. Fasham)

(a) IOS Batfish and shallow CTD systems

The IOS batfish was fitted with a Neil Brown Instruments shallow (0-328m) CTD with an Oxygen sensor and a Chelsea Instruments in situ fluorometer. Apart from some temporary trouble with the batfish capstan controller the system worked perfectly throughout the cruise.

During the batfish runs, 43 surface samples were taken to calibrate the CTD conductivity sensor and most of the calculated conductivity ratios lay in the range 1.0052-1.0055. When the batfish was not being used the CTD and fluorometer were removed and connected to the midships hydraulic winch for 0-300m profiles. Using bottle samples it was then possible to calibrate the Chelsea Fluorometer against chlorophyll values. 76 calibration points were obtained during the cruise which yielded a calibration equation of $\log_e C = 1.481V-5.513$ where C is the chlorophyll concentration in mg m and V is the voltage output of the fluorometer in volts.

On station 10356#5 five oxygen samples were taken to calibrate the oxygen sensor. The resulting calibration was 0 = 0.866 0 ctd + 1.477 where 0 is the calibrated oxygen concentration (ml/ ℓ) and 0 is the oxygen concentration calculated using the oxygen algorithm derived by R. Pollard on Cruise 119 for the 1134 computer.

(b) Plessey Light Meters

At the beginning of the cruise the 1134 batfish system programs that calculated calibrated surface irradiance were finally debugged and regular daily plots were obtained. By comparing these results with the standard solarimeter it was realised that the calibration for the Plessey surface light meter (unit B) was wrong. In order to resolve this problem a cross calibration was made on the 11th

of May between the surface and the underwater Plessey light meter (unit A) sitting on the deck. Unit A had been calibrated twice since it was purchased and the calibration constants obtained each time were very similar. It was therefore assumed that A was correctly calibrated and so by comparing readings from the two units during a late afternoon and early evening period the calibration of B was adjusted to be the same as A.

(c) 1134 Batfish Computer System

This system functioned very well during the cruise. The system was down from about 1200/26-V to about the same time on the next day when the system kept hanging up due to lack of 'pool' space. Eventually it was realised that this was due to the HIAC particle counter being left connected to the computer without its servicing subroutine being in the sampling system.

A number of small modifications were made to the navigation suite of programs and navigation data was calculated during the whole cruise whether the batfish was operating or not.

PRIMARY PRODUCTION EXPERIMENTS (T. Platt, B. Irwin, P. Lindley).

We examined the variations between samples in the parameters of the curve relating photosynthesis and light for phytoplankton (the light-saturation curve). Experiments were made using the ¹⁴C method in temperature-controlled, artificial light incubators. Each light-saturated curve was based on some 30 data points. At the high end of the light gradient, intensities were high enough to cause photoinhibition of photosynthesis. The data will be fitted to mathematical models of the light-saturation curve. We also measured chlorophyll concentration, protein, RNA, DNA, particulate carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen and the inorganic nutrients phosphate, nitrate, silicate and ammonia. Preserved samples were taken for identification and enumeration of phytoplankton species. The samples studied were drawn by Niskin bottles, usually from the fluorescence sub-surface maximum, as located by a fluorometer attached to the CTD.

Various manipulations were made on the samples before or after the $^{14}{\rm C}$ measurements. For example, we ran the experiments at three other temperatures besides that from which the sample was drawn, with a view to seeing the short-term

response of the light-saturation parameters to changes in temperature. Twelve such experiments were done. In half of them the cells were fractionated according to size at the end of the experiments. The object here was to determine the relative contribution of the ultraplankton to the photosynthesis and to compare its temperature coefficient with that of the larger cells.

We also examined the time course of ¹⁴C uptake over periods up to 36 hours, with size-fractionation of the cells. Eight such experiments were done. In a further three experiments, the time course was perturbed by a step change in light intensity.

At two stations the photosynthesis parameters were measured at each of three depths. Some preliminary measurements were made with the DCMU-induced fluorescence as an indicator of photosynthetic activity. Six vertical profiles of DCMU-induced fluorescence were obtained and seven time courses.

Finally, chlorophyll bleaching at high intensities of both natural and artifical light was measured. One experiment was done in which the adjustment in photosynthesis parameters of a dark-adapted population to high light levels was followed over 8 hours.

LONGHURST HARDY PLANKTON RECORDER (R. Williams, D. Conway)

The Double Longhurst system, fitted with 56µm and 280µm mesh nets in a Lowestoft 30" frame, was deployed for 8 double oblique hauls to 600m and one to 1000m. The total number of samples collected in the ascent hauls and processed into tubes was 736. Settled volumes were measured on samples collected from the 280µm net and the results showed an even distribution of zooplankton biomass from the surface to the maximum depth sampled in the day hauls with an increase in biomass in the upper 100m in the night hauls.

Cursory examination of the hauls revealed similar species composition and vertical structure. Smaller copepods were evident in the upper 100m associated with the chlorophyll maxima; species such as Oncaea, Acartia and Nannocalanus minor These were replaced from 100-300m by a broad band of omnivorous/carnivorous species (Euphausiids, Chaetognaths and larger copepods) and from 300-600m the fish Cyclothone braueri was abundant together with Decapods and the copepods Pleuromamma robusta P. abdominalis and P. xiphias and species of the Euchaetidae.

The species which we were particularly interested in <u>Calanus helgolandicus</u> was represented in the hauls examined by small populations of Stage V copepodites and adults (male and female). Primarily these populations were observed below 350m and it was evident from their full oil sacs (their wax ester energy store) that the species had been actively feeding for prolonged periods. It is hoped that examination of the samples from the fine mesh (56ym) system will reveal the distribution of the younger copepodites and their nauplii stages although some difficulty is anticapated in this work because of the large population of Calanus gracilis which co-occur with C. helgolandicus.

Gear problems - a combination of poor paper transport in the recorder control unit of the fine-mesh system and gauze advance in the cod-end of LHPR 8 resulted in the samples being rejected for this haul. An electrical failure in coarsemesh recorder control unit in the early part of LHPR 9 resulted in no gauze advance and therefore no coarse mesh samples being taken. In the other 16 hauls all functions of gauze advance, and records of water flow, temperature and depth were good.

PHYTOPLANKTON, CHLOROPHYLL AND NUTRIENTS (K. Jones)

Vertical profiles of dissolved nitrate, phytoplankton chlorophyll-a and pheopigments in the upper 300m of the water column were determined from water bottle samples at a total of 14 stations in East and West Atlantic water and at the boundary of the two. (See Table 1). At selected stations water samples were preserved for phytoplankton identification and enumeration to identify the dominant organisms constituting the chlorophyll maxima and also to determine whether community differences between East and West Atlantic water existed.

In the absence of an autoanalyser, dissolved nitrate was determined manually. A system using a multichannel peristaltic pump and reduction columns containing cadmium wire allowed up to eight samples to be processed simultaneously. Chlorophyll-a and pheopigments were measured fluorometrically after extraction into acetone from particulate material retained on glass fibre filters (GF/C grade). The possibility of underestimation of chlorophyll due to loss of small size fraction particles through filters of this grade was investigated comparing results obtained using GF/C filters with those obtained with the finer GF/F filters. No significant losses were detected in the samples investigated.

Chlorophyll measurements on samples taken at discreet depths together with underwater fluorescence measurements at those depths were used to calibrate the underwater fluorometer used with the CTD and Batfish.

At all stations except two, nitrate concentrations in the upper 40m of the water column were less than $0.4\mu g$ -at ℓ^{-1} . Exceptions to this were found at St. 10360 where concentrations reached $0.9-1.0\mu g$ -at ℓ^{-1} and St. 10362, at the boundary where they were $1.0-1.8\mu g$ -at ℓ^{-1} . At the latter station upwelling may have caused surface enrichment.

Deep (300m) nitrate concentrations were generally higher in Eastern Atlantic Water (10-13 μ g-at ℓ^{-1}) than in Western Atlantic water (7-10 μ g-at ℓ^{-1}). For water of a given temperature below the euphotic zone, however, western water was richer in nitrate than eastern water. Thus nitrate/temperature diagrams could be used to distinguish the two water types.

Chlorophyll measurements on discrete samples in general confirmed fluorescence profiles recorded using the underwater fluorometer. Sub-surface chlorophyll maxima occurred at all stations at variable depths between 40 and 120m. Peaks of greatest magnitude occurred in the boundary region where highest chlorophyll concentrations in discrete samples reached $0.56\mu\text{g}\ell^{-1}$ at 45m at St. 10376. Short term variations in the depth of the chlorophyll peak were evident from underwater fluorescence measurements and may have caused under estimation of the size of the chlorophyll maxima in profiles determined from discrete samples.

Pheopigment peaks occurred at or slightly below the chlorophyll maxima. At some stations pheopigments constituted as much as two thirds of the total pigment present indicating high detrital levels.

Chemical, physical and physiological measurements made during this cruise will be used to predict water column chlorophyll distributions which can be compared with measured distributions of chlorophyll in East and West Atlantic water.

Table 1. Stations sampled for chlorophyll, nitrate and phytoplankton

Station	Date	Depth range	DN	Chlor	PC/PN	Phyt.
10357	10/5	0 - 300	х	х		
10358	11/5	0 - 250	х	х		х
10359	12/5	0 - 300	х	х		х
10360	14/5	0 - 300	х	· x		x
10362	15/5	0 - 300	х	х		х
10364	16/5	0 - 300	х	x	x	x
10365	16/5	0 -300	х	x		х
10368	20/5	0 - 300	х	X		Х
10370	20/5	0 - 300	х	x ·		х
10371	22/5	0 - 300	х	х		х
10373	24/5	0 - 300	x	х	x	
10374	24/5	0 - 300 •	х	x	х	
10376	25/5	0 - 300	x	x	х	

BATFISH RESULTS (M.J.R. Fasham)

(a) Relationship between chlorophyll biomass and the front.

Throughout the whole area the Chlorophylla distribution showed a sub-surface maximum at around 80m with surface values generally less than 0.1 mg m $^{-3}$ Chla The chlorophyll levels in the sub-surface maximum were consistently lower in WAW (0.1 - 0.2 mg m $^{-3}$) than in EAW (0.4 - 0.5 mg m $^{-3}$). Furthermore the chlorophyll biomass in the frontal zone was even higher with values up to 0.7 mg m $^{-3}$ being observed. On all the crossings of the front the salinity data showed that interleaving of the two water masses was occurring at the front and on a couple of sections there was some evidence of overturning. If this is a regular occurrence it would bring fresh nutrients up from below the pycnocline which would explain the higher biomass in the front.

(b) Cruiser Bank results

On the first west-east traverse of the bank the batfish results showed that the Bank produced short wavelength (3-4 n.m.) features in the σ_t surfaces. These waves were also observed in the other variables but the chlorophyll concentration in the sub-surface chlorophyll maximum remained virtually constant throughout the traverse (\sim 0.2 mg m⁻³). On the south-north traverse the picture was very different. South of the Bank the σ_t surfaces showed large excursions of up to 100m suggesting some sort of wake effect. Over the southern edge of the Bank there was a deep mixed layer and the sub-surface chlorophyll maximum virtually disappeared. In constrast the surface chlorophyll values were higher in this area of apparent increased vertical mixing than on either side. On the north edge of the bank this deep mixed layer was not found but the chlorophyll concentrations in the sub-surface maximum showed an increase to 0.4 mg m⁻³.

It was decided to bring in the batfish and return to the zone of increased mixing to study it in more detail and to obtain a nutrient profile. Unfortunately, after carrying out some net trials, it was some sixteen hours before we returned to this position and there was now no sign of the area of increased surface chlorophyll concentration either at this position or further south.

PHYSIOLOGICAL EXPERIMENTS (P. Herring)

A series of experiments on the physiology of the luminescence of a variety of mesopelagic animals were carried out. These experiements are described more fully in the Cruise 121 cruise report.

Table 2. Summary of XBT data

XBT	DATE		L	AT	LC	NG	DEPTH OF	ISOTHERMS	(m)
No.	DAY NO.	TIME		°N	c	W	15°C	16°C	
					 				
1	10/v-130	2002	34	48	28	39	177	147	
2	•	2202	34	31	28	38	162	141	
3	11/v 131	8000	34	16	28	48	127	105	
4	,	0200	34	5	29	1	141	110	
5		0406	33	53	29	16	168	128	
6		0600	33	41	29	30	141	118	
7		0800	33	27	29	45	200	171	
8		1000	33	8	29	44	261	184	
9		1200	32	48	29	45	371	300	
10		1208	32	46	29	45	324	269	
1		1500	32	33	29	45	326	261	
12		1700	32	13	29	45	336	290	
13		1900	31	53	29	45	343	300	
14		2100	31	34	29	45	286	212	
15		2300	31	14	29	45	367	310	
16	12/v-132	0022	31	0	29	44	348	289	
17	20/v-140	1939	31	35	27	54	341	241	
18	·	2100	31	40	28	7	286	241	
19		2305	31	47	28	29	279	229	
20	21/v-141	0100	31	54	28	49	314	250	
21		0302	32	2	29	9	356	274	
22		0501	32	11	29	31	317	261	
23		0700	32	19	29	52	354	288	
24		1139	32	31	30	17	307	254	
25		1302	32	37	30	32	332	271	
26		1502	32	45	30	54	321	250	
27		1710	32	51	31	17	307	243	
28		1903	32	55	31	34	302	246	
29		2100	33	1	31	55	297	210	
30		2303	33	9	32	17	238	186	
31	22/v-142	0056	33	16	32	39	172	165	
32		0258	33	25	33	1	160	116	
33		0503	33	30	33	23	211	126	
34		0554	33	32	33	31	256	169	
35		0633	33	35	33	38	289	224	
36	25/v-145	2359	33	39	33	59	384	316	
37	26/v-146	0054	33	34	33	51	356	281	
38		0128	33	34	33	44	374	297	
39		0159	33	34	33	38	348	257	
40		0229	33	34	33	32	345	263	
41		0259	33	35	33	26	282	220	
42		0329	33	35	33	20	277	197	
43		0400	33	35	33	15	238	167	

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN STATION LIST

CTD Neil Brown conductivity - temperature - depth probe

LHS2 IMER Longhurst-Hardy plankton net

LMD Plessey underwater irradiance meter

MS Rosette multi-sampler

RMT1 1m² rectangular midwater net

RMT8 8m² rectangular midwater net

RMT1M-n $\,$ nth net of 1m² rectangular midwater combination net

RMT8M-n $\,$ nth net of $8m^2$ rectangular midwater combination net

WB30 30% Niskin bottle

STN	. DATE 1981		POSIT Lat	IOH Long	GEAR	DEP		FISHING TIME GMT	REMARKS	MEAN Sound M.
1035; #	7 10/5 1		0.2N 2 0.1N 2		CTD MS UFL LMD	0 -	300	0951-1111	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3513
10357			0.8H 2 59.9H 2		RMT 1 RMT 8	618-	910	1400-1500 Day	MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 3.06 KM.	
10353			59.6N 2 59.7N 2		NB 38	75-	75	1600-1629	2 CASTS	
10357			59.4N 2 57.9N 2		LHS2	8 -	574	1652-1807 Day	COARSE NET 435, FINE NET 415	3610
∺ 19358 6 # 1	3 11/5 l	32 32	44.4H 2:	9 46.1W 9 45.7W	CTD MS UFL LMD	8-	300	1231-1308	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3231
10358 # 2	3 11 / 5		44.5N 25 44.5N 25		WB 30	100-	109	1319-1325		3229
10358 # 3			44.5N 25		₩B 39		100	1334-1346	·	3229
10359 # 1	12/ 5	31 31	0.2N 29 2.5N 29		LHS2	8 -	606	0040-0155 Night	COARSE HET 46S, FINE HET 44S	4302
10359 # 2	12/ 5		4.2N 25 7.3N 25		RMT 1 RMT 8	50-	76 0		MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 5.65 KM.	
10359 # 3	12/ 5	31 31	8.5N 29 8.5N 29	9 48.9ผ 9 48.6ผ	CTD MS UFL LMD	8 -	300	0540-0620	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	4299

.

.

		1981	LHI	LUNG	(PI	,	in.		M.
	19359	12/ 5	31 8.4N 29 31 8.5N 29		30 30-	90	0652-0718	3 CASTS TO 30,75 & 90N.	4297
	19369 # 1	14/ 5	33 0 1N 34 33 0 2N 34		30 30-	150	0933-1015	3 CASTS TO 30,110 & 150N.	3841
			33 8.3H 34 33 2.5H 34		S2 9 -	574	1038-1203 Day	COARSE HET 50S. FINE HET 535	3341
			33 1.7H 34 32 59.3H 34		T 1 895- T 8	1919		MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 6.61 KM.	3866
17			32 58.7N 34 32 58.5N 34		L	300	1600-1640	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3866
			32 58.4N 34 32 58.3N 34		L	300	1729-1754	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3865
	10360 # 6	14/ 5	33 3,7N 34 33 5.2N 34			1999		MATERIALS HAUL Flow Dist. 3.15 km.	
	19361 # 1	15/ 5	33 23 9H 33 33 25,4H 33		T 1 100- T 8	300	9291-0391 Night	MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 3.73 KM.	
	19361 # 2	15/ 5	33 26 7N 33 33 29.6N 33		T 1 898- T 8	1000	0409-0609 HIGHT	MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 6.29 KM.	
			33 34.9N 33 33 34.4N 33			300	0319-0358	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3346

DEPTH FISHING TIME

GHT

(M)

REMARKS

MEAH

SOUND

SIN. DATE

1981

POSITION

LAT LONG

GEAR

LMD

	\$1N.	DATE 1981	POSITION AT LONG	GEAR	DEPTH F (M)	ISHING TIME GMT	REMARKS	MEAH Sound M.
	1036? # 2	15/ 5	ØN 33 32.4W 7N 33 32.1W	CTD MS UFL LMD	0 - 90	0926-0953	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3332
	10362	15/ 5	6N 33 32.1W 4N 33 31.9W	MB 30	60- 60	0958-1016	2 CASTS TO 60M.	3332
	10362 # 4	157 5	5N 33 31.40 0N 33 23.10	LH\$2	0- 640	1037-1253 Day	COARSE NET 495, FINE NET 435	3332
18	# 5	15/ 5	3N 33 32.0W 4N 33 32.0W	CTD WB 30 UFL LMD	0- 100	1416-1530	3 CASTS TO 49,56 & 57M CTD YO-YO	3351
-		157-5	1N 33 31.1W 4N 33 29.2W	RMT 1 RMT 9	5-1900		OBLIQUE HAUL FOR IMER FLOW DIST. 3.99 KM.	
	10362	15/ 5	4N 33 28.08 7N 33 31.20	RMT 1 RMT 8	1010-1500		NATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 13.39 KM.	
	18363	16/ 5	2N 33 10.4W 9N 33 4.4W	RMT 1 RMT 8	500- 700		MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 9.75 KM.	
	19364 # 1	16/ 5	2N 32 50.9W ON 32 49.9W		9- 399	0809-0859	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3561
-	10364 # 2	16/ 5	8N 32 49.8W 7N 32 49.8W		9-300	8935-1000	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3561
	10364 # 3	167 5	7N 32 49.8W 7N 32 50.0W	CTD WB 30 UFL LMD	9- 100	1010-1054	2 CASTS TO 61 & 59M CTD YO-YO	3561

	SIN.	DATE 1981	POSITI .at	ON Long	GEAR	DEPTH F	ISHING TIME GNT	RENARKS	NEAN Sound M.
	183 64 # 4	167 5	9.9N 32 3 7N 32		LHS2	0- 616	1110-1300 Day	COARSE HET 415, FINE NET 395	
	10364 # 5	16/ 5	4.1H 32 5.0H 32		RMT 1 RMT 8	5-1000	1320-1427 Day	OBLIQUE (EAW) FLGW DIST. 3.16 KM.	
	18765 # 1	16/ 5	2.3H 33 2.2H 33		××	0- 150	2043-2053 Dusk	FINE MESH NET - VERTICAL HAUL	2330
	19365 # 2	16/ 5	2.1H 33 L.5H 33	32.39 32.19		8- 78	2104-2151	3 CASTS TO 43M CTD YO-YO	3330
19	10365 # 3	16/ 5		32.3W 30.9W		0- 300	2104-2300	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3300
		16/ 5 17/ 5	 9.0N 33 2.9N 33	30.0W 24.5W	RMT 1 RMT 8	5-1010		OBLIQUE (FRONT) FLGW DIST. 8.40 KM.	
	10365 # 5	17/ 5	0.2N 33 3.5N 33		LHS2	0- 670	0237-0442 NIGHT	COARSE NET 488, FINE HET 418	
	10366	17/ 5	ð.3N 34 ð.2N 34		××	0- 150	1198-1115 Day	FINE MESH NET - VERTICAL HAUL	2635
	18366	17/ 5			RMT 1 RMT 8	5-1000		OBLIQUE (WAW) FLOW DIST. 6.68 KM.	
	10367	20/ 5	5.0H 27 2.4H 27	59.1W 58.2W	RMT 1 RMT 8	655- 895	0311-0411 NIGHT	MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 4.13 KM.	1865
	19367	20/ 5	7.3N 27 3.8N 28	58.5¥ 0.6⊌	RMT 1 RMT 8	150- 350		ALTIMETER TRIAL Flow Dist. 5.28 km.	

SIN.	1051		POS Lat		LON		GEAR	DEP (M		FI	SHING TIME GMT		NEAN Sound M.
10368 # 1	20/ 5	32 32	7.1N 7.2N	27 27	58. 57.	8 M 0 M	CTD MS UFL LMD	0 -	30	0	0926-0955	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	1083
	20/ 5						CTD UB 30 UFL	110-	11	8	0928-1009	3 CASTS TO 110M.	1101
10369	20/ 5		57.1N 57.0N				CTD UFL	0 -	261	0	1201-1222		272
10370 # 1	20/ 5	31 5 31 5	51.4N 51.4H	28 27	0. 59.	1 W 7 W	CTD MS UFL LMD	9 -	301	8	1340-1413	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	370
10370	20/ 5		52.0N 54.4N				RMT 1 RMT 8	230-	276			ALTIMETER TRIAL - RMT8 CATCH MINUTE FLOW DIST. 4.30 KM.	
10371 # 1	22/ 5		14.7M 13.7N				NB 38	79-	7 9	9 (072 0-0 800	3 CASTS TO CA. 79N.	3234
19371 # 2	22/ 5	33 3 33 3	13.6N 13.0N	33 33	38. 38.	5 W 1 W	CTD MS UFL LMD	.0 -	306	0 (3804-0832	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	3234
	22/ 5						xx	Ø -	125	5 6 I	9951-0955 Day	FINE MESH NET - VERTICAL HAUL	3276
10371 # 4	22/ S						RMT 1 RMT 8	40-	786			MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 12.30 KM.	
	23/ 5						WB 30	67-	67	7 1	239-1312	3 CASTS TO CA. 67N.	3315

	\$1N.	DATE 1981	POSITION Lat Long	GEAR DE		FISHING TIME GNT	REMARKS	MEAN SGUND M.
	10373		35 26.9N 35 31.7W 35 24.7N 35 42.9W	LHS2 0	- 596	9112-0330 Hight	COARSE HET 478, FINE NET 418	2200
	10373		35 24.4N 35 42.2W 35 22.7N 35 35.3W	RMT 1 5	-1020	9495-0609 Hight	OBLIQUE HAUL FOR IMER FLOW DIST. 7.66 KM.	
	10373 # 3			RMT 1 5	- 495	9712-0858 Dawn	MATERIALS HAUL FLOW DIST. 7.16 KM.	
	10373 # 4		35 21.0N 35 28.8W 35 20.6N 35 28.5W	CTD 0 WB 30 UFL LMD	- 100	1096-1040	3 CASTS TO CA.86M.	1937
21			35 20.6N 35 28.5W 35 20.3N 35 28.4W	CTD 8 NS UFL LMD	- 300	1041-1109	WB @ STANDARD DEPTHS	1937
	10373 # 6		35 19.3N 35 28.1W 35 18.7N 35 27.8W		- 100	1235-1322	PUMP PROFILE - PARTICLE COUNTING	1988
	18374 # 1		35 16.8N 35 46.2W 35 16.2N 35 46.9W		- 300	1531-1619	WB • STANDARD DEPTHS	3236
	10374		35 15.8N 35 46.4W 35 14.2N 35 55.0W	LHS2 0	- 638	1646-185 0 Day	COARSE NET 4887 FINE NET NO SAMPLES	3195
	10374		35 13.8N 35 56.6W 35 12.6N 36 3.8M	RMT 1 5	-1090	1930-2117 DUSK	OBLIQUE FLOW DIST. 6.59 KM.	
	10375 # 1		34 30.4N 35 26.1W 34 25.7N 35 31.3W	CTD 8 WB 30 UFL LMD	- 100	0941-1028	3 CASTS TO 60-65M.	2459

		•
	١.)

.

SIN.	DATE 1981	POSITION Lat Long	GEAR DEPTH (M)	FISHING TIME RE	\$0	AN UND M.
10375 # 2	25/ 5	34 25.7N 35 31.3W 34 25.5N 35 31.7W	CTD 8- 38 UFL LMD	0 1029-1053	2	501
18375 # 3	25/ 5	34 28.4N 35 23.7W 34 26.7N 35 22.9W	RMT 1 608-80	8 1241-1341 MATE Day Flow	RIALS HAUL Dist. 4.00 km.	
10376 # 1	26/ 5	33 33.5N 33 27.8W 33 33.1N 33 27.6W	CTD 0-30 MS UFL LMD	9 9655-9735 WB @	STANDARD DEPTHS 3	138
1037 6 # 2	26/ 5	33 33.1N 33 27.6W 33 32.7N 33 27.4W	CTD 0- 5 WB 30 UFL LMD	9 0740-0814 3 CAS	TS TO 44-47M. 3	138
10376 # 3	26/ 5	33 29.8N 33 27.4W 33 26.7N 33 26.2W	RMT1M-1 5- 4 RMT8M-1	3 1156-1256 ABOYE Day Flow	CHLOROPHYLL MAXINUN DIST. 3.93 KM.	
1037€ # 4	26/ 5	33 26.8N 33 26.3W 33 20.5N 33 23.9W	RMT1M-2 40- 6 RHT8M-2		LOROPHYLL MAXIMUM (?) DIST. 8.00 KM.	
10376 # 5	26/ 5		RMT1M-3 68- 20 RMT8M-3		CHLOROPHYLL MAXIMUM Dist. 7.55 km.	
10376 # 6	26/ 5	33 10.6N 33 22.5W	CTD 8- 99 WB 30 UFL LMD) 1844-1928 4 (AS	TS TO 86-8M. 36	624
10376 # 7	26/ 5		RMT1N-1 800- 900 RMT8N-1		DIST. 2.65 KM.	
10376 # 8	26/ 5 27/ 5		RMT1M-2 900-1000 RMT8M-2	2316-0016 Night Flow	DIST. 3.93 KM.	

•

	STN.		POSITION Lat Long	GEAR	DEPTH (M)	FISHING TIME GMT	•	NEAN Sound M.
	10376	27/ 5	33 12.1N 33 26.2W 33 14.3N 33 27.7W			8016-0116 NIGHT	FLOW DIST. 3.87 KM.	
	10376		33 16.9N 33 29.7W 33 18.7N 33 30.6W	RMT1M-1 RMT8M-1		0303-0403 Hight	FLOW DIST. 3.06 KM.	
	10376		33 18.7N 33 30.6W 33 20.6N 33 31.8W		602- 705	0403-0503 Night	FLOW DIST. 3.69 KM.	
	10376	27/ 5	33 20.6H 33 31.8W 33 22 3H 33 33.3W			0503-0603 Night	FLOW DIST. 3.53 KM.	
	10376 # 13		33 20.1N 33 32.4W 33 16.4N 33 30:6W		500- 605	0808-0938 Day	FLOW DIST. 5.53 KM.	
23	10376	27/ 5	33 16.5N 33 38.6W 33 12.9N 33 28.5W	RMT1H-2 RMT8H-2	605- 700	0938-1109 Day	FLOW DIST. 5.75 KM.	
	10376 # 15	27/ 5	33 12.9N 33 28.5W 33 9.8N 33 26.4W	RMT1M-3 RMT8H-3	69 0- 798	1109-1239 Day	FLOW DIST. 6.59 KM.	
	10376 # 16		33 7.5N 33 25.2W 33 9.7N 33 24.4W		200- 300	1348-1518 Day	FLOW DIST. 5 53 KM.	
	10376 # 17		33 9.7N 33 24.4W 33 12.2H 33 23.5W	RMT1M-2 RMT8M-2	300- 400	1518-1648 Day	FLOW DIST. 6.00 KM.	
	10376		33 12.2N 33 23.5W 33 14.7N 33 22.6W			1648-1818 Day	FLOW DIST. 5.33 KM.	
			33 14.5N 33 22.6W 33 13.7N 33 22.5W	CTD NB 30 UFL LMD	Ø- 30 0	1907-1944	1 CAST TO 61M.	3614
			33 13.2N 33 22.4W 33 11.9N 33 22.2W	CTD	0- 300	2008-2116	ABORTIVE THERMISTOR CALIBRATION	3614

STN.		DATE	POSITION		GEAR	DEPTH	FISHING TIME	REMARKS
		1981	LAT	LONG		(H)	GMT	
	10376	28/ 5	33 2.3N 33	21.86	RMT1M-1	200- 300	0036-0136	
	# 21		32 58.9N 33	21.6W	RMT8M-1		NIGHT	FLOW DIST. 4.15 KM.
	10376	28/ 5	32 59.0N 33	21.68	RMT1M-2	300- 400	0136-0236	
	# 22		32 55.9N 33	21.40	RMT8M-2		HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 4.17 KM.
	18376	28/ 5	32 56.0N 33	21.49	RMT1M-3	400- 500	0236-0336	·
	# 23		32 53.1H 33	20.96	RMT8M-3		HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 3.66 KM.
	18376	28/ 5	32 51 2H 33	20.6W	CTD	0- 300	0440-0540	THERMISTOR CALIBRATION
	# 24		32 50.1N 33	20.3W				
	18376	287 5	32 51.6N 33	17.34	RMT1M-1	1095-1205		
	# 25		32 54.9H 33	15.50	RMT8M-1		DAY	FLOW BIST. 4.91 KM.
24	10376	28/ 5	32 54 8N 33	15.6W	RMT1M-2	1205-1305	0948-1118	
	# 26		32 58,1N 33	14.6W	RMT8H-2		BAY	FLOW DIST. 5.51 KM.
	10376	28/ 5	32 58.0N 33	14.6⊌	RMT1M-3	1295-1400	1118-1248	
	# 27		33 1.3N 33	13.9ម	RMT8M-3		BAY	FLOW DIST. 5.37 KM.
	10376	28/ 5	33 4.0H 33	15.50	RMT1M-1	795- 900	1503-1633	
	# 28		33 6.3N 33	18.6ម	RMT8M-1			FLOW DIST. 5.57 KM.
	10376	28/ 5	33 6.3N 33	18.64	RMT1M-2	900-1005	1633-1803	
	# 29		33 8.2N 33	21.50	RMT8M-2		DAY	FLOW DIST. 5.21 KM.
	10376		33 8.2N 33	21.50	RMT1M-3	1005-1100	1803-1933	
	# 30		33 9,7N 33	24.19	RMT8M-3		DAY	FLOW DIST. 5.07 KM.
	19376	28/ 5	33 8.0N 33	25.5W	RMT1M-1	5- 25	2217-2317	ABOYE CHLOROPHYLL NAXIMUN
	# 31		33 8.6H 33	27.1W	RMT8H-1		NIGHT	FLOW DIST. 3.46 KM.
	10376	28 / 5	33 8.6N 33	27. 1U	RMT1M-2	25- 130	2317-0017	IN CHLOROPHYLL MAXIMUM (?)
	# 32	29/5	33 9.2N 33	28.7⊌	RMT8M-2		HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 3.48 KM.

MEAN Sound M.

	SIN.			GEAR	DEPTH F	ISHING TIME	REMARKS	MEAN Sound
		1981	LAT L	ONG	(M)	GMI		M.
	10376	50 - 5	77 A OU 77 A	6 7U DMT1N_7	170- 205	0017-0117	BELOW CHLOROPHYLL MAXIMUM	
	# 33		33 10.2N 33 3		130- 203	NIGHT	FLOW DIST. 3.64 KM.	
	10376	29/ 5	33 12.1N 33 3	2.90 RNT1M-1	1100-1200	0306-0436		
			33 14.2N 33 3			HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 4.19 KM.	
	18376	29/ 5		6.0W RMT1M-2		0436-0606		
	# 35		33 16.1H 33 3	9.0W RMT8M-2		NIGHT	FLOW DIST. 5.42 KM.	
		29/ 5		0.1W CTB	8-2000	0718-0912		3557
	# 36		33 14.6H 33 4	0.4W MS		•		
		29/ 5		9.7W RMT1M-1	1400-1500	1044-1230	T. A.I. D. A.T T. A.T. WH	
	# 37		33 19.3N 33 3	6.9W RMT8M-1		ВАҮ	FLOW DIST. 5.63 KM.	
25	10376	29/ 5		7.00 RMT1M-2	1500-1600	1230-1415		
	# 38		33 22.3H 33 3	4.0W RMT8M-2		DAY	FLOW DIST. 6.73 KM.	
	10376	29/ 5		4.00 RMT1M-3	1600-1700	1415-1600		
	# 39		33 25.3N 33 3	1.46 RMT8M-3		DAY	FLOW DIST. 6.69 KM.	
	10376	29/ 5	33 26.4N 33 2	9.3W LHS2	0- 996	1740-2015	COARSE NET NO SAMPLES, FINE NET 50S	
	# 40		33 28.9N 33 2	0.9W		DAY		
	10376	29/ 5		6.3W RMT1M-1	1300-1400	2304-0024		
	# 41	30/ 5	33 20 6N 33 1	4.5W RMT8M-1		HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 4.84 KM.	
	10376	30/ 5		4.6W RMT1M-2		0024-0144	T. O. D. CT F. AD V.	
	# 42		33 17.9N 33 1	2.8W RMT8M-2		NIGHT .	FLOW DIST. 5.19 KM.	
			33 18.0N 33 1			0144-0300	•	
	# 43		33 15 4N 33 I	1.3W RMT8M-3		HIGHT	FLOW DIST. 4.38 KM.	

.



