# JR18003 Cruise Report

# ICEBERGS RACETRAX OCTONAUT MMA PALEOMAP GLARE



**Report Authors** 

Sands CJ<sup>1\*</sup>, Annett A<sup>2</sup>, Apeland B<sup>1</sup>, Barnes DKA<sup>1</sup>, Bascur M<sup>3</sup>, Bruning P<sup>4</sup>, Costa M<sup>5</sup>, Dadd G<sup>6</sup>, De Lecea A<sup>5</sup>, Ensor N<sup>1</sup>, Featherstone A<sup>7</sup>, Flint G<sup>1</sup>, Goodger D<sup>1</sup>, Guzzi A<sup>8</sup>, Howard F<sup>9</sup>, D. Hunter<sup>1</sup>, Jenkins S<sup>10</sup>, Kender S<sup>11</sup>, Lincoln B<sup>10</sup>, Munoz-Ramirez C<sup>3</sup>, Pienkowski A<sup>12</sup>, Retallick K<sup>10</sup>, Roman-Gonzalez A<sup>11</sup>, Scource J<sup>11</sup>, Sheen K<sup>11</sup>, Whitaker T<sup>13</sup>, Williams J<sup>14</sup>, Zhao L<sup>15</sup>, Zwerschke N<sup>1</sup>.

\*Principal Science Officer

- <sup>1</sup> British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road, Cambridge UK
- <sup>2</sup> University of Southampton, University Road, Southampton UK
- <sup>3</sup> Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción, Chile
- <sup>4</sup> Laval University, Rue de l'Université, Québec Canada
- <sup>5</sup> South Atlantic Environment Research Institute, Stanley Falkland Islands
- <sup>6</sup> Kiote Ltd, Trenarth Bridge, Mawnansmith, Cornwall UK
- <sup>7</sup> Aahus University, Nordre Ringgade 1, Aahus Denmark
- <sup>8</sup> University of Genoa, C.so Europa 26, Genoa Italy
- <sup>9</sup> no fixed address... bum
- <sup>10</sup> School of Ocean Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge, Anglesey UK
- <sup>11</sup> University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall UK
- <sup>12</sup> University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, N-9171 Svalbard, Norway
- <sup>13</sup> University of West Florida, Pensacola, Florida USA
- <sup>14</sup> Cardiff University, Cardiff, Wales
- <sup>15</sup> University of Tokyo, Bunkyo, Tokyo Japan
- 2<sup>nd</sup> 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018 Stanley (FI) West Antarctic Peninsula Punta Arenas (Chile)



Reconnaissance mission to Badgers Buttress, Adelaide Island, to assess ice conditions in Sheldon Cove

Front cover artwork by Alejandro Roman-Gonzalez

## Table of Contents

1.	Ob	iectives		5
2.	Lis	of Personnel		7
3.	Time	able of events		8
3.	Wa	rking area		10
Δ	Int	roduction		11
7.	л 1			
	("ICEE	ERGS")		11
	4.2	Radium in Changing Envi	ronments: Tracing Fluxes (RACETRAX – NERC Independent	
	Resea	rch Fellowship)		13
	4.3	Darwin Plus Burdwood B	ank marine spatial planning	14
	4.4	CASS participation		14
	4.4. (OC	1 Ocean impacts of Cryo TONAUT)	spheric TransformatiON by Antarctic Underwater Turbulence	14
	4.4	2 Palaeoclimate from mi	crobiota of the Antarctic Peninsula (PalaeoMAP, CASS 147)	15
	4.4	3 Glacial meltwater sign	als from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE, CASS 150)	15
5.	Eq	ipment used and scien	ce areas	17
		, Casadanaisa		47
	5.1			17
	5.1	INIUITIDEAM mapping     Data Acquisition and D	konsting	1/
	5.1. E 1	2 Data Acquisition and P 2 Additional Multiboam	rocessing	19
	5.1		ttom Brofilor	25
	5.1	<ul> <li>TOPAS (PS018) Sub-b0</li> <li>Data Acquisition</li> </ul>		25
	5.1	6 Problems Encountered	4	25
	5.1	7 TOPAS Targets		30
	5.2	Oceanography		34
	5.2	1 CTD		34
	5.2	2 LADCP		39
	5.2	3 VMADCP		39
	5.2	4 EK80		
	5.2	5 Other Underway Data	neofilor	40
	5.2			49
	5.3	Marine Geochemistry		55
	5.3	1 RACETRAX		55
	5.3	2 Glacial meltwater sign	als from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE)	60
	5.4	Mud glorious mud		62
	5.4	1 Hamon Grab		62
	5.4	2 Multicore		66
	5.4	3 Gravity Core		72
	5.5	Biology		75
	5.5	1 Burdwood Bank		75
	5.5	2 Macrofauna		79
	5.5	3 MiniAgassiz Trawl		80
	5.5	4 Plankton Sampling		85
	5.5	5 Cetacean Survey		89
6.	Ch	lean Collaboration		104

6.	1	Bioenergetics	104
6.	2	Genetics	104
6.	3	Sampling on the JCR	105
7	<u></u>	itreach activities	106
/.	Ou		
8.	AN	AE Electronic technical report	
8.	1	Cruise Summary	109
8.	2	Instrumentation	109
	8.2.	.1 systems used on cruise	109
	8.2.	.2 Notes for Heading and Course Instruments	112
	8.2.	.3 Notes for Lab Instruments used	112
8.	2.4	Notes for Deck Systems	112
	8.2.	.5 Notes for Acoustic Systems used	112
	8.2.	.6 Notes about the Oceanlogger	113
	8.2.	.7 Notes about the CTD	113
8.	3	Additional work completed on cruise	115
	8.3.	.1 Fuel Monitoring	115
	8.3.	.2 Deep Tow Cable	115
	8.3.	.3 Dave Barnes Camera	115
	8.3.	.4 Rothera Marine Lab	115
	8.3.	.5 Rothera Cover	116
8.	4	AME Department notes	116
	8.4.	.1 Pre-cruise tasks	116
	8.4.	.2 Daily & weekly tasks	116
	8.4.	.3 End of cruise checks	116
	8.4.	.4 Items to be purchased	116
<i>9</i> .	AN	ЛЕ Mechanical technical report	118
9.	1	Multicorer	118
9.	2	Mini AGT	120
0	- -	Homon Crich	120
9.	5		120
9.	4	N70 Plankton Net	121
9.	5	Gravity Corer	121
10.	11	Τ	
11.	Α	Appendix	

## 1. Objectives

JR18003 on the RRS James Clark Ross integrated science from three funded programs, three CASS programs and several bolt on collaboration projects. It included scientists from 14 institutes across the UK, US, Chile, Italy, Japan, Canada, Norway and Falkland Islands. The work on board started with a marine bathymetry survey and benthic sampling along the Burdwood Bank as part of a Darwin Plus funded project involving the South Atlantic Environment Research institute (SAERI) and British Antarctic Survey (BAS). The aim was to gather data to help inform management decisions regarding Falkland Island Government marine spatial planning. The two expert marine geophysical scientists on board as part of the ICEBERGS program were key in maximising the data quality collected over the limited time of the survey.

The main component of the expedition were the ICEBERGS and RACETRAX programs. ICEBERGS is a 3 year NERC-CONICYT funded grant to University of Concepción (Chile), University of Exeter, Bangor University and BAS. The project was to better understand through quantitative sampling the effects of glacial retreat on marine biological systems. The diverse team includes geologists, marine geochemists, biochemists, marine ecologists, oceanographers, evolutionary biologists, hydrographers and paelontologists. In the early stages of preparation the RACETRAX project, written to integrate into ICEBERGS, was awarded to Dr Amber Annett (University of Southampton), further diversifying the scope of ICEBERGS. The RACETRAX project aims to identify and characterise the nutrient mineral availability and origins in each of the fjord systems.

Three CASS funded projects added extra dimensions to the work at each of the fjords. The OCTONAUT project (Dr Katy Sheen) investigates the oceanographic processes contributing to melting icesheets and regulating discharge of melt water. The key piece of equipment contributed to the program was the VMP to assess turbulence mixing and was to be deployed between CTD casts. We were able to dedicate blocks of time to the VMP team in order to conduct transects across shallow sills increasing the spatial and temporal resolution of the study. The GLARE project (James Williams on board) closely integrated with RACETRAX and OCTONAUT as it aimed to measure concentrations of rare earth elements in the water column across meltwater gradients. Finally, PalaeoMAP (Dr Sev Kender) aimed to examine the retreat rate of glaciers by examining deep (3m) sediment cores taken from each fjord. Although a single core would have been useful from each location, 5 cores were taken from Marian Cove and Börgen Bay, and 7 from Sheldon Cove.

Several small self-funded projects completed the diverse science conducted on board. A continuation of the microplastics project (from filtered water and sediment cores) was undertaken by Dr Tina Whittaker on behalf of Dr Alexis Janosik. Dr Anna Pienkowski continued her micropalaeo work from last year. Dr Liqiang Zhao is investigating the origins of the carbon in the water to better understand the origins of carbon in bivalve shells. Microbial diversity at each station is to be investigated by Dr Carmen Falagan Rodriguez from samples taken on board by Dr Alejandro Roman Gonzalez.

In summary, the cruise achieved all the predetermined scientific objectives, and exceeded expectations for most collectors. This is largely down to the excellent cooperation between the ship's crew and the scientists on board.



### 2. List of Personnel

**Chester Sands** BAS James Scourse **U.Exeter** Amber Annett **Bjørg** Apeland BAS **David Barnes** BAS **Miguel Bascur** Paulina Brunig U.Laval SAERI Marina Costa George Dadd Ander De Leccea SAERI Natalie Ensor BAS Amy Featherstone Gareth Flint BAS David Goodger BAS Alice Guzzi U.Genoa Floyd Howard ONFA BAS **David Hunter** Stuart Jenkins Sev Kender U.Exeter Ben Lincoln **Carlos Munoz Ramirez** Anna Pienkowski UNIS Kate Retallick Alejandro Roman Gonzalez **U.Exeter** Katy Sheen **U.Exeter** U.W.F Tina Whittaker James Williams Ligiang Zhao Nadescha Zwerschke BAS

BAS U.Exeter U.Southampton BAS BAS U.Concepción U.Laval SAERI Kiote Ltd SAERI BAS U.Aahaus BAS U.Aahaus BAS U.Aahaus BAS U.Genoa ONFA BAS U.Genoa ONFA BAS U.Bangor U.Exeter U.Bangor U.Concepción UNIS U.Bangor U.Exeter U.Bangor U.Exeter U.Bangor U.Exeter U.Bangor U.Exeter U.Bangor U.Exeter U **Principal Science Officer PI ICEBERGS PI RACETRAX** Marine Engineer Marine Biologist **Marine Biologist Marine Biologist** Cetacean Biologist Marine Engineer Marine Biologist Laboratory Manager Sclerochronologist Marine Engineer **Electrical Engineer** Marine Biologist **Geospatial Specialist** IT Marine Ecologist Palaeoceanographer Physical Oceanographer Molecular Ecologist Micropalaeontologist Hydrographer Sclerochronologist Physical Oceanographer Marine Biologist Palaeoclimatelogist **Marine Biochemist Marine Biologist** 



## 3. Timetable of events

30 <sup>th</sup> November	Science party arrives and boards JCR
1 <sup>st</sup> December	Mobilisation of labs and deck equipment
2 <sup>nd</sup> December	Vessel departs 0800, Science meeting with Crew and PIs
3 <sup>rd</sup> December	Winch repairs, 0800 Arrive at Burdwood Bank, commence SWATH
	survey, CTD
4 <sup>th</sup> December	SWATH survey continues, AGT deployments
5 <sup>th</sup> December	SWATH survey continues, AGT deployments, work completed 1430,
	Continue to Marian Cove KGI
6 <sup>th</sup> December	Continue transit to Marian Cove KGI in heavy seas, course diverted due
	to decreasing weather conditions
7 <sup>th</sup> December	Continue transit to Marian Cove, arrive Maxwell Bay with Tow Fish 2130
8 <sup>th</sup> December	CTD. VMP and N70 activities (Clean) at stations 1-5, 1300 – 1830 VMP
	transects across sill
9 <sup>th</sup> December	Clean activities end 0600. Muddy activities (Hamon Grab. Multicore.
	Gravity core) begin
10 <sup>th</sup> December	Muddy activities continue. SWATH survey 0820 – 1320. Muddy
	activities continue with Gravity Core focus 1700 - 2230
11 <sup>th</sup> December	Muddy activities continue to 1730, Continue to Börgen Bay
12 <sup>th</sup> December	1200 arrive Börgen Bay, SWATH survey until 1400, Clean activities
	commence. *Winch driver ill so winch free activities: SWATH survey
	1720 – 2240, VMP sill transects from 2300
13 <sup>th</sup> December	VMP transects to 0800, SWATH survey continues to 1050, Clean
	activities continue. TOPAZ survey commences 2200
14 <sup>th</sup> December	TOPAZ to 0030. VMP transects to 0800. Muddy activities commence.
15 <sup>th</sup> December	Muddy activities continue. Gravity Core focus 0230 – 0900. Muddy
	activities continue
16 <sup>th</sup> December	Muddy activities continue to 0300, SWATH survey as vessel exits Börgen
	Bay, passage resumed 0500 to Rothera
17 <sup>th</sup> December	Vessel enters pack ice 0550. 0800 Biosecurity brief, Boating ops brief.
	Vessel clear of pack ice 1010. Enters Dagliesh Bay, Porquoi Pas, 1320.
	1340 Small boat operations to maintain sea ice camera. 1500 proceed
	to Rothera Wharf. Cargo discharge. Winters Dinner
18 <sup>th</sup> December	Cargo operations alongside Rothera Wharf.
19 <sup>th</sup> December	Cargo operations alongside Rothera Wharf.
20 <sup>th</sup> December	0830 depart Rothera Wharf. 0900 commence Sheldon Cove Clean
	activities. 2200 commence VMP transect.
21 <sup>st</sup> December	VMP transect continues to 0600. 0630 – 0900 TOPAZ survey. Clean
	activities continue. 1900 – 2130 SWATH survey. 2130 Muddy activities
	commence.
22 <sup>nd</sup> December	Muddy activities continue with focus on Gravity Core 1520 – 2200.
23 <sup>rd</sup> December	Muddy activities continue. SWATH survey 1300 – 2200. Muddy
	activities resume.
24 <sup>th</sup> December	Muddy activities continue including Agassiz Trawls from 0500. 1330
	vessel departs Sheldon Cove for Rothera. 1440 cargo and pax unloaded.

	1450 vessel departs wharf. Vessel in pack ice 1530 – 2200. Carols on
	Monkey Island 1930-2000.
25 <sup>th</sup> December	Ships crew and science party resting. Merry Christmas!
26 <sup>th</sup> December	0800 vessel anchors off Palmer Station. 0915 Cargo tender begins
	shuttle of ships personnel for station visits, and station personnel for
	ship visits. Visit complete 1500. 1545 Vessel resumes passage.
27 <sup>th</sup> December	Transit to Punta Arenas and demob.
28 <sup>th</sup> December	Ship continues towards Punta Arenas. 1030 begin deep CTD cable test.
	1800 Cable test ends, ship resumes passage.
29 <sup>th</sup> December	Transit to Punta Arenas and demob.
30 <sup>th</sup> December	Transit to Punta Arenas. Pilot meets ship in Straits of Magellan 2200
31 <sup>st</sup> December	Ship anchors in Harbour 0800. 1400 Science party take shuttle to shore.
	Happy New Year
01 January 2019	0800 Science party depart.



## 3. Working area



### 4. Introduction

# 4.1 IMPACTS OF DEGLACIATION ON BENTHIC MARINE ECOSYSTEMS IN ANTARCTICA ("ICEBERGS")

#### Prof. James Scourse, PI, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall UK

Surface waters around the West Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) are experiencing rapid warming (e.g. Meredith and King 2005, Vaughan et al. 2013) resulting in retreating glaciers, collapse of ice shelves and lengthening of the sea-ice melting season (e.g. Cook et al. 2005, Stammerjohn et al. 2012). Increased iceberg scouring (Barnes and Souster 2011) and sediment discharge (Sahade et al. 2015) are the dominant physical disturbances impacting the shallow benthos of West Antarctica. However, sea ice losses over West Antarctica's continental shelves have also lead to longer phytoplankton blooms, resulting growth increases by benthos and thus increased (blue) carbon capture and storage on the seabed – the largest negative feedback on climate change (Barnes et al 2016). Given the lack of scientific knowledge of the Antarctic coastal ecosystems it is difficult to predict present and future responses of these ecosystems to regional warming. There is an urgency to, first, evaluate the real changes in environmental variables due to this disturbance, and second, estimate the magnitude and direction of ecosystem responses at different biological levels and spatial scales to regional warming. In addition, it is important to develop new tools to detect disturbance. These new data will allow quantification of the impact of regional warming in Antarctica and inform conservation and management strategies. In this context, ICEBERGS aims to investigate the impacts of physical disturbance arising from climate-warming induced deglaciation on benthic communities around the West Antarctic Peninsula. We adopt a multidisciplinary approach across nested scales from individual to ecosystem level, and from an ecological to evolutionary scale, evaluating genetic, physiological, population, community and ecosystem impacts of this deglacial perturbation. In addition, we use sclerochronology to develop biological proxies for reconstructing multidecadal environmental changes in Antarctica.

The overall aim of the ICEBERGS project is guided by the **general hypothesis** that *ice loss and deglaciation in the Antarctic Peninsula due to regional warming will have significant impacts on glacier dynamics, local coastal oceanographic conditions and the benthic coastal marine biota. These effects are observed from the individual to ecosystem level.* At the **assemblage level** we test the hypothesis that *the combined disturbance effects of glacier retreat, loss of winter sea ice and disintegration of ice shelves generate assemblage-wide effects on the diversity and dominance patterns of benthic assemblages modulated by the differential resistance of species, leading to major shifts in community structure according to perturbation strength (magnitude of glacier retreat).* At the **individual level** we test the hypothesis that *ice loss and deglaciation affect the coastal environmental conditions in terms of temperature, salinity, turbidity and primary productivity affecting individual performance and reproductive investment. These effects are recorded temporally in the shell increments of marine invertebrate species.* At the **evolutionary level** we test the hypothesis that *ice loss and deglaciation affect genetic diversity and population connectivity of marine benthic species, especially in species with low dispersal potential (brooding species).* 

The **general objective** of ICEBERGS is to assess the effects of ice loss and deglaciation on coastal marine habitats from the individual to the ecosystem level. **Specific objectives are:** 

1. Monitoring glacier retreat over time and scour intensity on the adjacent seabed.

2. Determine the benthic assemblage structure from localities with different perturbation levels.

3. Evaluate nutritional and reproductive conditions of adults.

4. Analyse growth rates from bivalve/ gastropod shells and bryozoan populations with different perturbation levels.

5. Develop reconstructions of physical disturbance due to iceberg discharge from growth patterns present in the carbonate structure of the shells of marine molluscs.

6. Estimate the effect of marine glacier discharge and iceberg scouring on the genetic diversity and connectivity of marine invertebrate populations and the role of dispersal potential.

In order to achieve these objectives ICEBERGS has, and will, deploy, from RRS *James Clark Ross,* physical oceanographic (CTD), marine geophysics (multi-beam swath bathymetry, TOPAS sub-bottom profiling) and habitat mapping (shallow underwater camera system) instrumentation, alongside water column (plankton net) and bottom sampling (Agassiz trawl, Hamon grab, multi-corer) gear for determining and sampling seabed sediments, community structure and benthic biodiversity at three actively deglaciating fjord sites along the west Antarctic Peninsula during three field seasons starting in 2017 (Figure 4.1). These sites, Marian Cove (Maxwell Bay, King George Island, South Shetland Islands), William Glacier (Börgen Bay, Anvers Island) and Sheldon Glacier (Sheldon Cove, Ryder Bay, Adelaide Island adjacent to the BAS base at Rothera) have been selected on the basis of the availability of pre-existing bathymetric (multibeam swath bathymetry) and glacier retreat data from satellite observations (e.g. Cook et al., 2016).





During the 2018 research cruise four satellite projects have taken advantage of the ICEBERGS opportunity and, independently funded, these have allowed additional specialist personnel (and additional equipment) to participate in the cruise in turn conferring significant additional

capability and data for the core ICEBERGS project. These are 1: Characterisation of the impacts of microplastic ingestion by Southern Ocean filter-feeders (Alexis Janosik, West Florida University, USA; represented on JR18003 by Tina Whitaker), 2: Characterisation of modern foraminifera and ostracods of Ryder Bay, Marian Cove and Börgen Bay (Antarctic Peninsula), and reconstruction of palaeoclimatic changes over the past ~200 yrs (Sev Kender, University of Exeter, UK), 3: Ocean Turbulence effects on Antarctic Glacier Outflows (OCTONAUT) (Katy Sheen, University of Exeter, UK), and 4: Biological and biogeochemical proxy calibration of deglaciating environments in Antarctica (Anna Pieńkowski, University Studies on Svalbard [UNIS], Norway). Projects 1, 3 and 4 are continuations of satellite projects initiated alongside ICEBERGS in 2017. Project 3 involved the deployment of a VMP vertical turbulence profiler. Project 2 involved the deployment, for the first time in the context of ICEBERGS, a 3 m gravity corer loaned from the British Geological Survey.

Barnes and Souster 2011 Nature Climate Change 1, 365-368 Barnes et al. 2016 Global Change Biology 22, 1110-1120 Cook et al. 2005 Science 22 541-544 Cook et al. 2016 Science 353 283-286 Meredith and King 2005 Geophysical Research Letters 32 L19604 Sahade et al. 2015 Science Advances 1, doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1500050 Stammerjohn et al. 2012 Geophysical Research Letters 39 L06501

# 4.2 Radium in Changing Environments: Tracing Fluxes (RACETRAX – NERC Independent Research Fellowship)

#### Dr. Amber Annett, PI, University of Southampton, University Road, Southampton UK

In addition to providing food for the benthic organisms (by opening up new open water for primary production), glacial retreat can also provide macro- and micro-nutrients to coastal areas via melt water. These dissolved nutrients have the potential to be dispersed over long distances. The RaCE:TraX project (Radium in Changing Environments: Tracing Fluxes) complements the ICEBERGS project by characterizing the dissolved and particulate components of glacial melt water and the temporal/spatial scales over which these components are decoupled. The lateral distribution of glacial sediment, fine grained glacial flour and dissolved compounds is not known around the study sites.

Naturally occurring radioisotopes of radium (Ra) are especially useful tracers of coastal inputs, produced from particle reactive thorium (Th). Th decays, producing highly soluble Ra, thus distributions of Ra therefore show a strong source from glacial/subglacial melt water, due to elevated Th from entrained sediment. The so-called "Ra quartet" of four isotopes decay at different rates, and can be used to investigate a range of time scales from days/weeks (loss of particles from the water column) to months/years (advection and dispersal of glacially-derived nutrients). Pairs of Ra isotopes can be used to account for adsorption/desorption due to salinity changes, as well as to discriminate between different sediment sources (for example glacial versus marine sediment, both of which likely provide significant Ra flux in the coastal Antarctic). Large volume seawater samples for Ra are combined with oxygen isotopes and trace metal samples to quantify delivery of glacial sediment to the surrounding area and spatial and temporal scales of sediment loss from the water column to the seafloor.

A second component of this work investigates chemical distributions in pore waters from sediments at the three ICEBERG locations, to quantify and account for benthic fluxes in coastal

budgets. Sediment cores from the multicorer were used to determine the feasibility of using a Ra/Th disequilibrium approach to quantify trace metal and nutrient fluxes in Antarctic sediments. This novel technique leverages the solubility of the daughter isotope Ra and the high particle affinity of Th, where the deficit of Ra in sediments relative to the expected activity determined from Th content reflects solute loss from diffusion, bioirrigation and porewater exchange over a time period of 1-2 weeks. Ratios of e.g. nutrients to Ra in porewaters can be used to determine nutrient flux from sediments from this method.

#### 4.3 Darwin Plus Burdwood Bank marine spatial planning

Obligations of member states under the Convention of Biological Diversity include a better understanding and management of existing biodiversity. On behalf of the Falkland Islands Government, the South Atlantic Environment Research Institute (SAERI), together with British Antarctic Survey (BAS), successfully competed for Darwin Plus funding to begin investigations relating to marine resources, habitat structure and community composition, to better inform management decisions principally regarding marine spatial planning. Data collection for this project commenced with a 48 hr multibeam and TOPAS survey and Agassiz Trawl collections of macro and mega fauna from the southern shelf break of Burdwood Bank.

#### 4.4 CASS participation

# 4.4.1 Ocean impacts of Cryospheric TransformatiON by Antarctic Underwater Turbulence (OCTONAUT)

The discharge to the ocean of glacial melt from Antarctica has strong implications for global sea level rise, ocean stratification and ventilation, sea ice production, and marine ecosystems and carbon cycling. Increasingly, it is seen that the enhanced supply of oceanic heat to the Antarctic cryosphere is responsible for the strong glacial retreat rates observed, especially in regions of West Antarctica. However, much uncertainty remains concerning the key processes by which ocean mixing can impact the characteristics (including heat content and nutrients) of the waters that impinge on Antarctica, and also the distribution and fate of the meltwater. Assessing the underlying physical processes responsible for melting Antarctic Ice Sheets, as well as monitoring the impact of Antarctic Ice sheet melt, is paramount for predicting the future trajectory of Antarctic coastal systems and wider scale environmental change in the coming century.

#### OCTONAUT key aims:

- To characterise the oceanic environment in actively de-glaciating margins along the West Antarctic Peninsula (ICEBERGS field sites) using CTD, (L)ADCP, EK80 and turbulence data
- To assess the underlying physical processes responsible for melting Antarctic Ice Sheets (turbulent mixing)
- To assess the processes regulating the discharge and fate of meltwater

In addition to the above, quantifying the turbulent properties and water mass modifications in the field sites will significantly aid the understanding of the dynamics influencing benthic

ecosystems in actively deglaciating margins including nutrient fluxes. It will also provide process understanding that, alongside measurements of seawater oxygen isotopes, will be used to inform calibration of oxygen isotopes from sclerochronological series to provide long records of density, temperature and/or salinity. Furthermore, we will seek to add a turbidity sensor to the VMP, providing data that will strongly complement particle size distribution estimates and help establish the benthic marine environment, key to ICEBERGS scientific goals. The work will also draw on (and contribute to) the current international research effort on the WAP shelf, complementing data from a number of historical and ongoing experiments (e.g ORCHESTRA, Southern Ocean GLOBEC and the US-led Palmer Long-Term Ecological Research Program (Pal-LTER)).

#### 4.4.2 Palaeoclimate from Microbiota of the Antarctic Peninsula (PalaeoMAP, CASS 147)

The recent glacial retreat from marginal marine locations of Antarctica, including the Antarctic Peninsula, are considered to be unprecedented in the recent geological past, and possibly the result of anthropogenic climate change and increased incursions of warm Upper Circumpolar Deep Water onto Antarctic shelves. In addition, glacial retreat is thought to have had impacts on benthic organisms and fundamentally changed the phytoplankton communities in the region. These hypotheses will be tested by CASS-147 with palaeoclimatic reconstructions of sea ice, sea surface temperature and microbiota from sediment cores spanning the past several thousand years. This project initially proposed the deployment of box cores, but as these were not taken on expedition JR18003, Sev Kender sourced a gravity corer from the British Geological Survey (see Section 5.4.3) which has allowed the reconstruction of palaeoceanography further back in time. The project will address these research questions by studying sediment cores using established (micropalaeontology, geochemistry) and novel (ancient environmental DNA) techniques with collaborators. Post-expedition analytical work will include <sup>14</sup>C and <sup>210</sup>Pb dating, micropalaeontology (diatoms, foraminifera and dinoflagellate cysts), sediment and microfossil stable isotopes, foraminiferal Mg/Ca, sediment TEX<sub>86</sub> and eDNA.

#### 4.4.3 Glacial meltwater signals from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE, CASS 150)

Glacial meltwater signals from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE) aims to measure water column concentrations of rare earth elements (REE) across meltwater gradients to assess the application of REEs in tracing meltwater input along the Antarctic Peninsula.



## 5. Equipment used and science areas

### 5.1 Geophysics

Kate Retallick Bangor University, UK <u>ktr18cgp@bangor.ac.uk</u> Floyd Howard <u>floyd.j.f.howard@gmail.com</u>

#### 5.1.1 Multibeam mapping



Figure 5.1.1 Multibeam bathymetry collected at Burdwood Bank on JR18003.



Figure 5.1.2 Multibeam bathymetry collected within Marian Cove, King George Island on JR18003. Positions of past glacier fronts were provided by Dr Alison Cook (Cook et al., 2014). Stars indicate the location of sampling stations.



Figure 5.1.3 Multibeam bathymetry collected within Börgen Bay, Anvers Island on JR18003. Positions of past glacier fronts were provided by Dr Alison Cook (Cook et al., 2014). Stars indicate the location of sampling stations.



*Figure 5.1.4 Multibeam bathymetry collected at Sheldon Glacier on JR18003 Positions of past glacier fronts were provided by Dr Alison Cook (Cook et al., 2014). Stars indicate the location of sampling stations.* 

#### 5.1.2 Data Acquisition and Processing

Bathymetric data was collected using a hull-mounted 1° x 1° EM122 Multibeam Echo Sounder (MBES) (see appendix A1.1 for further details). The EM122 equipment was operated using Kongsberg Seafloor Information System (SIS) and Helmsman software. A detailed report on the collection and processing of the data with an evaluation of data quality and surveying methods is presented in Appendix A1.1. Data was organised into eight separate surveys, summarised in table 5.1.1. Changes to acquisition parameters are summarised in the EM122 event log<sup>1</sup>, available on request from the UK Polar Data Centre (polardatacentre@bas.ac.uk).

Where possible, data were collected in a systematic manner with survey lines running parallel along depth contours to achieve consistent swath coverage and maximise insonification of slope areas. This facilitated high-quality backscatter data which suffer from significant noise during turns. High swell and sea states on Burdwood Bank affected the quality of the resulting data. The presence of ice, particularly in Börgen Bay and at Sheldon Cove, impacted our ability to conduct a systematic survey. In these instances, the ship was driven to best achieve coverage.

Survey Name	Start (UTC)	End (UTC)	Description	Number of RAW files (.all)	Processed (Qimera / Fledermaus)	Comment
jr18003_a	2/12/18 1621	5/12/18 1846	Burdwood Bank	77	77	SAERI Burdwood Bank
jr18003_b	5/12/18 1846	10/12/18 1123	Transit from Burdwood Bank to Marian Cove	55	0	Opportunistic passage sounding
jr18003_c	10/12/18 1123	10/12/18 1655	Marian Cove	18	18	ICEBERGS

Table 5.1.1: Summary of EM122 data collected on JR18003.

<sup>1</sup>\\scientific\_work\_areas\EM122\EM122 Event Log.csv

jr18003_d	11/12/18 2047	12/12/18 1508	Transit from Marian Cove to Börgen Bay	21	0	Opportunistic passage sounding
jr18003_e	12/12/18 1522	16/12/18 0719	Börgen Bay	79	79	ICEBERGS Include lines 0018 and 0019 from JR18003_d
jr18003_f	16/12/18 0722	17/12/18 1903	Transit from Börgen Bay to Rothera	34	0	Opportunistic passage sounding
jr18003_g	17/12/18 1804	24/12/18 0202	Sheldon Glacier	69	69	ICEBERGS / Deep Impact New draught entered prior to departure following cargo operations. Include line 0031 from JR18003_f
jr18003_h	24/12/18 1851	28/12/18 0139	Transit from Rothera to Interim Seamount	36	0	Opportunistic passage sounding including Interim Seamount (lines 0033-0035)

In the four primary survey areas, in excess of 130 million depth soundings were obtained over ~7170 km<sup>2</sup> (an area 1/3 the size of Wales).

Figures 5.1.1, 5.1.2, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4 illustrate the final bathymetry grids at each location. Initial processing of MBES bathymetry data enabled assessment of the seabed to support cruise scientific activity. Slope and hillshade products along with TOPAS data (see section 2) were used to assist selection of additional sampling stations during science operations at Marian Cove, Börgen Bay and Sheldon Glacier.

Sound velocity profiles (SVPs) were generated from CTD casts and applied during data acquisition. XBTs were not used to reduce cost and reduce environmental impact. Although it is intended to use GPS tide to resolve errors arising from changes in the height of tide, observations from Prat, Vernadsky and Rothera stations were downloaded from the IOC website<sup>2</sup> as backup. For opportunistic sounding collected during passage synthetic SVPs were applied due to time and budget constraints. These SVPs were generated using Sound Speed Manager software using the WOA09 model and following the procedure outlined in JR17004's cruise report. Seabed texture information was generated through MBES backscatter processing and Angular Range Analysis (ARA) using the Geocoder Tool<sup>3</sup> (Fonseca and Calder, 2005) in QPS FM Geocoder Toolbox (FMGT) software. Selected ship's tracks were processed in each area to reduce errors associated with turning. ARA returns a phi value for sediment characterisation and requires ground truthing using sediment samples to generate a vessel beam pattern correction model. This process was achieved at Burdwood Bank through analysis of the sediments acquired by AGT trawls but particle size analysis of sediment samples acquired by multicore for the ICEBERGS sites is required before similarly calibrated products can be generated for these areas. Initial processed uncalibrated ARA and backscatter mosaic images are shown in figure 5.1.5.

Despite initial evidence of some change to small scale features from ICEBERGS 2017 MBES data, the lack of corrections for horizontal position or tide prevent a full assessment at this time. Post processed RTK observations will be applied to enable accuracy of soundings to be refined to ensure small scale features can be directly compared between successive years. Figures 5.1.6 to 5.1.12 illustrate preliminary interesting seabed features observed in the multibeam data.

MBES data at Sheldon Glacier will be of particular interest to the United Kingdom Hydrographic Office to improve navigational safety for operations in vicinity of Rothera. Data from the 100-300m contour in this area will

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://www.ioc-sealevelmonitoring.org</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fonseca, L. and Calder, B. (2005) 'Geocoder: An Efficient Backscatter Map Constructor', *U.S. Hydro 2005 Conference*, p. 9.



Figure 5.1.5 Preliminary Angular Range Analysis (ARA) and backscatter mosaics for Marian Cove (top), Börgen Bay and Sheldon Glacier (bottom). ARA values have not been corrected for the vessel's beam pattern and require sediment particle-size analysis to calibrate results.



Figure 5.1.6 Distal sill feature at Marian Cove. 3D view looking North East. Scour or channel feature is evident and similar to that observed in Börgen Bay.



Figure 5.1.7 Marian Cove inner sill. 3D view looking North East.



Figure 5.1.8 Grounded ice appears as sharp vertical lines in Börgen Bay data. 3D view looking North.



Figure 5.1.9 Grounded Ice at the Northern limit of Börgen Bay.



Figure 5.1.10 Börgen Bay 'Sill' feature showing scour or channel similar in nature to Marian Cove. 3D view looking North.



Figure 5.1.11 Grounded ice and features at Sheldon Glacier. 3D view looking North.



Figure 5.1.12 Grounded ice at Sheldon Glacier. Transect across ice front showing ice is undercut by around 30m at the base.



Figure 5.1.13 Panorama showing grounded ice at the feature in figure 5.1.12 Ship's position: 67°32'.34S, 068° 15'.83W, 24/12/18 1125 UTC (0825 Local)

#### 5.1.3 Additional Multibeam sounding

#### Deep Impact

100-300m depth contours from Rothera to Sheldon Glacier were insonified where possible and are included in the project JR18003\_g. Shoaler depths were not possible owing to navigational and echo sounder constraints. An area to the South East of Rothera was established in depths 200-400m to provide a region of deeper water for repeat survey opportunity. It is intended this be re-surveyed during ICEBERGS 2019 and subsequent cruises to assess the short term impact of iceberg scour.

#### Interim Seamount

A single line of sounding was conducted over Interim Seamount (60° 35'.07S, 065° 57'.0W) to support future science activity. The raw data is saved as lines 0033-0035 in project 'jcr\_18003\_h'.

#### 5.1.4 TOPAS (PS018) Sub-bottom Profiler

The hull-mounted Kongsberg Marine TOPAS (PS018) sub-bottom profiler was used intermittently in support of the ICEBERGS and Burdwood Bank components of JR18003. Generally, TOPAS was run for a single line through each station within each fjord to investigate the shallow seabed subsurface to ensure that there was sufficient sediment and identify targets prior to deploying the gravity corer (see 5.4.3 section for further details). Additional lines were run on an opportunistic basis over deeper basins within or near each fjord to locate extra sites for gravity coring. At Burdwood Bank TOPAS was run concurrently with the EM122 to help confirm a site's suitability for miniAGT deployment and identify suitable targets for future gravity coring.

#### 5.1.5 Data Acquisition

All lines were run in water less than 700 m. Typical parameter settings on the control work station are summarised in Table 5.1.2. Data was acquired using Kongsberg Marine TOPAS acquisition software version 1.7.0. The TOPAS was operated in a synchronised mode with other echo sounders through an external trigger issued from the KSYNC unit (see EM122 section for further details). A time variable gain (TVG) and small adjustments to Pulse Form, Power, Gain and Beam Control were used to improve imaging of the seafloor on the screen display. Lines were run with a ship speed <6 kn within the fjords and <8 kn at Burdwood Bank. During acquisition both raw and segy formats were recorded. Acquisition parameters were changed from time to time with parameter changes summarised in JR18003's TOPAS event log. A copy of this log is available on request from the UK Polar Data Centre (polardatacentre@bas.ac.uk).

Acquisition Parameters					
Transmitter	Mode	Normal			
	Trigger	External			
	Pulse Form	Chirp (LFM)			
	Start Frequency	1 kHz			
	Stop Frequency	5 kHz			
	Chirp Length	10-20 ms			
	Power Level	0 to -3 dB			
	HRP	Enabled			
Beam Forming		Auto			
Receiver	Delay Control	Manual			
	Master Trigger	Depth dependant			
	Delay				

Table 5.1.2: Summary of typical TOPAS acquisition parameters generally used on JR18003. Please contact the UK Polar Data Centre (polardatacentre@bas.ac.uk) for a copy of the TOPAS event log.

	Delay offset	0 ms					
	Sample Rate	30 kHz					
	Trace Length	race Length Generally 200ms but did increase occasionally when					
		bottom lost or passing over rapidly changing depths.					
	Gain	10 dB					
	HP-filter	1kHz					
Depth Selector	Enabled	Generally used Bottom Tracker 1 over EM122 since the					
		EM122 was operating at the shallow end of its range.					
Average Sound	Enabled	1500 m/s					
Speed Selector							
Processing Chain							
Filters	Filter Type	Matched					
	Corner	Auto					
	Frequencies						
Bottom Tracker	Enabled	Used this feature when bottom detection was					
		lost/lagging.					
	Show Master	Enabled					
	Depth						
	Window Start	Depth dependant					
	Window Length	8 ms					
	Threshold	30%					
	Auto Search	Disabled					
Time Variable	Enabled	TVG values were set by clicking and dragging the squares					
Gain (TVG)		in the Single Trace Area. See JR18003 TOPAS event log for					
		values used.					
	TVG control	Tracking					
	Offset	-5 ms					
Attribute	Enabled	Instant Amplitude.					
Processing							

#### 5.1.6 Problems Encountered

The intention was for the TOPAS output files (both. raw and segy) to be labelled with meaningful names using the acquisition software. Instead, files received names using a time stamp from when recording started (YYYYMMDDHHMMSS.raw), writing to new files when the maximum file size was reached or when SEGY files were split (as this option was enabled). It is recommended for future acquisition that the *Split RAW files like SEG Y files* option is not selected to avoid splitting lines into numerous segments. Table 5.1.3 provides a summary of logged raw file names and the corresponding line numbers as they were referred to in the TOPAS event log. At times clear interference was observed with the TOPAS and the centre beams EM122. This resulted in false bottom detection both just above and below the actual seabed. It was determined that these artefacts could be processed out of the final bathymetry product.

Table 5.1.3: Overview of the raw files logged and the name that they are referred to in the TOPAS event log, Burd = Burdwood Bank, MC = Marian Cove, BB = Börgen Bay, SC = Sheldon Cove. Please note that there was no TOPAS raw file that corresponded to Line Number 005 in the event log – it appears that this file did not log correctly, likely due to operator error.

Raw Filename	Size	Start Time	End Time	Location	Line
	(Mb)	(UTC)	(UTC)		Number
					(TOPAS Log)
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204042209.raw	30.91	04:22	05:25	Burd	

Raw Filename	Size	Start Time	End Time	Location	Line
	(Mb)	(UTC)	(UTC)		Number
					(TOPAS Log)
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204052201.raw	22.11	05:22	06:25	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204062200.raw	21.85	06:22	07:25	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204072203.raw	29.22	07:22	08:25	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204082201.raw	22.94	08:22	09:25	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		001
20181204092200.raw	0.46	09:22	09:26	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		002
20181204092745.raw	29.62	09:27	10:30	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		002
20181204102702.raw	36.18	10:27	11:30	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		002
20181204112701.raw	38.7	11:27	12:30	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		002
20181204122700.raw	42.48	12:27	13:30	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		002
20181204132700.raw	5	13:27	13:37	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		003
20181204213227.raw	24.04	21:32	22:35	Burd	
		04/12/18	04/12/18		003
20181204223201.raw	25.35	22:32	23:35	Burd	
		04/12/18	05/12/18		003
20181204233207.raw	43.59	23:32	00:35	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		003
20181205003202.raw	30.34	00:32	01:35	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		003
20181205013204.raw	4.21	01:32	01:44	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205021725.raw	49.01	02:17	03:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205031700.raw	35.09	03:17	04:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205041703.raw	33.82	04:17	05:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205051702.raw	36.73	05:17	06:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205061703.raw	40.13	06:17	07:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205071701.raw	34.4	07:17	08:20	Burd	

Raw Filename	Size	Start Time	End Time	Location	Line
	(Mb)	(UTC)	(UTC)		Number
					(TOPAS Log)
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205081701.raw	37.69	08:17	09:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205091700.raw	32.9	09:17	10:20	Burd	
		05/12/18	05/12/18		004
20181205101704.raw	30.38	10:17	11:13	Burd	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		006
20181210153209.raw	3.97	15:32	15:40	MC	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		006
20181210154030.raw	5.56	15:40	15:45	MC	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		006
20181210154600.raw	1.31	15:46	15:48	MC	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		006
20181210154804.raw	20.5	15:48	16:11	MC	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		007
20181210205147.raw	12.67	20:51	21:05	MC	
		10/12/18	10/12/18		007
20181210210544.raw	15.19	21:05	21:17	MC	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		008
20181214013002.raw	3.19	01:30	01:34	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		008
20181214013430.raw	9	01:34	01:46	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		008
20181214014604.raw	6.14	01:46	01:54	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		009
20181214022122.raw	20.99	02:21	02:56	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		009
20181214025604.raw	14.19	02:56	03:20	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		010
20181214145009.raw	8.75	14:50	15:01	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		011
20181214150607.raw	20.99	15:06	15:33	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		011
20181214153331.raw	6.22	15:33	15:41	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		011
20181214154129.raw	6.67	15:41	15:53	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		012
20181214155602.raw	0.94	15:56	15:57	BB	
		14/12/18	14/12/18		012
20181214155743.raw	16.51	15:57	16:18	BB	
		15/12/18	15/12/18		013
20181215081949.raw	11.86	08:19	08:40	BB	

Raw Filename	Size	Start Time	End Time	Location	Line
	(Mb)	(UTC)	(UTC)		Number
					(TOPAS Log)
		15/12/18	15/12/18		013
20181215084038.raw	0.22	08:40	08:41	BB	
		15/12/18	15/12/18		013
20181215084103.raw	0.13	08:41	08:41	BB	
		15/12/18	15/12/18		013
20181215084119.raw	0.18	08:41	08:41	BB	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221093549.raw	20.99	09:35	10:01	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221100120.raw	16.29	10:01	10:24	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221102429.raw	0.11	10:24	10:24	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221102436.raw	2.34	10:24	10:28	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221102855.raw	0.44	10:28	10:30	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221103011.raw	8.42	10:30	10:50	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221105044.raw	1.16	10:50	10:51	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		014
20181221105152.raw	1.88	10:51	10:55	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		015
20181221105527.raw	20.99	10:55	11:34	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		015
20181221113413.raw	12.87	11:34	11:51	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		015
20181221115132.raw	4.45	11:51	11:59	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		016
20181221140424.raw	14.78	14:04	14:21	SC	
		21/12/18	21/12/18		016
20181221142153.raw	2.28	14:21	14:26	SC	

#### 5.1.7 TOPAS Targets

The following section summarises TOPAS data recorded at each gravity coring location during JR18003 and identifies potential future coring targets found on Burdwood Bank.

### Burdwood Bank



Figure 5.1.14: TOPAS profiles identifying potential targets for gravity coring at Burdwood Bank. These sites are summarised further in Table 4.

Table 5.1.4: Summary of location and depths for gravity core targets identified from TOPAS data at Burdwood Bank. No coring was undertaken at these sites on JR18003.

Site	Latitude	Longitude	WaterDepth	Raw file
Name	(DM)	(DM)	(m)	
Burd1	-54°	-57° 7′.1929	300	20181204052201.raw
	54'.5850			
Burd2	-54°	-57°	550	20181204062200.raw
	55'.1765	22'.4728		
Burd3	-54°	-57°	620	20181204072203.raw
	55'.3534	28'.7153		
Burd4	-54°	-57 °	675	20181204082201.raw
	56'.2676	55'.4409		
Burd5	-54°	-57°	615	20181205091700.raw
	57'.1428	22'.5095		

#### Marian Cove



Figure 5.1.15: TOPAS profiles at stations MC1, MC2, MC3, MC4 and Maxwell Bay from Marian Cove, King George Island. Red lines indicate the location on the profile that was targeted by gravity coring and are summarised further in Table .

Table 5.1.5: Summary of location and depths for gravity core targets identified from TOPAS data at Marian Cove. Please references
to section 5.4.3 for further details and description of the cores retrieved from each site.

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Water	Raw file	Success
Name	(DM)	(DM)	Depth		
			(m)		
MC1	-62°	-58° 47′.3562	109	20181210154804.raw	Y
	13'.0484				
MC2	-62°	-58° 46′.0762	103	20181210154804.raw	Y
	12'.7475				
MC3	-62°	-58°	125	20181210154804.raw	Υ
	12'.4930	44'.8641			
MC4	-62°	-58°	130	20181210154804.raw	N
	12'.3652	44'.3815			
Maxwell	-62°	-58°	430	20181210210544.raw	Υ
Вау	13'.6347	50'.5674			

#### Börgen Bay



Figure 5.1.16: TOPAS profiles at stations BB0, BB1, BB1c, B3 and Neumayer Channel from Börgen Bay, Anvers Island. Red lines indicate the location on the profile that was targeted by gravity coring, and are summarised further in Table .

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	r	1	
Site Name	Latitude	Longitude	Depth	Raw file	Success
	(DM)	(DM)	(m)		
BB0	-64°	-63°	299	20181214154129.raw	Y
	45'.4713	26'.8728			
BB1	-64°	-63°	265	20181214153331.raw	N
	44'.5026	27'.2047			
BB1c	-64°	-63°	269	20181214153331.raw	N
	44'.5503	27'.1715			
BB3	-64°	-63°	311	20181214150607.raw	Y
	43'.1069	27'.4937			
Neumayer	-64°	-63°	345	20181215081949.raw	N
Channel	46'.6671	28'.8280			

Table 5.1.6: Summary of location and depths for gravity core targets identified from TOPAS data at Börgen Bay. Please refer to section 5.4.3 for further details and description of the cores retrieved from each site.

#### Sheldon Cove



Figure 5.1.17: TOPAS profiles at stations SC7, SC8, SC9 and SC10 from Sheldon Cove, Adelaide Island. Red lines indicate the location on the profile that was targeted by gravity coring, and are summarised further in Table 5.1.7.

Table 5.1.7: Summary of location and depths for gravity core targets identified from TOPAS data at Sheldon Cove. Please refer
to section 5.4.3for further details and description of the cores retrieved from each site.

Site	Latitude	Longitude	Water	Raw file	Success
Name	(DM)	(DM)	Depth		
			(m)		
SC7	-67°	-68°	293	20811221100120.raw	Y
	32'.5595	15'.8919			
SC8	-67°	-68°	508	20181221103011.raw	Y
	34'.2416	12'.7196			
SC9	-67°	-68°	468	20181221105527.raw	Y
	34'.1499	17'.2184			
SC10	-67°	-68°	336	20181221113413.raw	Y
	32'.9562	17'.5865			

#### 5.2 Oceanography

Katy Sheen, processing and calibration (U. Exeter): <u>k.l.sheen@exeter.ac.uk</u>
Dave Goodger, Science Support Engineer (BAS): davOdg@bas.ac.uk
Amber Annette, water sampling (U. Southampton)
Ander M. de Lecea, CTD & LADCP set-up (SAERI, Falkland Islands)
Marina Costa, CTD & LADCP set-up (SAERI, Falkland Islands)
James Williams, running salinometer samples (Cardiff U.)
Alice Guzzi, running salinometer samples (MNA U. Genoa)
George Dadd, EK80 data (Kiote Ltd)
Floyd Howard, VMADCP data

#### 5.2.1 CTD

CTD/LADCP deployments, with water sampling for salinity, nutrients, oxygen isotopes (dO<sup>18</sup>), microplastics, Radium samples for RACETRAX and rare earth elements (REE) for GLARE (James W.) and diatom samples (James W) were performed at the fifteen ICEBERGS sites. Typically, at each of the ICEBERGS sites, one CTD was collected for 'ICEBERGS' during which nutrients, salts, dO<sup>18</sup>, REE, diatoms, microplastics and radium were sampled. The 'ICEBERGS' CTD was followed by one to two 'RACETRAX' CTDs in which only Radium samples were collected. In addition to the above, one successful CTD was deployed (CTD 1) in Burdwood Bank for the Marine Management Area of the Falkland Islands project (A. M. de Lecea). The ICEBERGS sites are represented by CTD casts 3-17 (Marian Cove), 18-26 (Börgen Bay) and 27-38 (Sheldon Glacier) and summarised in Table 5.2.1. All original CTDLADCP/Bottlesample logsheets, can be found in: scientific\_work\_areas/CTD\_LADCP/CTD\_logsheets.

Salinity samples from the entire cruise were used to calibrate the CTD conductivity sensors. Seawater samples for oxygen isotope ratio analysis were taken in glass bottles from both the CTD Niskins (see Table 5.2.2) and the underway pumped seawater supply (40 samples), to investigate the variability in freshwater sources with distance from the glacial sites and/or from the sea ice edge (see Table 5.2.3). The samples will be analysed ashore by Mike Meredith. In addition diatom samples were collected from the underway data (Table 5.2.3).

Interestingly, it is noted that temperatures in Marian Cove and Börgen Bay appear to be much warmer than during JR17001, even at 250 m (Nov-Dec 2017). To confirm this raw CTD data files were double checked.



5.2.1 Potential temperature and salinity depth profiles at the ICEBERGS sites (legend shows CTD numbers). Note warmer circumpolar deep water influence in Börgen Bay and Sheldon compared with Marian Cove.



Figure 5.2.2 T-S relationship at the ICEBERGS sites. See Figure 5.2.1 for legend.

#### CTD operations and initial data processing

The CTD instrumentation and setup are described in the AME cruise report. CTD data were acquired with SeaSave V 7.22.3. Subsequently, three steps were run in SBE Data Processing: Data Conversion, to export the data as a text (.cnv) file; Align CTD, to apply a time alignment offset to the oxygen data (note that the hysteresis correction is applied subsequently); and Cell Thermal Mass, to correct the conductivity readings. The settings for these steps are provided by the manufacturer.

#### Water sampling and analysis

As descried above water samples were taken for several different analyses and projects. In this section we largely cover salinity samples taken to calibrate the CTD conductivity. It is desirable to take salinity samples in locations of relatively stable water properties, covering a range of conductivity and oxygen values, as well as temperature and pressure. For oxygen 18 samples it is desirable to take samples over a range of depths and water masses, including in or at the edge of pycnoclines. However, in practice to save water for Radium, commonly, nutrients, dO<sup>18</sup>, REE and salinity were taken from the same bottles (see Table 5.2.2). Opportunistic microplastics sampling was also performed on free Niskin bottles and diatom samples were taken from the shallowest bottle depth.
### Salinity

Salinity samples were taken in 200 mL glass sample bottles, rinsed three times before filling and sealed with clean dry stoppers. Crates of samples were stored in the Bio Lab for a minimum of 12 hours before analysis to allow equilibration to laboratory temperature (approximately 22 deg C).

The samples were analysed for conductivity using a BAS-supply Guildline 8400B Salinometer, with a cell temperature of 24 C, following standard procedures as described in the GO-SHIP manual and cruise reports for JR306, JR15003, and JR16002. Bottle conductivity ratio readings were logged both by hand and using the OSIL Salinometer Data Logger software. IAPSO standard seawater bottles (batch P162) run before and after each crate of samples were used to compute a linearly drifting offset by reference to the nominal conductivity ratio of the standard. The auto-salinometer instrument proved to be rather flaky, with the pump or flush constantly breaking, and several bubbles – this was the case particularly at the start of the cruise (see AME report). As such in some cases multiple readings had to be taken for each salinity sample and the variability is quite high from some crates. Obvious outliers were removed during processing (msal\_standardise\_avg).

## Oxygen Isotopes (d<sup>18</sup>O)

Samples were collected in glass bottles from the CTD Niskins, following REE sample collection and before collection of salinity and other samples (see Table 5.2.2). In addition oxygen isotope samples were collected roughly four times a day from the underway tap whilst in the ICEBERGS study sites (see Table 5.2.3).

### CTD data processing and calibration

Data were processed (KLS) using Mexec 3.1, a suite of MATLAB programs developed by the Ocean Circulation and Processes group at the NOC as kindly left set-up by Yvonne Firing from (JR18002). Processing the previous cruise log files can be found in scientific work areas/CTD LADCP/CTD processing logs. NB. There was a problem processing CTD 15 (which was a sound velocity profile for multibeam work).

The CTD temperature sensor was calibrated by comparison with SBE35 values obtained at bottle firing times. The conductivity (salinity) were calibrated by comparison between laboratory analysed values from Niskin water samples, and CTD values at bottle firing times as noted below.

temp1	0
temp2	-0.0025
cond1	0
cond2	+0.0025/35+1

CTD calibrations applied to each of the temperature and conductivity sensors. Due to generally shallow CTD casts, and the highly variable temperature and salinities found in the fjords, along with several auto-sal samples being unusable, it was decided to only apply constant offsets to data (rather than as a function of station number or pressure).





Figure 5.2.3 Comparison of SBE35 and CTD temperature sensor 2 (in salinity equivalent units) before (left) and after (right) calibration. The top left panels show residuals as a function of time. Cyan dots are the differences between the two CTD sensors.



Figure 5.2.4 Comparison of bottle and CTD conductivity sensor 2 (in salinity equivalent units) before (left) and after (right) calibration. The top left panels show residuals as a function of time. Cyan dots are the differences between the two CTD sensors.

Key output files include: ctd\_jr18003\_nnn\_csv\_list\_2dbar\_down.csv (nnn is station number: in data/collected\_files or scientific\_work\_area/ctd\_calibrated\_ctdfiles) sam\_jr18003\_csv\_list\_nisk\_surf\_to\_deep.csv station\_summary\_jr18003\_all.nc opt\_jr18003.m (has bottle flags, calibration settings etc)

Finalised files were also output into CCHDO exchange file formats as icebergs 74JC20181201\*.csv collected files (in or scientific\_work\_area/ctd\_calibrated\_ctdfiles/cchdo\_files).

# 5.2.2 LADCP

The 300-kHz Workhorse lowered acoustic Doppler current profiler (LADCP) was installed in a downward-looking configuration on the CTD rosette. The instrument was configured to sample 25 x 8- m bins, with data collected in beam coordinates and rotated to earth coordinates during processing. The LADCP was connected to a charger and by a serial cable to the CTD computer in the UIC for programming prior to each station and data download after each station using BBTalk. Data downloaded after each station were copied to the network data drive. No LADCP data was recorded on a few stations (2, 25, 26 and 35) do to set up errors. CTD 5 was also not deep enough to produce useful data. Overall, however, the LADCP does appear to have produced reasonable measurements. Log files are incorporated into CTD logs (see: scientific\_work\_areas/CTD\_LADCP/CTD\_logsheets). LADCP data were processed with the LDEO IX software, incorporating ship navigation and CTD pressure streams to constrain the solution for earth-relative velocity from the measured instrument-relative velocity.

# 5.2.3 VMADCP

VMADCP data collected in RDI's VMDAS software were also collected. The VMADCP was set up through VMDAS and configured with 8-m bins for most of the cruise (mostly shallow waters). Bottom tracking was switched on to begin with when in shallow water, before being changed to water tracking. Changes in VMADCP set-up (i.e. file numbers, bottom or water track etc were recorded digitally in the VMADCP event log and on a paper copy (scanned and placed in legwork in: scientific\_work\_areas/CTD\_LADCP/CTD\_logsheets). Further processing was not achieved due to difficulties with python codes and CODAS set-up. Post-processing ashore will need to be performed in due course.

# 5.2.4 EK80

The EK80 supersedes the EK60 Eco sounder which was previously used to identify Krill. The upgraded system is potentially more powerful and has enabled provision of a graphical display of density structures in the water column. In real time, this system highlighted regions where enhanced mixing was present and helped us to inform sampling strategy for the Vertical Mixing Profiler. We recorded data at the three sampling sites typically when passing over a sill, or whilst conducting CTD or VMP sampling. Continuous data recording was not possible due to storage and network capacity.

The data will provide an additional data set to support the findings of the VMP and CTD. The figure shows density changes in the water column detected by the EK80. The soundings are produced by transponders ES38 ES70 and ES120 which capture features of interest using low to high frequencies respectively. A hand written log was created which has been placed in 'Scientific\_workarea/ek60\_ek80' along with a selection of screen shots (e.g. Figure 5.2.5).



Figure 5.2.5: Screen shot from EK80 over the sill at Marian Cove.

### **Issues to correct:**

- 1. Systematic vertical lines are seen, particularly in the ES70 and ES120 images. These are potentially from unwanted noise sources and other transponders. It is recommended that BAS configure the EK80's transponders to trigger sequentially with the other transponders on the ship by configuring the synchronisation mode.
- 2. The computer system stores sequential data at the rate of 102MB per minute. The disk size on the networked data storage space was not sufficient for round the clock data recording. We used a separate portable hard drive and reduced sampling to short durations to overcome this problem. It is recommended that BAS allocate more network space to allow round the clock sampling. Scientists using this equipment are advised to discuss data capacity in advance of the next cruise and bring own hard drives to take the data away further processing.

### Settings:

Settings are potentially crucial for obtaining mix layer data. (see Acoustic mapping of mixed layer depth, Christian Stranne et al 2018, Ocean Sci.)

<u>User Settings</u>: Most recent settings file is 'JCR18003C' which is stored on computer named 'PU1' for the EK80 system. The file can be selected from EK80 software under 'user settings'.

*Further info available at www.simrad.com/ek80* 

### 5.2.5 Other Underway Data

The hydrographic measurements are complemented by ocean current and underway surface ocean and meteorological observations. The SCS underway data streams for navigation, meteorological parameters, and thermosalinograph (TSG) were read in and processed on a daily basis using Mexec v3.1. Processing included the removal of out-of-range values (or values when the seawater pumps were off, in the case of the TSG), some automatic despiking, and averaging (vector averaging in the case of the wind data). The TSG salinity was calibrated, following the same procedure described in 12.2.4, using samples taken from the underway pumped seawater supply throughout the cruise, at 6-hourly intervals during the active segments and opportunistically at other (i.e. steaming segments). Data was highly variable in the fjords (likely due cold freshwater influx) but confidence is gained as it stabilised during steaming periods (See Figure 5.2.6). It was decided to apply a constant offset calibration of +0.0159 to salinity values based on the mean of the smoothed difference between the bottle salinity and TSG values (see black line in Figure 5.2.6). This is corrected in mday\_01\_clean\_ave.m.

Useful output files from Underway processing:

- sam\_jr18003\_all.nc
- \*\*\_jr18003\_01.nc where \*\* is: ea600; em122; anemometer; furuno\_gga; gyro\_s\_; seatex\_hdt; bst; tsshrp; dopplerlog; oceanlogger;
- data/ocl/tsg/oceanlogger\_jr18003\_01\_medav\_clean.nc
- data/ocl/tsg/oceanlogger\_jr18003\_01\_medav\_clean\_cal.nc
- Note scs\_mat files are unprocessed.
- Other met files: met\_jr18003\_true.nc; met\_jr18003\_treuav.nc; met files: The latter file is reduced to 1-minute averages, with correct vector averaging when required. In order to avoid ambiguity, variable units are explicit in whether wind directions are 'towards' or 'from' the direction in question. The result is a bit cumbersome, but should be unambiguous if the units are read carefully. Note: TECHSAS stores wind speed in m/s, but says the variable unit is knots.



*Figure 5.2.6: TSG and bottle salinities before calibration (top), with differences (bottom); the smoothed black function was used to calibrate the TSG record.* 

Table 5.2.1 Summary of CTD stations at Burdwood Bank (grey), Marian Cove (blue), Börgen Bay (red) and Sheldon Glacier (yellow).

stn	yy/mo/dd	<b>hhmm</b> (at bottom)	dg	min	lat	dg	min	lon
1	18/12/03	809	55	11.21	S	57	55.46	W
3	18/12/08	327	62	13.06	S	58	47.35	W
4	18/12/08	600	62	13.06	S	58	47.35	W
5	18/12/08	740	62	13.06	S	58	47.34	W
6	18/12/08	934	62	12.77	S	58	46.12	W
7	18/12/08	1116	62	12.77	S	58	46.11	W
8	18/12/08	1230	62	12.78	S	58	46.13	W
9	18/12/08	2214	62	12.5	S	58	44.87	W
10	18/12/08	2323	62	12.49	S	58	44.89	W
11	18/12/09	145	62	12.36	S	58	44.39	W
12	18/12/09	316	62	12.35	S	58	44.39	W
13	18/12/09	639	62	12.2	S	58	44.12	W
14	18/12/09	813	62	12.27	S	58	44.15	W
16	18/12/10	1630	62	12.2	S	58	44.08	W
17	18/12/10	1706	62	12.76	S	58	46.07	W
18	18/12/12	1758	64	44.5	S	63	27.21	W
19	18/12/13	1546	64	44.49	S	63	27.23	W
20	18/12/13	1745	64	44.51	S	63	27.23	W
21	18/12/13	1856	64	43.56	S	63	27.14	W
22	18/12/13	2032	64	43.06	S	63	27.53	W
23	18/12/13	2212	64	42.19	S	63	27.02	W
24	18/12/13	2317	64	42.65	S	63	27.27	W
25	18/12/14	19	64	42.65	S	63	27.27	W
26	18/12/14	100	64	42.64	S	63	27.26	W
27	18/12/20	1312	67	34.16	S	68	14.43	W
28	18/12/20	1729	67	34.2	S	68	13.45	W
29	18/12/20	1857	67	34.2	S	68	13.51	W
30	18/12/20	2029	67	34.2	S	68	13.51	W
31	18/12/20	2144	67	32.99	S	68	16.04	W
32	18/12/20	2248	67	32.98	S	68	16.06	W
33	18/12/21	0	67	32.35	S	68	15.82	W
34	18/12/21	1217	67	31.71	S	68	15.34	W
35	18/12/21	1334	67	31.71	S	68	15.35	W
36	18/12/21	1440	67	31.72	S	68	15.35	W
37	18/12/21	1559	67	31.17	S	68	15.38	W
38	18/12/21	1722	67	30.96	S	68	15.2	W

	Table 5.2.2	Bottle depths for which	nutrients, 018, I	REE and sailinty were	sampled. *=no REE taken.
--	-------------	-------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------

CTD_	Lat(D	Lat(Mi	Lon(	Lon(Mi	J_D	Time(UT	Water_De	Niski	Depth(
Cast	eg)	n)	Deg)	n)	ay	C)	pth(m)	n	m)
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:38:58	95.0	11	85
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:35:39	95.0	12	77
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:37:40	95.0	13	62.4
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:29:00	95.0	14	47.4
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:40:21	95.0	15	36.4
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:41:20	95.0	16	31.4
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:42:50	95.0	17	21.5
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:44:18	95.0	19	16.4
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:45:50	95.0	21	11.5
3	-62	13.058	-58	47.348	342	03:48:05	95.0	23	2.3
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:40:41	103.2	11	93.3
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:42:17	103.2	12	84.7
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:43:43	103.2	13	70.9
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:44:58	103.2	14	61
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:46:28	103.2	15	51.1
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:48:00	103.2	16	36.1
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:49:22	103.2	17	26.1
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:51:14	103.2	19	16.1
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:52:47	103.2	21	11.1
6	-62	12.774	-58	46.116	342	09:54:28	103.2	23	6.1
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:29:02	105.6	11	101.5
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:30:09	105.6	12	85.1
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:32:07	105.6	13	75.2
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:33:36	105.6	14	60.2
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:34:38	105.6	15	55.2
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:35:48	105.6	16	50.3
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:37:24	105.6	17	30.4
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:38:59	105.6	19	20.4
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:40:45	105.6	21	7
10	-62	12.492	-58	44.893	342	23:41:01	105.6	23	1.9
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:51:02	117.5	11	106.84
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:52:18	117.5	12	100.99
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:53:18	117.5	13	89.4
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:54:53	117.5	14	74.46
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:56:22	117.5	15	59.44
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:58:01	117.5	16	44.61
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	01:59:30	117.5	17	29.74
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	02:00:44	117.5	18	19.61
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	02:02:19	117.5	20	9.45
11	-62	12.362	-58	44.393	343	02:03:49	117.5	22	1.55

	1	1				1	1		
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:44:44	99.0	11	87.77
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:47:01	99.0	12	74.33
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:48:23	99.0	13	62.47
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:49:44	99.0	14	52.55
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:50:46	99.0	15	47.6
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:51:59	99.0	16	39.6
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:53:18	99.0	17	29.8
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:54:57	99.0	18	14.81
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:56:19	99.0	20	6.66
13	-62	12.118	-58	44.096	343	06:58:18	99.0	22	1.7
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:50:26	260.8	11	240
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:52:00	260.8	12	180.4
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:55:07	260.8	13	120.4
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:56:48	260.8	14	90.5
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:58:19	260.8	15	60.7
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	15:59:57	260.8	16	30.8
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	16:00:51	260.8	17	20.8
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	16:02:05	260.8	18	15.8
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	16:03:42	260.8	20	7.7
19	-64	44.315	-63	27.8	347	16:05:26	260.8	22	1.2
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	18:57:44	249.0	11	235.65
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:00:05	249.0	12	170.25
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:02:17	249.0	13	130.3
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:04:03	249.0	14	100.36
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:05:12	249.0	15	80.44
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:11:23	249.0	16	50.35
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:12:27	249.0	17	35.4
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:13:59	249.0	18	25.7
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:15:18	249.0	20	8.75
21	-64	43.567	-63	27.145	347	19:16:40	249.0	22	2.79
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:32:58	288.2	11	286.3
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:35:13	288.2	12	199.9
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:37:40	288.2	13	100.7
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:39:03	288.2	14	66
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:43:31	288.2	15	50.9
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:44:33	288.2	16	35.9
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:45:23	288.2	17	25.9
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:46:27	288.2	18	16
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:47:29	288.2	20	11
22	-64	43.074	-63	27.448	347	20:49:35	288.2	22	3.3
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:15:47	280.3	11	266.31
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:17:53	280.3	12	200.44
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:19:31	280.3	13	160.57
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:21:14	280.3	14	100.69

23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:22:51	280.3	15	70.79
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:22:28	280.3	16	50.99
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:28:35	280.3	17	30.97
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:29:37	280.3	18	15.98
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:31:05	280.3	20	8.01
23	-64	42.190	-63	27.022	347	22:32:15	280.3	22	3.03
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:20:34	265.2	11	251.1
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:23:21	265.2	12	180.9
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:25:14	265.2	13	121.1
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:26:43	265.2	14	81
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:29:19	265.2	15	55.1
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:30:20	265.2	16	41.2
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:32:45	265.2	17	30.3
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:33:47	265.2	18	21.4
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:35:00	265.2	20	11.4
24	-62	42.647	-58	27.269	347	23:36:16	265.2	22	2.6
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:01:36	512.0	11	505.4
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:04:26	512.0	12*	400.1
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:07:00	512.0	13	300.5
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:10:37	512.0	14	157.6
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:12:20	512.0	15	120.8
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:13:41	512.0	16	90.9
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:15:29	512.0	17	41
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:16:58	512.0	18	20.4
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:18:26	512.0	20	10.4
29	-67	34.187	-68	13.602	354	19:22:54	512.0	22	1.2
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:48:31	182.0	11	176.3
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:49:50	182.0	12*	120.7
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:51:21	182.0	13	120.7
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:52:49	182.0	14	100.9
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:53:49	182.0	15	80.8
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:55:24	182.0	16	51
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:56:30	182.0	17	35.2
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:57:30	182.0	18	20.2
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	21:59:15	182.0	20	10.3
31	-67	33.131	-68	16.058	354	22:00:40	182.0	22	0.8
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:02:10	329.0	11	284.5
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:04:49	329.0	12*	248.0
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:08:49	329.0	13	151
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:10:54	329.0	14	111.2
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:13:10	329.0	15	81.4
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:15:28	329.0	16	60.4
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:16:03	329.0	17	50.4
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:22:34	329.0	18	25.5

33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:24:42	329.0	20	10.3
33	-67	32.983	-68	16.061	354	00:27:14	329.0	22	0.8
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:20:02	259.9	11	250.2
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:22:23	259.9	12*	200.5
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:25:10	259.9	13	151.7
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:27:13	259.9	14	111.7
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:28:39	259.9	15	88.8
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:30:06	259.9	16	62.1
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:32:23	259.9	17	26
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:33:31	259.9	18	16.2
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:34:51	259.9	20	9
34	-67	34.179	-68	17.117	355	12:37:19	259.9	22	1.4
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:00:06	200.0	11*	190.2
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:02:55	200.0	12*	156.6
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:05:07	200.0	13*	115.7
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:06:34	200.0	14*	80.8
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:07:57	200.0	15*	60.7
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:09:15	200.0	16*	40.8
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:09:59	200.0	17*	31
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:14:50	200.0	18*	20.9
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:16:10	200.0	20*	11.1
37	-67	31.167	-68	15.356	355	16:18:10	200.0	22*	0.8
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:23:00	200.4	1	186.1
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:26:46	200.4	12*	140.7
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:28:10	200.4	13	125.5
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:29:07	200.4	14	112.7
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:33:06	200.4	15	80.8
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:35:06	200.4	16	60.8
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:36:31	200.4	17	35.9
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:37:46	200.4	18	20.9
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:38:51	200.4	20	10.9
38	-67	30.954	-68	15.344	355	17:41:39	200.4	22	0.8

Table 5.2.3 Underway samples log for O<sup>18</sup> and diatom underway samples. At each station a salinity sample was also taken for underway conductivity calibration.

UW Number	YearDay	Date	Time UTC	018bot	Diatom bottle	LAT	LON
1	339	05-Dec	11:34	-	-	54'57.9969 S	57'45.0419 W
2	339	05-Dec	16:09	-	-	54'59.9977 S	57'40.8987 W
3	340	06-Dec	11:25	-	-	58'29.9850 S	57'14.0838 W
4	340	06-Dec	16:38	-	-	59'19.7691 S	57'08.4703 W
5	340	06-Dec	22:59	-	-	60'18.6717 S	56'18.1003 W
6	341	07-Dec	11:00	-	-	61'43.8893 S	55'37.6079 W
7	341	07-Dec	17:21	-	-	62'03.2586 S	57'03.9121 W
8	341	07-Dec	23:08	-	-	62'17.2454 S	58'16.2532 W
9	342	08-Dec	07:04	UW9	UW9	62'12.9267 S	58'47.7424 W
10	342	08-Dec	11:03	UW10	UW10	62'12.7191 S	58'45.9789 W
11	342	08-Dec	17:03	UW11	UW11	62'12.5056 S	58'44.9482 W
12	342	08-Dec	23:00	UW12	UW12	62'12.4438 S	58'45.1130 W
13	343	09-Dec	01:55	UW13	UW13	62'12.3644 S	58'44.3952 W
14	343	09-Dec	11:25	UW14	UW14	62'12.3470 S	58'44.3896 W
15	343	09-Dec	17:23	UW15	UW15	62'12.2227 S	58'43.9064 W
16	343	09-Dec	23:04	UW16	UW16	62'12.3620 S	58'44.3769 W
17	344	10-Dec	05:07	UW17	UW17	62'12.4954 S	58'44.8741 W
18	344	10-Dec	11:34	UW18	UW18	62'12.7539 S	58'47.2028 W
19	344	10-Dec	17:37	UW19	UW19	62'12.7552 S	58'46.0737 W
20	344	10-Dec	23:11	UW20	UW20	62'13.6323 S	58'50.5765 W
21	345	11-Dec	01:58	UW21	UW21	62'12.3532 S	58'44.3847 W
22	345	11-Dec	11:25	UW22	UW22	62'13.0594 S	58'47.3618 W
23	345	11-Dec	14:00	UW23	UW23	62'12.8278 S	58'46.3628 W
24	346	12-Dec	11:01	UW24	UW24	64'24.9485 S	62'03.5727 W
25	346	12-Dec	17:00	UW25	UW25	64'44.5015 S	63'27.2072 W
26	346	12-Dec	23:00	UW26	UW26	64'47.3152 S	63'31.4525 W
27	347	13-Dec	05:00	UW27	UW27	64'43.9273 S	63'27.0374 W
28	347	13-Dec	08:00	UW28	UW28	64'43.8211 S	63'27.4951 W
29	347	13-Dec	14:00	UW29	UW29	64'43.9106 S	63'26.9228 W
30	347	13-Dec	23:00	UW30	UW30	64'43.9106 S	63'26.9228 W
31	348	14-Dec	05:00	UW31	UW31	64'44.0608 S	63'27.1898 W
32	348	14-Dec	23:28	UW32	UW32	64'43.0940 S	63'27.5072 W
33	349	15-Dec	11:07	UW33	UW33	64'44.5607 S	63'27.2053 W
34	349	15-Dec	16:54	UW34	UW34	64'42.6415 S	63'27.2823 W
35	349	15-Dec	23:10	UW35	UW35	64'44.0466 S	63'27.3501 W
36	350	16-Dec	05:04	UW36	UW36	64'44.0491 S	63'26.7505 W
37	350	16-Dec	16:27	-	-	65'26.6679 S	66'40.8302 W
38	351	17-Dec	-	UW38	UW38		
39	354	18-Dec	17:26	UW39	-	65'33.4480 S	66'58.0844 W
40	354	18-Dec	23:57	-	-	66'30.0210 S	68'30.8316 W
41	355	19-Dec	05:04	UW40	UW40	67'34.3261 S	68'07.8024 W
42	355	19-Dec	11:00	UW41	UW41	67'34.3263 S	68'07.8020 W

43	355	19-Dec	17:00	UW42	-	67'34.3253	S	68'07.8019	W
44	355	19-Dec	23:04	UW43	UW43	67'34.3259	S	68'07.8027	W
45	356	23-Dec	04:56	UW45	UW45	67'31.1983	S	68'15.2124	W
46	356	23-Dec	13:17	UW46	-	67'30.9708	S	68'15.1858	W
47	357	23-Dec	05:01	UW47	-	67'31.1979	S	68'15.2130	W
48	357	23-Dec	08:07	UW48	-	67'31.7147	S	68'15.3716	W

### 5.2.6 VMP250IR Turbulence profiler

Katy Sheen, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall UK. Ben Lincoln, University of Bangor UK George Dadd, Kiote Ltd, Cornwall UK

### Overview

A Vertical Microstructure Profiler (VMP) is an instrument used for the measurement of micro-scale (mms to tens of centimetres) temperature, conductivity and velocity shear. Vertical profiles of these properties allow us to estimate the Turbulent Kinetic Energy (TKE) dissipation rate, which acts to mix water, and the stratification strength (N<sup>2</sup>), which acts to oppose this mixing. Eddy diffusivities can be calculated from these, and used to estimate vertical fluxes of properties or tracers within the water column. The specific goals for the VMP dataset are to:

1. Characterise TKE dissipation rate and identify key forcing processes.

2. Calculate oceanic heat fluxes to understand their contribution to glacial melt.

3. Provide estimates of nutrient/chemical fluxes for biological processes.

### Instrument and Setup

The VMP250IR is the smallest profiler manufactured by Rockland Scientific. It is designed for coastal zone work and records data internally. Its small size and internal power supply allows it to be operated out of small boats or away from power supplies, e.g. ice-camps.



The profiler is an aluminium tube containing a battery and single board computer for the recording of data from the attached probes on the head of the instrument. Four probes were utilised in this project; temperature and conductivity were recorded by fast responses probes (T1 and C1) whilst there were 2 shear probes recording micro scale velocity shear (S1 and S2).

The tail of the instrument has brushes attached to control the fall rate and stabilise it as it freefalls through the water column.

Recovery of the VMP from depth was achieved using an ISW electric winch, mounted on a swivel and bolted to the bulwark. Boltholes with correct spacing already existed at the stern, outboard of the A-frame on the port side. The electric winch requires 240V power, which was supplied from its associated RCD protection unit inside the mechanical workshop. The winch was wound with 500m of 4mm for instrument Dyneema line recovery, which worked very well, with no knots or tangles, although it had a tendency to be trapped on the drum, as it was played out.



## Deployment and Recovery

Internal recording was initiated through use of a magnetic switch, and this was completed on deck, using a spanner to tighten the bolt, while a flashing light on the tail indicated that recording had commenced.

The lightweight of the VMP250 meant that no crane was required for deployment as it could easily be manually handled over the bulwark and lowered into vertical position below the winch arm. The VMP was then lowered to the water from this position close to the hull, as the calm conditions meant that there was no risk of impact with the ship's hull.

Paying out of line during deployment and recovery of the instrument was controlled with the supplied speed controller. The ship steamed at 0.3kts in order to move away from the VMP and ensure that there was no risk to the ships propeller from the loose line. This meant that we needed to pay out 20% more line than was required to reach the bottom (or a maximum of 300m if the water depth was greater). The winch and swivel worked well during recovery, retrieving the instrument rapidly and allowing neat spooling of the line, thus avoiding uneven build up. However, when paying out the line, it was prone to become trapped and pulled under the drum, hauling the instrument in on the underside. This was overcome by having a second person, manually pulling line off the drum. Use of larger diameter, regular rope may reduce the tendency of this trapping.

As the device records internally, there was no need for a person recording data files, but accurate record keeping was key and so a third person was employed to do this. As live data quality checks were not possible, a visual inspection of the probes was carried out every few

casts. This was important, as a large number of probes were damaged during impact with the seabed. The probe damage was unfortunate, due to the high expense of the probes; however, it was important to capture the strong mixing observed close to the bed over the rocky sill region, and the lack of live depth feed made it hard to avoid impact with the bed. The serial numbers of the probes used for each profile is given in the VMP data table and associated shear probe sensitivities are given in the probe table. After VMP recovery, it was carried into the mechanical workshop where it was secured to a custom stand and brush section removed. The deck cable was attached through the connector on the tail, to commence charging and data download through the proprietary software.

### Sampling Strategy & Data Table

Eight hours of time was allotted for VMP profiles at each survey site. It was decided that these should be used in a single block to generate a time series of data that captures tidal variability. This also gave time to the CTD water sampling team to catch up and process samples. However, the shallow water and complex bathymetry at the survey sites mean that there is significant spatial variability in turbulence generation, which would not be captured in a time series at one location. In an attempt to capture both the temporal and spatial variability a repeated series of transects were made over the sill region of the bays, with a 1000m transect taking 2-3 hours to complete, with 8-15 profiles completed depending on water depth. The exact position of the transect lines varied as the ship needed to avoid icebergs, or struggled to maintain position during strong winds. The timings and locations of transects are outlined in the table which follows. Opportunistic stations were also made during periods of inactivity between CTD casts, the details of these is also in the VMP data table.

#### Table 5.2.4 VMP Data table

Station	File Number	# of Profiles	Date	Start Time (UTC)	Start Latitude	Start Longitude	End Time (UTC)	End Latitude	End Longitude	T1	C1	<b>S1</b>	S2	Bridge Event
Marian Cove														
MC1	22	3	08/12/2018	04:17	-62.2176	-58.7892	04:46	-62.2180	-58.7976	T750	C154	M994	M993	11
MC1	24	3	08/12/2018	06:30	-62.2177	-58.7891	07:00			T750	C154	M994	M993	14
MC2	25	5	08/12/2018	10:15	-62.2129	-58.7686	10:50	-62.2142	-58.7809	T750	C154	M994	M993	18
MC2	27	3	08/12/2018	11:38	-62.2129	-58.7686	12:04	-62.2141	-58.7756	T750	C154	M994	M993	20
MC Transect 1	28	13	08/12/2018	13:32	-62.2089	-58.7506	14:53	-62.2111	-58.7609	T750	C154	M994	M993	23
MC Transect 2	30	3	08/12/2018	15:40	-62.2083	-58.7480	16:00	-62.2092	-58.7522	T750	C154	M994	M442	24
MC Transect 3	31	8	08/12/2018	16:16	-62.2106	-58.7617	17:08	-62.2082	-58.7477	T750	C154	M994	M442	25
MC Transect 4	32	9	08/12/2018	17:28	-62.2082	-58.7479	18:35	-62.2114	-58.7638	T667	C154	M994	M442	26
MC Transect 5	33	8	08/12/2018	19:05	-62.2082	-58.7476	19:59	-62.2108	-58.7608	T676	C154	M994	M442	27
MC Transect 6	34	9	08/12/2018	20:21	-62.2080	-58.7472	21:12	-62.2108	-58.7601	T676	C154	M994	M787	28
MC3	35	5	08/12/2018	22:33	-62.2083	-58.7478	22:54	-62.2073	-58.7524	T676	C154	M994	M787	31
MC4	37	3	09/12/2018	00:33	-62.2059	-58.7398	01:06	-62.2078	-58.7469	T676	C154	M994	M787	33
Börgen Bay														
BB Transect 1	38	10	13/12/2018	02:40	-64.7386	-63.4633	04:32	-64.7321	-63.4505	T676	C154	M994	M787	113
BB failed Transect	39	1	13/12/2018	05:32	-64.7311	-63.4485	05:47	-64.7322	-63.4489	T676	C129	M994	M787	114
BB Transect 2	40	10	13/12/2018	06:06	-64.7389	-63.4624	07:59	-64.7304	-63.4582	T676	C129	M994	M787	115
BB Transect 3	41	15	13/12/2018	08:38	-64.7388	-63.4359	11:35	-64.7268	-63.4595	T676	C130	M994	M787	116
BB1	42	2	13/12/2018	16:30	-64.7413	-63.4538	17:00	-64.7374	-63.4538	T676	C131	M434	M785	118
BB Transect 4	44/45/47/50-56	10	14/12/2018	03:27	-64.7380	-63.4535	06:43	-64.7271	-63.4504	T676	C132	M434	M785	128-137
BB Transect 5	57/58/60/61/62	11	14/12/2018	07:15	-64.7382	-63.4527	10:05	-64.7278	-63.4513	T676	C133	M793	M438	138-141
BB Sill	63	1	14/12/2018	10:24	-64.7337	-63.4543	10:32	-64.7331	-63.4556	T676	C134	M793	M438	142
Ryder Bay														
SC1	66	1	20/12/2018	18:00	-67.5698	-68.2249	18:19	-67.5696	-68.2289	T676	C129	M793	M438	211
SC1	67	2	20/12/2018	19:35	-67.5700	-68.2253	20:01	-67.5686	-68.2299	T676	C129	M793	M438	213
SC2	68	2	20/12/2018	17:10	-67.5497	-68.2679	22:29	-67.5486	-68.2708	T676	C129	M793	M438	216
SC Transect 1	69	8	21/12/2018	01:16	-67.5509	-68.2554	03:03	-67.5445	-68.2715	T676	C129	M793	M438	219
SC Transect 2	70	8	21/12/2018	03:39	-67.5518	-68.2517	06:05	-67.5446	-68.2726	T676	C129	M793	M438	220
SC Transect 3	72	9	21/12/2018	04:00	-67.5495	-68.2732	08:58	-67.5453	-68.2769	T676	C129	M445	M438	221-222

#### Table 5.2.5 VMP Probe Table

Probe Type	Serial #	Sensitivity	Condition
Temperature	T750	-	Damaged
Temperature	T676	-	Survived
Temperature	T677	-	Damaged
Conductivity	C154	-	Damaged
Conductivity	C129	-	Damaged
Shear	M434	0.1121	Damaged
Shear	M438	0.1268	Survived
Shear	M442	0.928	Damaged
Shear	M445	0.0823	Survived
Shear	M787	0.0625	Damaged
Shear	M785	0.0959	Damaged
Shear	M793	0.0675	Damaged
Shear	M993	0.0661	Damaged
Shear	M994	0.0836	Damaged

### Results

A total of 162 profiles were collected at the 2 survey sites, with 6 transects at Marian Cove, 5 at Börgen Bay and 3 at Sheldon Cove. Initial analysis of the data show strongly enhanced turbulence over the sill regions, with significant variability throughout the time of the survey. An example transect from Börgen Bay is plotted below, showing TKE dissipation rate in  $\log_{10}[Wkg^{-1}]$  vs depth for 15 casts collected on 13/12/2018.



Figure 5.2.7 Visualisation of VMP results of multiple Sill crossing transects in Börgen Bay

#### Issues and Recommendations

After the first profile of File 44, the indication light on the VMP stopped flashing and stayed constantly on. We proceeded to bring the VMP onto deck and check that data was being logged before resuming profiling. Rockland Scientific were contacted, and the log file was sent to them. They confirmed that there was no problem with the data logging, but that the error message related to a fall rate issue and that the fall rate of our VMP250 was significantly below the expected value. WE attempted to address the fall rate issue by removing additional brushes from the tail assembly. However, despite the moderate increase in fall rate, the error light continued to be triggered. Perhaps the cold dense waters of the survey sites caused this fall rate issue. Further investigation and consultation with Rockland is required to resolve this issue.

There was also significant turbulence generated by the ship during profiling, which generally contaminated the upper 20m. However, at times this contamination reached 40m deep. This issue has been noted before on the James Clark Ross (JR288) and would be hard to resolve.



# 5.3 Marine Geochemistry

### 5.3.1 RACETRAX

## Amber Annett University of Southampton UK

### Radium isotopes in seawater

Ra sampling requires very large volumes of water, as Ra activities are typically very low away from sediment sources. Samples of ~150 L were collected from the CTD and the trace-metal clean towfish. The towfish was deployed from the aft starboard boom, at a depth of ~4m (when stationary), and ~2m when underway. The pump was run continuously while the fish was underwater, towing at speed up to 6 knots.

Samples were transferred using 20 L collapsible plastic containers into 160L bins for processing. Using a submersible pump, the samples were then passed through a column holding 20 g of MnO<sub>2</sub>-coated acrylic fiber, which strongly binds Ra. The fibers were then rinsed with Milli-Q and loaded into a Ra Delayed Coincidence Counter (RaDeCC; Scientific Computer Instruments, USA) system purged with He gas, and decay of Ra was counted for 6-10 h to quantify <sup>223</sup>Ra and <sup>224</sup>Ra content. Following decay of these short-lived isotopes, the fibers will be re-analysed using the RaDeCC to determine the activity of the parent isotopes (<sup>227</sup>Ac and <sup>228</sup>Th). Discrete 250mL samples were collected for 226Ra calibration, to determine extraction efficiency on the fibers.

#### Radium isotopes in sediment and porewaters

Five cores from the multicorer were collected at stations 1 and 4 in each bay. Three were used for extraction of porewaters, and 2 were used for sediment processing. Porewaters were collected from depths of 0-0.5, 0.5-1, 1-1.5, 1.5-2.5, 2.5-3.5, 3.5-4.5, 6-7 and 9-10cm within the cores, using rhizon samplers (Rhizosphere) which filter the porewater at ~0.18µm, and pooled before subsampling to obtain the 30-70ml total volume at each depth interval needed for Ra analysis. 2mL of porewater were subsampled for trace metal analysis and determination of nutrient concentrations, which will be performed back in the UK. Cores were sectioned at the same depths, with half of each sample being used for porosity determinations and the other half for precipitation of Ra isotopes. Sediments were then filtered onto 142mm GF/F filters and Ra and Th content determined using the RaDeCCs. Full methodology followed (Cai, Shi, Moore, & Dai, 2012).

### Trace metal sample collection

Trace metal samples were collected from the trace-metal clean towfish system when the ship was moving at >0.5 kts. The water flow was directed into the clean bubble with laminar flow filtered air supply. A 0.2µm acropak cartridge filter was attached and flushed with at least 0.5L of seawater. Dissolved trace metal (dTM) samples were then collected into acid-washed 250mL HDPE bottles. Unfiltered water was also collected for nutrient analysis, immediately filtered at 0.2µm with a fresh acrodisk filter, and then frozen. Samples for oxygen isotopes ( $\delta^{18}$ O) and salinity were also collected from the unfiltered towfish water. All sampling and

handling was performed following clean lab protocols, wearing tyvek lab coats, hair nets, and class-100 particle-free gloves.

Samples collected:

A total of 84 water samples were collected for Ra isotopes, from all three embayments, totaling ~9500 kg. Of these, 7 were surface samples collected from the towfish. Six were near-bottom samples collected from the Niskin bottle mounted on the multicore frame. Six were coretop water samples were collected from water overlying the cores, in these cases the water was collected from the three cores used for porewater extraction, and was pooled prior to filtration over the fiber.

Table 5.3.1: Summary of seawater Ra sampling events., Sample names are given as "Stn-ddd" where Stn = station name and ddd = depth. Mass is estimated from the average sample weight recovered from each Niskin (19 L) multiplied by the number of Niskin bottles collected at that depth. Smaller volume samples (coretop water and ~10L samples from the Niskin attached to the multicorer frame) were weighed using a beam scale and are accurate to ~0.2kg.

			Time				Long		
	CTD		(in	Lat	Lat	Long	(min	Mass	Bottom
Sample	num	Date	water)	(S)	(min S)	(W)	W)	(kg)	Depth
MC1-5	5	08/12/2018	07:36	62	13.056	58	47.336	187	96
MC1-20	5	08/12/2018	07:36	62	13.056	58	47.336	222	96
MC1-50	4	08/12/2018	05:55	62	13.061	58	47.346	195	95
MC1-70	4	08/12/2018	05:55	62	13.061	58	47.346	203	95
MC1-87	3	08/12/2018	03:20	62	13.057	58	47.349	148	96
MC1-coretop	MUC	11/12/2018	18:46	62	13.057	58	47.349	6	
MC2-5	8	08/12/2018	12:27	62	12.771	58	46.113	148	104
MC2-35	8	08/12/2018	12:27	62	12.771	58	46.113	201	104
MC2-70	7	08/12/2018	11:11	62	12.772	58	46.561	177	103
MC2-85	7	08/12/2018	11:11	62	12.772	58	46.561	148	103
MC2-93	6	08/12/2018	09:30	62	12.774	58	46.116	185	103
MC3-20	9	08/12/2018	22:07	62	12.496	58	44.867	123	108
MC3-60	9	08/12/2018	22:07	62	12.496	58	44.867	148	108
MC3-85	9	08/12/2018	22:07	62	12.496	58	44.867	148	108
MC3-96	10	08/12/2018	23:17	62	12.492	58	44.893	148	106
MC4-75	12	09/12/2018	03:08	62	12.352	58	44.387	123	115
MC4-90	12	09/12/2018	03:08	62	12.352	58	44.387	148	115
MC4-100	12	09/12/2018	03:08	62	12.352	58	44.387	148	115
MC4-107	11	09/12/2018	01:37	62	12.362	58	44.393	148	117
MC4-116	MUC	09/12/2018	01:50	62	12.362	58	44.393	10.05	117
MC4-coretop	MUC	09/12/2018	01:50	62	12.362	58	44.393	7.09	117
MC5-0	14	09/12/2018	08:05	62	12.246	58	44.153	141	118
MC5-30	14	09/12/2018	08:05	62	12.246	58	44.153	148	118
MC5-75	14	09/12/2018	08:05	62	12.246	58	44.153	129	118
MC5-89	13	09/12/2018	06:31	62	12.201	58	44.126	148	99

BB1-65	20	13/12/2018	17:36	64	44.315	63	27.8	141	260
BB1-120	20	13/12/2018	17:36	64	44.315	63	27.8	148	260
BB1-180	20	13/12/2018	17:36	64	44.315	63	27.8	129	260
BB1-215	18	12/12/2018	17:50	64	44.302	63	27.21	148	260
BB1-235	18	12/12/2018	17:50	64	44.302	63	27.21	148	260
BB1-250	19	13/12/2018	11:11	64	44.315	63	27.8	146	260
BB1-259	MUC	14/12/2018	14:36	64	44.315	63	27.8	10.02	260
BB1-coretop	MUC	14/12/2018	14:36	64	44.315	63	27.8	6.32	260
BB2-65	21	13/12/2018	18:47	64	43.567	63	27.234	148	255
BB3-65	22	13/12/2018	20:12	64	43.06	63	27.55	148	148
BB4-20	26	14/12/2018	00:58	64	42.64	63	27.265	148	265
BB4-30	26	14/12/2018	00:58	64	42.64	63	27.265	148	265
BB4-60	25	14/12/2018	00:09	64	42.648	63	27.263	123	266
BB4-180	25	14/12/2018	00:09	64	42.648	63	27.263	111	266
BB4-220	25	14/12/2018	00:09	64	42.648	63	27.263	129	266
BB4-255	24	13/12/2018	23:10	64	42.64	63	27.265	148	265
BB4-264	MUC	15/12/2018	13:39	64	42.64	63	27.265	10.52	265
BB4-coretop	MUC	15/12/2018	13:39	64	42.64	63	27.265	6.67	275
BB5-70	23	13/12/2018	21:59	64	42.19	63	27.025	148	280
SC1-coretop	MUC	21/12/2018		67	34.196	68	13.367	6	521
SC1-520	MUC	21/12/2018		67	34.196	68	13.367	9.68	521
SC1-510	29	20/12/2018	18:47	67	34.196	68	13.367	123.5	521
SC1-485	28	20/12/2018	17:18	67	34.196	68	13.367	150	512
SC1-460	28	20/12/2018	17:18	67	34.191	68	13.535	133	514
SC1-225	28	20/12/2018	17:18	67	34.196	68	13.367	152	512
SC1-157	30	20/12/2018		67	34.196	68	13.522	152	521
SC1-100	30	20/12/2018		67	34.191	68	13.535	133	514
SC1-40	30	20/12/2018		67	34.191	68	13.535	152	514
SC2-180	31	20/12/2018		67	33.237	68	15.379	171	187
SC2-145	32	20/12/2018		67	32.991	68	16.053	152	181
SC2-43	32	20/12/2018		67	32.991	68	16.053	171	181
SC3-50	33	21/12/2018	23:50	67	32.983	68	16.06	171	329
SC4-249	34	21/12/2018	00:07	67	34.179	68	17.117	152	260
SC4-240	35	21/12/2018	13:32	67	34.179	68	17.117	114	260
SC4-200	35	21/12/2018	13:32	67	34.179	68	17.117	133	260
SC4-125	35	21/12/2018	13:32	67	34.179	68	17.117	133	260
SC4-110	36	21/12/2018	14:36	67	34.179	68	17.117	152	260
SC4-87	36	21/12/2018	14:36	67	34.179	68	17.117	152	260
SC4-40	36	21/12/2018	14:36	67	34.179	68	17.117	152	260
SC4-259	MUC	22/12/2018		67	34.179	68	17.117	8.94	260
SC4-coretop	MUC	22/12/2018		67	34.179	68	17.117	4.6	260
SC5-50	37	21/12/2018	15:43	67	31.167	68	15.384	152	201
SC6-112	38	21/12/2018	17:13	67	30.952	68	15.324	152	193

#### Trace metals:

A total of 8 sampling events were undertaken for surface water trace metal sampling. In Börgen Bay, all five sites were sampled for trace metals. In Marian Cove, problems with the towfish pump prevented sample collection at station 2 and ice cover was too heavy to use the towfish at site 5, but all other sites were sampled. The towfish was not deployed in Ryder Bay (Sheldon Cove) as surface sampling in this area will be undertaken from Rothera Research Station over the weeks following the cruise.

#### Preliminary results:

As two of the Ra isotopes of interest are very short-lived (i.e. 3.66 d for <sup>224</sup>Ra; 11.6 d for <sup>223</sup>Ra), they must be measured at sea and preliminary results are available. Figure 1 shows the water column profiles of these shortest-lived isotopes at the RaTS sampling site, although in both cases the activities shown also include the activity supported by the parent isotope in the water column and so results will need corrected based on subsequent analyses to determine this parent activity. Current results are therefore only a qualitative reflection of Ra activity, but show a promising increase towards the sediment as well as a significant signal at ~190m where transmissivity data indicated a particle-rich layer above a nearby sill. Also shown in Figure 1 (right hand plot) are profiles of <sup>224</sup>Ra, <sup>228</sup>Th, and <sup>224</sup>Ra:<sup>228</sup>Th in sediments at the same site. Note that both parameters are preliminary and will change with correction for longer-lived isotopes, but these results show a clear disequilibrium between the particle-associated parent isotope (Th) and soluble daughter (Ra) indicating recent efflux of solutes into the overlying waters. Additional analyses will refine these results and permit estimation of micro and macro-nutrient fluxes based on Fe:Ra and nutrient:Ra ratios in sediment pore waters.



Figure 5.3.1 Water column profiles of uncorrected activities of <sup>223</sup>Ra (left) and <sup>224</sup>Ra (centre). Units are dpm/m<sup>3</sup>. Right-hand plot shows preliminary estimates of <sup>224</sup>Ra and its parent <sup>228</sup>Th (in dpm/g) in sediments, with a deficit of <sup>224</sup>Ra towards the sediment-water interface (in red).

#### Acknowledgements

Thank you to the officers, engineers and crew of RRS James Clark Ross. I'm very grateful to the many people who helped me with lugging around endless bottles of water and preparing sampling fiber, this dataset would not exist without the huge amount of help. A special thank-you to the technicians, crew and SAERI volunteers for keeping CTD operations running, for the towfish deployments and recoveries, and multicore sampling. Finally, I'm very grateful to the ICEBERGS project for the opportunity to sample this remote, understudied and important region! This work is funded by NERC Independent Research Fellowship NE/P017630/1.

### 5.3.2 Glacial meltwater signals from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE)

### James Williams Cardiff University, Wales

Glacial meltwater signals from Rare Earth Elements (GLARE) aimed to measure water column concentrations of rare earth elements (REE) across meltwater gradients to assess the application of REEs in tracing meltwater input along the Antarctic Peninsula. In total, 145 samples (77.5 L) were taken from 15 stations (see Table 5.2.2).

Seawater from selected depths (chosen based on the CTD downcast data at each station) was collected into 500 ml HDPE bottles. Sample bottles were rinsed with seawater three times prior to filling and dried with a Kimtech wipe. Bottled seawater was then taken to the onboard 'chemistry laboratory', where the seawater was vacuum filtered through a 0.4  $\mu$ m Whatman nucleopore filter and decanted into previously acid cleaned 500 ml HDPE bottles. Samples were labelled with the appropriate CTD cast number and Niskin bottle number. Samples were later acidified in the 'clean lab' by adding 500  $\mu$ l of 12 M HCl to each sample. This acidification process prevents the adsorption of metals to the bottle surface. Bottles were sealed using parafilm and placed within two Ziploc bags before being stored in +4 °C refrigerator. Samples will be analysed at Southampton University using the iron precipitation method.

It had originally been intended that samples would be filtered using an inline filter (i.e. directly from the Niskin to the acid cleaned HDPE bottle) thus greatly reducing the potential for contamination. However, it became apparent during the first ICEBERGS CTD (CTD\_003) that the in-line filtering process was too time consuming given the science schedule and it was decided that filtering be transferred to a vacuum filtration method in the 'chemistry laboratory'. This method proved successful and all samples were collected within the allocated time. The vacuum filter housing was rinsed with Milli-Q water between samples to reduce contamination issues. Changing to the vacuum filtration method meant that 10 acid cleaned bottles had to be used strictly for the purpose of collecting and transporting seawater from the Niskin bottle to the filter. Consequently, only 9 samples were collected from 5 of the sample sites at Sheldon Cove (in contrast to 10 samples from each station at Marian Cove and Börgen Bay).



# 5.4 Mud glorious mud

### 5.4.1 Hamon Grab

Carlos Muñoz-Ramirez, Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción, Chile Stuart Jenkins, School of Ocean Sciences, University of Bangor Paulina Bruning, Laval University, Canada Miguel Bascur, Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción, Chile Floyd Howard Ander De Lecea, South Atlantic Environment Research Institute Marina Costa, South Atlantic Environment Research Institute Amber Chadwick, (Ship's Doctor)

**Aim**: Sample benthic infauna along a gradient from the glacier face outward along each of 3 fjords at Sheldon Cove, Marian Cove, Börgen Bay. Preserve samples for subsequent taxonomic and genetic analysis with the goal to describe any change in community structure with distance from glacier face.

A Hamon Grab with a 20 x 40 x 40 cm bucket was used to sample benthic infauna at three locations: Marian Cove, Börgen Bay and Sheldon Cove. Fauna retained on a 1mm sieve were preserved in alcohol.

The sites sampled at Marian Cove and Börgen Bay were the same as those sampled in 2017 (ICEBERGS 1) with the addition of sites X and XB (located on the sill) and site 5 (located closest to the glacier mouth) at Börgen Bay, and site 1 (located farthest from the glacier mouth) at Marian Cove. Site 1 at Marian Cove was not sampled last year because of time constraints. Site 5 at Börgen Bay was not sampled last year because of difficulties triggering the grab. Site X was added to Börgen Bay to target the communities living on the sill located between BB2 and BB1. BBXB was added as a replicate site to assess the degree to which sites at similar distance from the glacier mouth supported similar communities. At Sheldon Cove 6 sites were selected by the ICEBERGS team using similar criteria to that for Börgen Bay and Marian Cove (ie to give a sequence of increasing sea bed age seaward from the glacier mouth).

Deployment of the Hamon Grab followed the same procedure as outlined in the Cruise Report from 2017 (Barnes et al 2017). Initially (at Marian Cove) the grab was deployed from the stern of the vessel. Afterwards deployment was switched to the starboard side of the vessel which speeded up deployment and retrieval considerably. In general there were very few failed deployments. At site 5 of Börgen Bay the grab initially failed to trigger (as in 2017). However in discussions between crew and scientists it was perceived that insufficient cable had been deployed—ie the grab was being lowered to the depth indicated by the echosounder rather than ensuring that sufficient cable was deployed until tension clearly was reduced (ie the grab had bottomed out). Once this was done, deployments of the grab were 100% successful at this site. The contents of the grab at BB5 were liquid mud, which matches with the observations from SUCS images in 2017 of a highly liquid mud seabed. Once retained the contents of each grab were sieved on deck over a 10mm sieve placed over a 1mm sieve. The fauna and sediment retained on the 1mm sieve were then moved to the wet lab where fauna were separated from the sediment and preserved in alcohol 98.7%. NB See Table 5.4.1 for the procedure adopted during sieving and sorting.

Following a workshop in Bangor in May 2018 it was decided to attempt to increase the number of replicate grabs deployed at each site (as well as to increase the number of sites and to sample at the third target location - Sheldon Cove). The target replication level was 5. This was achieved at all sites with an additional extra grab at 5 sites at Börgen Bay. In total 95 grabs were successfully sampled compared to 24 in 2017 (Table 5.4.2). This was achieved by using the experience gained in 2017 and increasing the number of personnel involved. At Marian Cove the team consisted of 5 (SJ, CMR, PB, MB, FH) but this was increased to 7 at Börgen Bay (SJ, CMR, PB, MB, FH, AC, ADL) and 7 at Sheldon Cove (SJ, CMR, PB, MB, FH, ADL, MC). We also took the decision to preserve fauna unsorted in sample pots (ie extracted from gravel but not sorted to morphotype) when it was not possible to keep pace with the rate of grabbing. In most cases the rate of grabbing was the limiting factor (especially when a large amount of gravel and fauna were retained on the 1mm sieve, sieving and sorting were limiting. Thus in any future grabbing a team of 7 (or 8 if possible) should be planned for.

Table 5.4.1 Instructions printed and laminated and given to all personnel involved in the grabbing operation

### Sieving on deck

We use 2 sieves together – a coarse sieve (which removes large stones and rocks) over a 1mm sieve which retains our sample. Important points:

- Although higher water pressure makes sieving quicker- it potentially destroys delicate organisms. Don't use a very high pressure. A dispersed spray rather than a narrow jet works best
- 2) Check the 10mm sieve before discarding retained stones to ensure fauna, especially worm-like organisms are not retained on the sieve. Also check stones for any organisms living on them.

### Sorting

We plan to sort most samples on board. However some samples may be preserved entire (if sorting doesn't keep pace with grabbing). These samples would then be sorted in the lab at our home bases. There is a danger of different sorting accuracy onboard versus in our labs at home. Also different sorters may sort to different degrees of accuracy. Thus here are our sorting criteria which we should all try and conform to:

- 1) Each sample will wherever possible be divided into 3 when it enters the lab and 3 different people will sort it. Place a label on each tray immediately, to keep track of the site and grab information at all times.
- 2) Remember: fauna are potentially very small (they are retained on a 1mm sieve)
- 3) Use a white tray for sorting to increase detectability of small animals (e.g. very small worms)

- 4) Use a pair of fine metal tweezers if available and try avoid the very large plastic ones
- 5) Assemble your sample at one end of the tray. Move the sediment from one side of the tray to the other and pick out all biological material.
- 6) Always ensure you are moving material across a white background this allows small animals like very thin polychaetes to be detected. Beware moving material through muddy opaque water.
- 7) Ensure small animals do not dry out when picked and placed on tray prior to preserving
- 8) As well as live animals, also pick out dead shells (not very broken valves)

Location	Date	Site N°	N° of grabs	Sediment description
Marian Cove	10-12-2018	1	5	Mud cohesive (ie not liquid) with
				moderate amounts of gravel
Marian Cove	10-12-2018	2	5	Mud cohesive (ie not liquid) with
				moderate amounts of gravel
Marian Cove	9-12-2018	3	5	Mud cohesive (ie not liquid) with
				moderate amounts of gravel
Marian Cove	9-12-2018	4	5	Mud cohesive (ie not liquid) with
				moderate amounts of gravel
Marian Cove	9-12-2018	5	5	Mud cohesive (ie not liquid) with
			_	moderate amounts of gravel
Borgen Bay	16-12-2018	1	5	Quite stiff mud. Moderate amount of
	16 12 2010	DDVL	6	large stones (up to 5cm)
Borgen Bay	10-12-2018	BBYD	0	stones 1 Fem than other sites
Börgen Bay	15-12-2018	DDV	5	Quite stiff mud with some very large drop
Dorgen bay	15-12-2018	DDA	5	stones (25 x 20cm)
Börgen Bay	15-12-2018	2	6	Mud intermediate consistency (ie
Doigen Day	10 12 2010	2	0	between liquid and solid) - used shovel
Börgen Bay	14-12-2018	3	6	Liquid mud (similar to BB5) ie it pours into
0 ,				sieve. Slightly more gravel and small
				stones than BB5
Börgen Bay	15-12-2018	4	6	Mud intermediate between BB5 and BB2.
				It will pour into sieve, but only just
Börgen Bay	14-12-2018 <sup>1</sup>	5	6	Liquid mud with small gravel
Sheldon Cove	22-12-2018	1	5	Not liquid. Quite easy to sieve. Little
				gravel. Little life.
Sheldon Cove	22-12-2018	2	5	Loads of gravel 5-10mm. Hard to sieve,
				cohesive mud with high clay content.
				Loads of small polychaetes. Some larger
		_	_	stones. 2 or 3 deployment failures
Sheldon Cove	24-12-2018	3	5	
Sheldon Cove	23-12-2018	4	5	Normal mud consistency. Moderate-low
		_	_	gravel
Sheldon Cove	23-12-2018	5	5	Normal mud consistency ie not liquid. Not
				too much gravel

Table 5.4.2 Summary of grabs successfully deployed at each of the three locations

Sheldon Cove	23-12-2018	6	5	Mud is	not	liquid	but	easy	to	sieve.
				Variable	am	ounts	of	grave	el –	among
				replicate	es. Ve	ry little	sma	ll life, l	but	plenty

of sipunculids and brittle stars <sup>1</sup>BB5 grabs were finalized the following day (during the second shift)



### 5.4.2 Multicore

James Scourse, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall UK. <u>i.scourse@exeter.ac.uk</u> Anna Pieńkowski, University Centre In Svalbard (UNIS), Svalbard Norway. Justine Whitaker, University of West Florida, Pensacola, FL USA. David Barnes, British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge UK. Nadescha Zwerschke, British Antarctic Survey, Cambridge UK Amy Featherstone, University Aarhus, Denmark Alice Guzzi, Genoa University, Genoa Italy Li Zhao, University Tokyo, Tokyo Japan Alejandro Roman Gonzalez, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall UK.

The BAS Oktopus 12-core multi-corer was deployed at Marian Cove, Börgen Bay and Sheldon Cove (Figure 5.4.1). This instrument enables high quality, undisturbed, samples of bottom water and seabed sediments. The core tubes are 0.5 m in length and on successful recovery during JR18003 typically the top 15-25 cm of each tube consists of bottom water and the remaining 25-35 cm of the uppermost sediment column and seabed.



Figure 5.4.1. Deploying the multicorer from the aft gantry in Marian Cove. Following the failed deployments the multicorere was deployed from the starboard gantry.

The 5-6 sample stations for each site were arranged in a transect from fjord mouth (1) to glacier terminus at each of the three sampling sites (see Tables below). The sites occupied by multi-corer in 2017 in Marian Cove and Börgen Bay were reoccupied in 2018. In Sheldon Cove, prior to deployment careful assessment of seabed conditions at the target sites was undertaken using the multibeam swath bathymetric data, TOPAS sub-bottom profiling data,

and images from the shallow underwater camera system. The multi-corer can only be successfully deployed in soft sediments with significant mud content, so terrain with large numbers of boulders (moraines) and dropstones, and bedrock, was avoided. Although this necessarily results in habitat bias, deployment of the multi-corer in inappropriate terrain can result in shattered core tubes and damage to the array structure.

In advance of recovery a subsampling protocol was established and all bags, tin foils, plastic pots and glass vials were pre-labelled to save time during subsampling. This preparatory phase proved to be essential to enable the team to process core samples quickly and efficiently, and to keep up with the flow of cores requiring extrusion. High resolution subsampling was undertaken using two plastic extrusion columns and slicing of the emergent subsample by metal or plastic slicer as appropriate (Figure 5.4.2).



Figure 5.4.2 Using plastic slicer to subsample multicores.

Several cores per deployment were sieved in bulk (at 1 mm) for macrofauna and at all sites samples were also taken for particle size analysis at 0.5 cm intervals; particle size samples were not taken in 2017. Bottom water was siphoned off. The initial protocol devised for each deployment recovery was as follows:

Core 1: Organic carbon. Subsample USING METAL SLICER every 0.5 cm to 2 cm core depth, then every 1 cm to 10 cm core depth, then every 2 cm to core base. Subsamples to be placed in pre-labelled foil trays, place inside a pre-labelled plastic petri dish with lid. Store in freezer at -80 degrees C.

Core 2: Inorganic carbon. Subsample USING PLASTIC SLICER every 0.5 cm to 2 cm core depth, then every 1 cm to 10 cm core depth, then every 2 cm to core base. Subsamples to be placed in pre-labelled foil trays, place inside a pre-labelled plastic petri dish with lid. Store in freezer at -80 degrees C.

Core 3: Sedimentation rate: Subsample full length of core at 1 cm resolution. Subsamples to be placed in prelabelled silver foil and stored at -80 degrees C.

Core 4: Micropalaeontology. Subsample top 5 cm at 1 cm resolution and place in pre-labelled glass vials and frozen at -20 degrees C. Then sample 1 cm sample every 10 cm from 5 cm core depth, place in a pre-labelled plastic bag and store at -20 degrees C.

Core 5: Micropalaeontology. Subsample top 10 cm at 1 cm resolution, one 1 cm sample every 5 cm below that. Place subsamples in pre-labelled plastic pots, add mixed ethanol and Rose Bengal. Store in fridge 4 degrees C. These samples ultimately to be placed in UN barrel for transport of hazardous samples.

Core 6: Microplastics. Subsample USING METAL SLICER top 10 cm at 1 cm resolution. The microplastic samples are stored in pre-labelled plastic petri dishes, but negative controls should account for any contamination. Store in ethanol at 4 degrees C.

Core 6: Microplastics. Subsample USING METAL SLICER top 10 cm at 1 cm resolution. The microplastic samples are stored in pre-labelled plastic petri dishes, but negative controls should account for any contamination. Store in ethanol at 4 degrees C.

Core 8: Pigments. Subsample top 1 cm only. Store at -80 degrees C.

Cores 9 to 14: whole tubes to be washed out for macrofauna.

Core 15: Particle size: Subsample full length of the core at 0.5 cm resolution. Subsamples placed in pre-labelled petri dishes and stored at -80 degrees C.

In addition, any whole cores remaining unsampled were bunged at both ends and stored at -20 degrees C.

All the multi-core sampling stations visited in Marian Cove and Börgen Bay in 2017 were resampled (MC/BB #2, 3, 4) plus MC#5 and new sites in Maxwell Bay, MAX#1, and Börgen Bay, BB0. Six sites were sampled for the first time in ICEBERGS in Sheldon Cove (SC#1-6). Due to human error the number of subsamples recorded for MC#4, MAX#1 and BB#4 prior to packing onboard is incomplete; these subsamples have been taken and can be totalised when unpacked in BAS Cambridge. The microplastics series were subsampled from one of the micropalaeontology cores hence the null subsamples recorded in the tables. Two whole multicores were collected unsubsampled from MAX#1, one from BB and one from SC. Three multicore housing frames were lost during deployments at Marian Cove reducing the maximum number of cores to 9 per deployment at Börgen Bay and Sheldon Cove. The following tables below detail the subsamples collected from the multi-corer.

A Health and Safety hazard was identified during the operation of the multi-corer during the 2017 ICEBERGS cruise. The core tube lids are cocked prior to deployment by placing a coarse wire through a groove in the lid assembly. These wires protrude outwards from the assembly at the same height as operators' heads when preparing for deployment, or recovering tubes following deployment. The wires have rough cut ends and cannot be easily seen when wearing hard hats. There is a real danger that these could seriously damage eyes. In response to this report the wire ends had been crimped prior to deployment in 2018 and this reduced the potential hazard.

#### Table 5.4.3 Cores collected at each site

	MC#1	MC#2	MC#3	MC#4	MAX#1	TOTAL
EVENT NUMBER (UNSUCCESSFUL)			67, 68, 70, 71	62, 63, 65, 87, 88, 89, 90		11
EVENT NUMBER (SUCCESSFUL)	95, 96, 102,	100, 101,	66, 69, 103	61, 64, 86	104,	12
Organic carbon	0-30 cm (0-2	0-28 cm (0-2	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps) 0-28 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-12 cm (0-2 in 0 5 steps)	Not recorded	>76
Inorganic carbon	0-30 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-30 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)		0-12 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	Not recorded	>78
Sedimentation rate	0-30 cm	0-28 cm	0-5 cm	Not	Not recorded	>59
Micropalaeontology # 1 (Foraminifera)	0-26 cm	0-24 cm	0-29 cm and 0-9 cm (duplicate set from different core)	0-20 cm	0-14 cm	90
Micropalaeontology #2 (Biomarkers)	0-28 cm	0-26 cm	0-30 cm	0-12 cm	0-23 cm	85
Microplastics #1 Microplastics #2	0-26 cm 0-28 cm	0-26 cm NO	0-16 cm NO	0-12 cm NO	0-23 cm NO	103 28
Pigments Macrofauna Particle size	0-1 cm 6 bulk 0-23.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-1 cm 6 bulk 0-25 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-1 cm 6 bulk 0-25 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-1 cm 7 bulk Not recorded	Not recorded Not recorded 0-13.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	>4 >25 bulk >170
Number of filled cores during successful deployments	25	20	17	15	9	86 (59.7%)
Number of unfilled cores during successful deployments	11	4	19	21	3	58 (40.3%)
	BB#0	BB#1	BB#2	BB#3	BB#4	τοται
EVENT NUMBER (UNSUCCESSFUL)	22110	00/12	00112	20110	151	1
EVENT NUMBER (SUCCESSFUL)	174, 175	143, 144 145	146, 147	148, 149	150, 152, 177, 178	13
Organic carbon	0-28 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-28 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-22 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-24 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	98
Inorganic carbon	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-30 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-20 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	99
Sedimentation rate Micropalaeontology # 1 (Foraminifera)	0-20 cm 0-18 cm	0-22 cm 0-24 cm	0-24 cm 0-20 cm	0-30 cm 0-36 cm	<b>Not recorded</b> 0-20 cm	>68 84
Micropalaeontology #2 (Biomarkers)	0-18 cm	0-26 cm	0-20 cm	0-30 cm	0-22 cm	83
Microplastics #1 Microplastics #2	0-18 cm NO	0-24 cm NO	0-20 cm NO	0-30 cm NO	0-20 cm NO	81 0
Pigments Macrofauna	0-1 cm 6 bulk	0-1 cm 6 bulk	0-1 cm 6 bulk	0-1 cm 6 bulk	0-1 cm 6 bulk	5 30 bulk
Particle size	0-17.5 cm (in 0 5 steps)	0-21 cm (in	0-17.5 cm (in 0 5 steps)	0-28 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-17 cm (in 0.5 steps)	202
Number of filled cores during successful deployments	19	24	18	14	28	103 (86.6%)
Number of unfilled cores during	1	3	0	4	8	16 (13.4%)

successful deployments

EVENT NUMBER (UNSUCCESSFUL)	SC#1	SC#2 254, 256	SC#3	SC#4 <sup>286</sup>	SC#5	SC#6 <sup>291</sup>	TOTAL 4
EVENT NUMBER (SUCCESSFUL)	252, 253,	255, 257	258, 259	260, 284, 285,	287, 288,	289, 290, 292,	14
Organic carbon	0-30 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-18 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-24 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-24 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-18 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-26 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	112
Inorganic carbon	0-30 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	0-22 cm (0-2 in 0.5 steps)	112				
Sedimentation rate	0-30 cm	0-22 cm	0-20 cm	0-24 cm	<b>0</b> -18 cm	0-30 cm	102
Micropalaeontology # 1 (Foraminifera)	0-34 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-20 cm	105
Micropalaeontology #2 (Biomarkers)	0-30 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-18 cm	102
Microplastics #1	0-30 cm	0-24 cm	0-24 cm	0-22 cm	0-24 cm	0-18 cm	101
Microplastics #2	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	0
Pigments	0-1 cm	6					
Macrofauna	12 bulk	18 bulk	35 bulk	38 bulk	32 bulk	27 bulk	192 bulk
Particle size	0-18 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-17.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-22.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-20.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-17.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	0-18.5 cm (in 0.5 steps)	229
Number of filled cores during successful deployments	17	11	12	19	14	15	88 (69.8%)
Number of unfilled cores during successful deployments	1	7	6	8	4	12	38 (30.2%)

#### Multicore macrofauna

The multicore was used to sample macrofauna across the fjordic glacial retreat sites. It was considered that six replicate cores across three deployments should be sufficient to capture within station variability. Samples from Marian Cove and Börgen Bay were processed one core at a time in the following protocol; each core was individually sieved over a 1 mm sieve under gentle washing. All animals present in the sieve were then preserved together as an assemblage sample in 100% Ethanol. A further level of detail was piloted in one core at Börgen Bay, in which the core was sectioned into 5 cm sections starting from the top to the bottom. The success of this at partitioning where living and dead fauna lead to this technique being adopted for all Sheldon Cove (Ryder Bay) sampling. [When the results of all sections for each of these cores are combined they are then equivalent of previous cores, thereby compatable]. Each section of each core was individually sieved over a 1 mm sieve and all present animals were preserved in 100 % Ethanol. Any empty calcareous components (e.g. bivalve shells) found in any of the samples were also collected and preserved with the sample.

No analysis has been undertaken to date but preliminary observations suggest show that the macrofaunal assemblages were very patchy, differing substantially in terms of density, richness and eveness within the same station. Bivalves (Mollusca) and polychaetes (Anellida) were the most abundant taxonomic groups represented in the cores and would seem to have

important roles in the colonisation of this newly emerging environment. Various echinoderms (Asteroidea, Ophiuroidea and Holothuroidea), bryozoans and other groups were also present. During the sampling process we noted that communities in Marian Cove seemed to approximately follow an expected successional pattern of increasing richness and density with increasing distance to the glacier terminus. This is expected for a number of reasons, such as increased habitat age, decreased environmental stress and great variety of habitat type away from glacier terminus. Assemblages in Börgen Bay, however seemed to follow a different pattern with some sites close to the glacier showing high richness and density. At this site it was especially notable that assemblages closest to the glacier were more likely to contain bivalves than those further away which tended to be dominated by polychaetes. At Ryder Bay, the site furthest away from the glacier was especially low in species richness which might be related to its location at 500 m depth. Greatest number of species could be found in the top 5 cm of each sediment core at this location decreasing in abundance with increasing depth. However, species abundance patterns along depth gradients varied between but were consistent within stations. Details can be found in section 5.5.2.

### Marian Cove:

### Multicorer deployed 27 times, 12 unsuccessful.

Most of these deployments were unsuccessful as the multicorer did not fire, or the cores were too few and too shallow. However, after calling the manufacturers they suggested completely removing the damper piston. After this nearly all deployments were successful. It is still however, very important to properly clean the Multicorer, especially the spring mechanism, and the top lid latch. It is also necessary to keep the bottom latch spring in the correct position, to keep it from catching on the bottom lid. Another item to keep an eye on is the firing latch (connected to the bungee cords) itself. It was discovered that these may move and completely overshoot the top lids, and hence not activate the firing mechanism.

Lost three core holders. We were removing them each time to clean initially but it is not necessary and clearly introduces the risk of incorrect reinstallation.

### Börgen Bay:

### Deployed 15 times, 1 unsuccessful.

After the unsuccessful deployment wooden "skis" were fitted to the legs to prevent excessive sinking into the sea bed and allow the mechanism to fire. The skis stayed on for the remainder of deployments in Börgen Bay.

### Sheldon Cove:

### Deployed 17 times, 4 unsuccessful

On one deployment the rope became caught under one of the legs and the corer came out almost sideways. It was landed on the deck but one corer tube and one of the firing latches (attached to bungee) is bent. We have spares. Three firing latches seem to have moved and now foul the trigger when installing core holders. Needs checking and re-rigging and a thorough once-over to ensure everything else is ok.



On a few occasions the corer fired but the mechanisms were not closing with enough force to create a good seal and samples were being lost. The sliding surfaces and spring cylinders were greased and this seemed to make a significant improvement. It also made the cores easier to remove. If the corer remains unmodified we need to take spray grease on its next cruise.

## Cambridge Maintenance:

- One of the legs is bent.
- Build suitable platform
- Develop "skis/legs" that can be attached, unattached.
- Full inspection and torque of all fasteners.
- There is a lot of friction in the sliding mechanism; maybe it can be modified.

## Recommendations for future use:

- 1. One member of staff should be designated record keeper and make sure that the wet lab spreadsheets are completed properly and kept up to date.
- 2. Watch-keepers should ensure that they record the number of filled cores on each deployment.
- 3. Do not remove core holder frames after each deployment.

## 5.4.3 Gravity Core

Sev Kender, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall, UK. James Scourse, University of Exeter, Penryn, Cornwall, UK.

Expedition JR18003 carried a British Geological Survey gravity corer, which was successfully deployed in good weather at 16 sites, returning a total of 41 m of sediment (Table 1). The gravity corer is a simple mechanism that consists of a weight attached to the top of a metal tube or pipe. A one-way valve within the weight allows water to travel up the inside of the tube and weight, but not down it during retrieval of the corer. A plastic tube 'liner' (labelled
with the BAS protocol) was slid into the metal tube before each deployment, and a 'corecatcher' consisting of a metal ring with metal strips bent over (allowing only one-way sediment movement) was placed at the end of the liner. Over this, the metal cutting ring was screwed onto the end of the tube, holding the internal liner and corecatcher in place. The gravity corer was lifted out of its metal cradle by both winches on the side of the ship (Fig. 1), and carefully manoeuvred over the side with the winches and by crew members using ropes. Once in the overboard position, one the winches was lowered and then disconnected, such that the gravity corer was in a vertical position held by just one winch (Fig. 2). The gravity corer was then lowered into the sediment at a speed of less than 1 m s<sup>-1</sup>, and left in the sediment for between 30 seconds and 2 minutes. Once lifted to the side of the ship, the procedure was reversed whereby the second winch was reconnected and the gravity corer was placed back into its cradle. The metal cutter was unscrewed and the plastic liner was removed whilst being careful to avoid any contamination. End caps were immediately placed over each end, and the core liner was then moved inside before being cut into <1 m sections with a hacksaw. The metal tube was cleaned out with a seawater hose between deployments, before being reloaded with another core liner. Several of the deployments were unsuccessful, which was thought due to either a lack of soft sediments on the sea floor, or the presence of pebbles and/or boulders.



Figure 5.4.3 The gravity corer was initially raised from its basket with both side winches whilst being held steady by two crew members.



Figure 5.4.4 Once overboard, the gravity corer was lowered by one winch and disconnected, before being deployed by the second winch. The process was carried out in reverse once the sediment was collected.

#### Table 5.4.4 List of the successful gravity cores collected during expedition JR18003.

Core Number	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Water depth (m)	Core thickness (cm)
				,	
MC2-GC01	Marian Cove	-62.21251	-58./6/8/	98.97	235
MAXB1-GC02	Marian Cove	-62.22715	-58.84246	418.2	300
MAXB2-GC03	Marian Cove	-62.22718	-58.84275	419.92	300
MC1-GC05	Marian Cove	-62.21765	-58.78938	94.43	211
MC1-GC06	Marian Cove	-62.21764	-58.7895	96.5	266
MC3-GC07	Marian Cove	-62.20826	-58.74773	106.67	103
BB3-GC08	Börgen Bay	-64.71843	-63.45823	294.8	226
BB0-GC10	Börgen Bay	-64.75787	-63.44814	284.78	300
BB0-GC11	Börgen Bay	-64.75784	-63.44814	285.31	300
SC7-GC17	Ryder Bay	-67.5427	-68.26469	289.64	300
SC7-GC18	Ryder Bay	-67.54272	-68.26464	289.71	300
SC8-GC19	Ryder Bay	-67.57071	-68.21149	506.04	270
SC8-GC20	Ryder Bay	-67.57069	-68.21132	505.14	282
SC9-GC21	Ryder Bay	-67.56901	-68.28751	467.62	107
SC10-GC22	Ryder Bay	-67.54947	-68.29311	334.56	300
SC10-GC23	Ryder Bay	-67.54946	-68.29311	335.12	300

# 5.5 Biology

# 5.5.1 Burdwood Bank

**Ander M. de Lecea,** Marine Management Project of the Falkland Islands, SAERI, Falkland Islands <u>adelecea@env.institute.ac.fk</u>

The fine scaling of the Marine Management Areas project will provide biological and economic knowledge as well as policy and legislation for the creation of special management areas. This will allow for the better management of important areas within the Falkland territorial and the Falklands Outer Conservation Zone waters. As a result management of areas such as the inland waters and the AFCAS (<u>A</u>ssessment of <u>Fishing Closure Areas as Sites</u>) regions will be brought up to the highest international standards, and consequently gain international recognition. Simultaneously, work will be conducted on the offshore region of the Burdwood Bank, an area known to be important for seabirds and mammals, and believed to house high benthic biodiversity according to some studies, e.g. high diversity of cold-water coral species. Despite the benthic biodiversity on the Bank being poorly described or understood, the area is likely to be very important in the face of ongoing climate change, not least due to its location as a hard boundary for species range shifts and its likely provision of blue carbon ecosystem services. Response to past and present warming has typically resulted in species moving to increasingly higher latitudes along linear coastline (for example the Americas). The Bank marks the (eastern side of the) southern continental shelf limit, and thus a hard stop for organisms shifting southwards. Further work in this region will develop understanding of whether the benthos and water column are as important to manage as the surface waters.

To move towards achieving the above, the British Antarctic Survey, as a partner in the project, helped to make preliminary sampling on the Burdwood Bank a reality. During 48h work on board of the James Clark Ross, multibeam and TOPAS data were collected as well as mini Agassiz Trawls (AGT).

# Multibeam and TOPAS work

Multibeam data collection was the main focus of the 48h work on the Burdwood Bank. The aim was to obtain high-resolution bathymetry data from the southern slopes of the Burdwood Bank, an area poorly studied due to remoteness, strength of the currents and depths. Overall, a 6,096.44 km<sup>2</sup> area was mapped at high definition (25 m<sup>2</sup> per pixel; Figure 5.5.1 A and B) in anticipation that next year when more ground-truthing can occur, we can use it for benthic habitat prediction. The original ship path had to be modified *in situ* in order to maximise the data obtained, while at the same time close any large gaps (original path design can be seen in Fig. 5.5.1 B). TOPAS data was also collected with the intention of identifying a suitable location for the collection of a gravity core. Unfortunately, due to technical difficulties with high swells the gravity core could not be deployed on this occasion. Nevertheless, the TOPAS

data was collected and is available in the event that a gravity core can be collected on next year's cruise. For full details on the methods, see multibeam section 5.1.

### Mini Agassiz Trawl



Figure 5.5.1 Multibeam data collected on the Burdwood Bank. A) Shows the Bank and data collection locations with respect to the Falkland Islands, B and C indicate the multibeam swath and data collection. Figure B shows bathymetry depth in meters and the planned ship's path.

The AGT (Figure 5.5.2) provides a means of collecting epibenthos specimens, but unlike commercial trawling, it has a mouth width of 1.25 m and a mesh size of 1 cm. The small mouth gap allows for minimal destruction of the benthic environment, as each trawl is only deployed for a few minutes at a time (see Table 5.5.1 for trawling location, time and depth). Simultaneously, the small mesh size allows the collection of smaller organisms, which otherwise might avoid collection.



Figure 5.5.2 Agassiz Trawl being returned to deck while on the Burdwood Bank. Picture courtesy of S. Jenkins.

Table 5.5.1 Mini Agassiz Trawl start and end information for time, location, as well as ship event number and ship's heading.

Time - Start	Time – End	Latitude - Start	Longitude - Start	Latitude - Start	Longitude - Start	Depth start (m)	Depth end (m)	Ship event No.	Heading
04/12/2018 16:58	04/12/2018 17:09	-54.9702	-57.2001	-54.9686	-57.2001	392.79	388.09	5	176.21
04/12/2018 19:36	04/12/2018 19:42	-55.0684	-57.1974	-55.0676	-57.1976	1165.52	1182.5	6	169.62
<u>05/12/2018 11:55</u>	05/12/2018 12:00	-54.9666	-57.7565	-54.9666	-57.7553	395.4	395	7	270.51
<u>05/12/2018 14:05</u>	<u>05/12/2018 14:11</u>	-55.0016	-57.7715	-55.0017	-57.7703	1265.66	1269.45	8	280.95

From the four trawls, a total of 365 samples were collected. Of these, 81 samples have been put aside to be sent to the British Antarctic Survey (BAS), a partner organisation in the Marine Management Project of the Falkland Islands. BAS will be in charge of conducting DNA barcoding analysis. Another 131 samples will be sent to the Natural History Museum (NHM), London, UK, for identification and permanent preservation of samples. Similarly, 111 vials with specimens will be sent to the Italian National Antarctic Museum, Genoa, Italy. A further 37 samples will be kept temporarily in the Falkland Islands, because the specimens within these samples are mainly stony corals, for which CITES permits for exports and imports are required. Once these have been obtained, the samples will be shipped to the UK, to both BAS and NHM.

From the four trawls a total of 24 taxonomic Classes of organisms were obtained (Table 5.5.2). Although the samples are yet to be identified to species level, this high number of Classes already highlights the potentially high biodiversity in the Burdwood Bank. Ship event number

5 (i.e. trawl 1) saw the highest count of organisms (484 individuals in total), but simultaneously had the lowest number of Classes, only 15. This trawl was dominated by Cheilostomata (217 individuals), followed by the Class Ophiuroidea and Anthozoa (81 and 78 individuals, respectively). Trawl 2 (ship event 6) had the highest number of Classes, 21 in total, as well as the third highest number of individuals collected, 361 individuals in total. Unlike trawl 1, trawl 2 was strongly dominated by Ophiuroidea (122 individuals), followed by Demospongia (40 individuals). Trawl 3 (ship event no. 7) had a total of 19 Classes, but the lowest count of individuals, with only 254 individuals in total. Ophiuroidea was once again the dominant Class, followed by Anthozoa (78 and 42 individuals, respectively). Finally, Trawl 4 (ship event no. 8) had a total of 366 individuals divided into 18 Classes. Unlike the other trawls, this trawl was strongly dominated by the Class Malacostraca, with 177 individuals, or what equals to 48.4% of the total organisms caught, Anthozoa followed this with 64 individuals (See table 2 for further details). See Figure 3 for an example of organisms collected in the trawls.

Class	Ship event No. 5	Ship event No. 6	Ship event No. 7	Ship event No. 8
Anthozoa	78	34	42	64
Ascidiacea	0	5	1	3
Asteroidea	0	5	7	2
Bivalvia	9	8	1	3
Brachiopoda	9	3	6	3
Bryozoa	0	9	7	1
Cephalopoda	0	1	0	0
Cheilostomata	217	16	24	1
Cirripedea	3	2	0	0
Crinoidea	0	2	0	0
Demospongia	1	41	16	11
Echinoidea	8	0	18	3
Gastropoda	13	38	4	14
Hexactinellidae	0	3	2	0
Holothuroidae	2	1	5	1
Hydrozoa	0	21	3	2
Malacostraca	5	17	9	177
Ophiuroidea	81	122	78	35
Pisces	0	1	1	1
Polychaeta	49	27	22	41
Pterobranchia	5	2	6	0
Pycnogonida	3	4	2	2
Scaphopoda	1	0	0	0
Thaliacea	0	0	0	2

Table 5.5.5 Animals (by taxonomic Class) collected during the four Agassiz Trawling events on the Burdwood Bank.



Figure 5.5.3 Example of specimens separated from the gravel in the trawl (A), as well as a small example of preserved individuals. These organisms are, by class, an Anthozoan (B), an Ophiuroidean (C), a Malacostracan (D), a Pycnogonid (E), an Echinoid (F) and a Holothuroidaen (G). Figure A courtesy of A. Roman Gonzalez, pictures B to G taken by C.J. Sands.

# 5.5.2 Macrofauna

The multicore (MuC) was used to sample macrofauna across the fjordic glacial retreat sites. It was considered that 6 replicate cores across 3 deployments should be sufficient to capture within station variability. Our 5-6 sample stations were arranged in a transect from fjord mouth (1) to glacier terminus at each of the three sampling sites (Table 5.5.6). Samples from Marian Cove and Börgen Bay were processed one core at a time in the following protocol; each core was individually sieved over a 1 mm sieve under gentle washing. All animals present in the sieve were then preserved together as an assemblage sample in 100% Ethanol. A further level of detail was piloted in one core at Börgen Bay, in which the core was sectioned into 5 cm sections starting from the top to the bottom. The success of this at partitioning where living and dead fauna lead to this technique being adopted for all Sheldon Cove (Ryder Bay) sampling. [When the results of all sections for each of these cores are combined they are then equivalent of previous cores, thereby compatible]. Each section of each core was individually sieved over a 1 mm sieve and all present animals were preserved in 100 % Ethanol. Any empty calcareous components (eg bivalve shells) found in any of the samples were also collected and preserved with the sample.

Table 5.5.6 Number of samples collected from each station. Often station 5 (closest to the glacier) could not be sampled without endangering the equipment – thus no samples were collected at these instances.

Sites Marian	Station 1 6	Station 2 6	Station 3 6	Station 4 7	Station 5 7	Station 6 0
Cove						
Börgen Bay	6	6	6	6	5	0
Ryder Bay	8	5	6			

No analysis has been undertaken to date but preliminary observations suggest show that the macrofaunal assemblages were very patchy, differing substantially in terms of density, richness and eveness within the same station. Bivalves (Mollusca) and polychaetes (Anellida) were the most abundant taxonomic groups represented in the cores and would seem to have important roles in the colonisation of this newly emerging environment. Various echinoderms (Asteroidea, Ophiuroidea and Holothuroidea), bryozoans and other groups were also present. During the sampling process we noted that communities in Marian Cove seemed to approximately follow an expected successional pattern of increasing richness and density with increasing distance to the glacier terminus. This is expected for a number of reasons, such as increased habitat age, decreased environmental stress and great variety of habitat type away from glacier terminus. Assemblages in Börgen Bay, however seemed to follow a different pattern with some sites close to the glacier showing high richness and density. At this site it was especially notable that assemblages closest to the glacier were more likely to contain bivalves than those further away which tended to be dominated by polychaetes. At Ryder Bay, the site furthest away from the glacier was especially low in species richness which might be related to its location at 500 m depth. Greatest number of species could be found in the top 5 cm of each sediment core at this location decreasing in abundance with increasing depth. However species abundance patterns along depth gradients varied between but were consistent within stations.

# 5.5.3 MiniAgassiz Trawl

David Barnes, British Antarctic Survey, NERC, Cambridge, UK, <u>dkab@bas.ac.uk</u>

Agassiz trawls (AGT) are used to sample benthic assemblages of macro- and mega epifauna on relatively flat substrata. Catches are qualitative but they give a good presence-absence record of what fauna occurs in a particular habitat and they can collect physical specimens to identify species seen in seafloor images from SUCS, We use a "mini" AGT (originally designed for the small winch and A-frame on the Russian ship *Akademic Tryoshnikov*) during the Antarctic Circumpolar Expedition, because it collects good quality specimens (ie low damage) whilst minimizing environmental impact. We used it with additional 160kg of weights on the RRS *James Clark Ross*. It has a mesh size of 1 cm and a mouth width of 1.25 m.

We used a deployment protocol of lowering the wire at max of 50 m/min whilst steaming at 0.5 knots until the AGT reached the seabed. We let out 2x depth in trawling wire length. The net was then trawled at 0.5 knots for 5 minutes or less. With the ship speed kept at 0.5 knots the AGT was hauled at 30 m/min in order to avoid damaging the gear. When the AGT had left

the seafloor, the hauling speed was increased to 45 m/min. Following large catches the technical trawl period was even reduced to zero minutes (meaning it only trawled on haul in). When catches included a substantial amount of mud fire hoses were used to wash most of the mud out of the net which reduced sieving time on the deck. When clean the net was held over a stacked series of 1cm mesh sieve on top of the 1mm mesh sieve. The cod end was then released so the catch was dropped into the sieves where any remaining mud was rinsed through.

Samples were sorted to class and where possible to morphotype. Most specimens were preserved in pre-chilled 99.8% ethanol (total vial volume at least 80% ethanol) and stored in the -20°C freezer. A shortage of ethanol resulted in most catches being frozen at -20C directly for later transfer to ethanol.

A total of ten deployments were made during the expedition, six for the ICEBERGS project and four for SAERIs marine spatial planning work on Burdwood Bank. Marian Cove (MC) and Börgen Bay (BB) were previously trawled during the JR17001 cruise so only Sheldon Cove (Ryder Bay) was trawled in 2018. We trawled sites from the glacier terminus (SG6) through to the middle of Ryder Bay (SG1). Surprisingly SG6 brought up abundant benthos, albeit little diversity – seemingly dominated by the Scallop *Adamusium colbecki*.



Figure 5.5.4 miniAGT being recovered. Fire hoses were used to wash out mud before landing the trawl on the deck.

A total number of 2992 specimens were collected representing 14 phyla and 23 classes. Bivalves (488), polychaetes (197 and ophiuroids (189) were most abundantly represented in catches. Sheldon Cove was similar in overall richness to Marian Cove (JR17001) which were both better represented than samples from Börgen Bay. With quantitative apparatus meaningful comparisons could made, for example using rarefaction curves, but this is not intended for this data. Crucially the trawl samples give potential to identify individuals seen in SUCS images and for work on age, growth and explore information within organism skeletons. The trawl samples also indicate an approx. increase in richness and abundance both away from the glacier terminus and towards moraines. The data summary is presented in Table 5.5.7.



	Polychaete	Echiura	Priapula	Nemertean	Sipunculan	Echiuran	Anthozoa	Hydrozoa	Asteroid	Ophiuroid	Crinoidea	Echinoid	Holothuroid	Bivalve	Gastropod	Polyplacophora	Scaphopod	Hexactinellida	Demospongiae	Cirripedia	Malacostraca	Pycnogona	Articulata	Gymnolaemata	Stenolaemata	Ascidiacea	Pisces
Sc6	1			1	_					1		1		1			_				1						
Sc5 Sc4	1			1	1		1	1		1		1	1	1	1		1				1 1			1			
Sc3	1	1		1	1		1	1	1	1			1	1	1		1				1	1		-		1	
Sc2	1						1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1			1		1		1	1		1	1
Sc1	1	1			1					1				1	1		1		1		1	1		1	1		1
BB4	1									1				_							1	1		1			
BB3	1							1		1				1					1			1		1		1	
BBZ BBy	1 1						1		1	1	1		1	1	1				T		1	1 1		1 1		1 1	1
BB1	1						1	1	-	1	-		-	1	-						1	Ŧ		Ŧ		Ŧ	Ŧ
MC																											
5 MC	1						1							1								1		1		1	
4 MC	1						1	1						1	1						1					1	
3	1			1			1	1	1	1		1		1	1				1		1	1		1		1	

#### Table 5.5.7 Richness of sampled stations according to class.

MC																
2	1	1		1	1 1		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1
MC																
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	

### 5.5.4 Plankton Sampling

Anna J. Pieńkowski, University Centre in Svalbard, Norway Justine Whitaker, University of West Florida Nadescha Zwerschke, British Antarctic Survey

#### Rationale

The primary rationale of plankton sampling was to identify the major planktonic components of the water column above each of the core benthic research stations investigated as part of the ICEBERGS 2018 cruise, with a particular emphasis on the identification of planktonic organisms that preserve as microfossils (e.g., dinoflagellate cysts, foraminifera) in seabed sediments (AJP; all study sites). Plankton samples were also taken for use in genetic and ingested microplastic analyses (JW; all study sites), as well as plankton culturing (NZ; selected sites at Sheldon Cove).

#### Gear

An N70 net was used for plankton sampling; this device has a 70cm diameter mouth opening, 445µm upper mesh and 195µm lower mesh. It is a BAS reconstruction of pelagic sampling nets used during the Discovery Investigations - described in detail by Ward *et al.* 2012 (Polar Biology DOI 10.1007/s00300-012-1163-x). The N70 was set up for simple vertical hauls, and consequently, the throttling rope and messenger system for sampling discrete depth horizons was not used. As in previous expedition (JR17001), a jubilee clip, rather than the brass fitting ring, was used to fit the net to the cod end because the latter was challenging to pull over the net seam (Figure 5.5.5). The brass wires were attached to a short section of rope to facilitate handling of the lead weight (Figure 5.5.6).





Figure 5.5.5

Fig. 5.5.6

#### Sampling sites

Three fjords were sampled during expedition JR18003: Marian Cove (MC) at King George Island, Börgen Bay (BB) at Anvers Island, and Sheldon Cove (SC) at Adélie Island. Vertical N70 hauls were made in transect within each fjord, corresponding to the ICEBERGS 2018 research stations that occur at successive distances from the fjord mouth (Station 1) in toward to glacier edge. One to two hauls were made at each station. Details for all 18 of the N70 deployments are given in Table 5.5.8.

Table 5.5.8 N70 plankton net hauls in West Antarctic Peninsula fjords. Time refers to date and time of deployment; em122 depth denotes water depth derived by multibeam. Abbreviations: SST = sea surface temperature; SSS = sea surface salinity; MF = Microfossils; MP = Microplastics and genetics; PC = plankton culturing

				em122					No.	
Sampling	Time	Latitude	Longitude	depth		SSS	Bridge	Haul	of	Purpose
Code				(m)	SST (°C)		Event	Depth	hauls	of hauls
	08/12/2018									
MC-1	08:36	-62.21763	-58.78894	101.68	0.5003	33.7735	16	80	1	MF, MP
	08/12/2018									
MC-2	12:58	-62.20880	-58.75003	101.68	0.5677	33.6122	22	80	1	MF, MP
	08/12/2018									
MC- 3	21:40	-62.20826	-58.74777	101.68	0.5144	33.5461	29	80	1	MF, MP
	09/12/2018									
MC-4	03:48	-62.20557	-58.73961	101.68	0.4230	33.2216	36	80	1	MF, MP
	12/12/2018									
BB-1	18:31	-64.74169	-63.45374	247.70	0.76740	33.7305	107	180	1	MF, MP
	12/12/2018									
BB-2	19:05	-64.72606	-63.45259	224.47	0.56280	33.3530	108	180	1	MF, MP
	12/12/2018									
BB-3	19:35	-64.71825	-63.45803	294.07	-0.08650	33.7815	109	180	1	MF, MP
	12/12/2018									
BB-4	20:05	-64.71052	-63.45496	257.91	0.02850	33.5356	110	180	1	MF, MP
	12/12/2018									
BB-5	20:32	-64.70335	-63.45039	272.79	0.19970	33.6991	111	180	1	MF, MP
	21/12/2018									
SC-1	21:51	-67.57017	-68.22293	506.95	0.2268	33.2336	238	200	2	PC
	21/12/2018									
SC-1	21:32	-67.57019	-68.22299	507.33	0.4394	33.1594	237	200	2	MF, MP
	21/12/2018									
SC-2	20:34	-67.54973	-68.26708	175.25	-0.0921	33.1748	236	150	2	PC
	21/12/2018									
SC-2	20:19	-67.54977	-68.2671	175.91	-0.2496	33.0729	235	150	2	MF, MP

	21/12/2018									
SC-3	19:48	-67.53940	-68.26399	288.80	-0.1201	33.2691	234	200	2	PC
	21/12/2018									
SC-3	19:30	-67.53932	-68.26384	287.79	0.9005	33.1122	233	200	2	MF, MP
	21/12/2018									
SC-4	19:00	-67.52906	-68.25584	255.44	0.8524	33.0238	232	200	1	MF, MP
	21/12/2018									
SC-5	18:31	-67.51970	-68.25550	194.91	0.1010	33.2806	231	180	1	MF, MP
	21/12/2018									
SC-6	18:02	-67.51571	-68.25352	0.2308	0.2308	33.2874	230	100	1	MF, MP

#### N70 catch processing and initial assessment

The contents of each N70 catch were split to be used for microfossil analyses and microplastics/genetic analyses (see below). At selected sites at Sheldon Cove (Table 5.5.8), a second haul was taken for plankton culturing.

All of the N70 catches were dominated by phytoplankton (diatoms). The density of these was lower at Marian Cove stations compared to Börgen Bay stations, which appeared much richer, potentially due to blooming. Zooplankton comprised a very small component of all the catches, though stations at Sheldon Cove contained frequent ctenophores.

# Micropalaeontology

Microfossils – preserved remnants of microscopically small organisms living in the plankton and the benthos - constitute the backbone of the study of Earth's past climate. However, in order to confidently use microfossils as palaeoenvironmental indicators, present-day distribution and population patterns need to be characterized and related to measured environmental parameters. For this project, planktonic organisms that are known to be potentially important in these deglaciating fjord settings (foraminifera, diatoms, dinoflagellate cysts) will be identified and their distribution will be related to measured environmental parameters (e.g., from CTD casts) via multivariate statistics to identify proxies that successfully reconstruct 1. ocean temperature, 2. sea ice cover, and 3. distance from the ice front.

Collected samples (100 cc) from the N70 casts were split, with ~50cc of material preserved in ethanol (30%; for foraminifera) and ~50 cc of material preserved in Lugol's solution (iodine; for dinoflagellates and diatoms). Preliminary scans of the samples under low power microscopy indicate that the majority of planktonic organisms found in both fjords consist of diatoms, particularly spores of *Chaetoceros*. However, more detailed scanning under high power (light microscopy) will elucidate the distribution of other targeted planktonic organisms (e.g., dinoflagellates and their cysts).

# Microplastics

Mounting evidence suggests that plastic pollution and adhered chemicals associated with plastics are causing dramatic environmental and organismal consequences, especially when ingested. Ingestion of plastic has been documented in both vertebrates (e.g. birds and marine turtles) and invertebrates (e.g. zooplankton, polychaetes, and barnacles). Microplastics (<5 um) are now being identified as a concern to zooplankton and filter feeders. Due to the ubiquitous nature of microplastic pollution, and increasing concerns about the associated hazards, characterizing microplastics in aquatic ecosystems is of great importance. The fjords targeted for ICEBERGS are especially of importance because as animals colonize the fjords newly formed by glacial retreat, microplastics present an additional stressor.

Zooplankton were opportunistically sampled (six 1.5  $\mu$ l microfuge tubes per station) for the analysis of ingested microplastics. Laboratory work and analyses will be performed at the University of West Florida. Zooplankton will be sorted, barcoded for species identification, enzymatically digested. Total digested volume will be filtered with a 0.45 micron gridded filter. Microplastics will be quantified and composition will be determined with micro-fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.

# Plankton culturing

The West Antarctic Peninsula is one of the regions most severely affected by global warming. The alterations in climatic conditions means that less and less sea ice is forming during the winter month causing the phytoplankton bloom to arrive earlier in the year in these regions. Marine Antarctic organisms generally have low metabolic rates, thus quantity of phytoplankton bloom might be less important than duration. This project aims to culture phytoplankton as a food source to mimic an early onset of phytoplankton blooms under climate change conditions and quantify how these would affect species interactions of highly abundant benthic filter feeders, such as bryozoans and polychaetes.

Phytoplankton was sampled in Ryder Bay from 3 vertical trawls using the stations furthest away from Sheldon glacier. Zooplanktonic predators were removed from small subsamples which were then used to start a phytoplankton culture.

#### 5.5.5 Cetacean Survey

### Marina Costa, SAERI, Falkland Islands, mcosta@env.institute.ac.fk

#### Background

The waters between the Falkland Islands and the Western side of the Antarctic Peninsula host a diverse community of cetaceans including at least twenty-five species including eight species of mysticetes or baleen whales (blue whale, Balaenoptera musculus; fin whale, Balaenoptera physalus; sei whale, Balaenoptera borealis; minke whale species, either Antarctic minke whale Balaenoptera bonaerensis or dwarf minke whale<sup>4</sup> Balaenoptera acutorostrata; humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae; southern right whale, Eubalaena australis; pygmy right whale, Caperea marginata), seven species of beaked whales (southern bottlenose whale, *Hyperoodon planifrons*; Andrew's beaked whale, Mesoplodon bowdoini; strap-toothed whale, Mesoplodon layardii; Gray's beaked whale, Mesoplodon grayi; Hector's beaked whale, Mesoplodon hectori; Cuvier's beaked whale, Ziphius cavirostris; Arnoux's beaked whale, Berardius arnuxii), eight species of Cephalorhynchus commersonii; Peale's delphinids (Commerson's dolphin, dolphin. Lagenorhynchus australis; hourglass dolphin, Lagenorhynchus cruciger; dusky dolphin, Lagenorhynchus obscurus; orca, Orcinus orca; long-finned pilot whale, Globicephala melas; southern right whale dolphin, Lissodelphis peronii; common bottlenose dolphin, Tursiops truncatus), one species of porpoise (spectacled porpoise, Phocoena dioptrica) and the sperm whale, Physeter macrocephalus (Perrin et al. 2009). Commerson's dolphin has been observed only around the Falkland Islands waters and Peale's dolphin has been observed in the continental waters around the Falkland Islands, including the Burdwood Bank.

#### Study area

The waters surveyed during this trip encompass four areas including the cold temperate and sub-Antarctic zone surrounding the Falkland Islands and the Burdwood Bank, the offshore waters and the southern polar Antarctic zone west of the Antarctic Peninsula, whose boundary is defined by an oceanic frontal feature known as Polar Front (PF) (Figure 5.5.7). The PF is the most important feature of a system of fronts and currents created by the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) circulating around Antarctica from west to east. Across the front the temperature can change dramatically, up to 10°C, over few kilometers affecting marine wildlife distribution including cetacean. The convergence zone is a highly productive region driven by local mixing and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The Dwarf Minke whale is a form of *B. acutorostrata* that occurs in the southern hemisphere (Reilly *et al.* 2008e). The identification between *B. acutorostrata* and *B. bonaerensis* is ambiguous because the two species are partially sympatric and hard to distinguish. In this document there is no future reference to *B. acutorostrata*.

upwelling caused when cold, northward-flowing, Antarctic waters meet and sink under the relatively warmer waters of the Sub-Antarctic.



Figure 5.5.7 The study area surveyed during the ICEBERGS 2 cruise including the Falkland Islands (FI), the Burdwood Bank (BB), and the Antarctic Peninsula (AP). Observation period from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 27<sup>th</sup> of December 2017.

The area surveyed included highly productive environments waters around the Burdwood Bank, Falkland Islands, the offshore waters between the Bank and the Antarctic Peninsula and the waters off the western Antarctic Peninsula until the 67° parallel of latitude. These waters support high densities of Antarctic krill (*Euphasia superba*), which constitutes a key species in Antarctic food-webs, and thereof are highly important feeding ground for recovering baleen whales populations in polar waters (Atkinson et al. 2008).

# Data Collection

One observer collected opportunistic cetacean navigation and sighting data when the ship was moving from the Falkland Islands to the Antarctic Peninsula and among the three sampling stations (i.e. Marian Cove, Börgen Bay and Sheldon Bay). Observation was conducted from the open platform called *Monkey Island* located at 15 meters above sea level on top of the navigation bridge deck (Figure 5.5.8 A). When weather conditions were unsuitable for observation outside (i.e. during winds stronger than 7 Beaufort) observation was conducted inside the navigation bridge (Figure 5.5.8 B).



*Figure 5.5.8 A. The platform Monkey island where cetacean observation was carried out (red arrow) in good sea condition; B. The navigation bridge where observation was carried out with bad sea conditions.* 

The observer searched with naked eyes 180 degrees directly ahead of the track-line with 70% of the effort concentrated in the central 90 degrees. A waterproof 7x50 binocular was used to confirm species identification and whale direction. The bearing was measured using the protractor available on the observation platform. On the bridge, the bearing was measured as difference from the ship heading and the true bearing of the group spotted. Distances were estimated and verified measuring the time from when the animal was spotted and its position abeam the ship (considering a speed of 300m per minute).

Photographs were taken when possible using a CANON EOS 7D Mark II, equipped with a lens EF 70-200mm f/2.8 L IS II USM. The pictures were used to verify species identification.

Navigation data, including local date and time, effort, observer name, platform, environmental conditions were recorded at the beginning and at the end of each survey block and every time there was a change in weather conditions. The following data were recorded:

- Time (i.e. format hh:mm).
- Effort <u>Positive</u>: when observer was searching for cetaceans; <u>Negative</u>: when observation was interrupted.
- Observer location (Monkey Island or the bridge).
- Sea state in Beaufort scale.
- Swell high (in meters).
- Cloud and ice cover (in %).
- Glare, rain, snow and fog (none, mild, moderate and severe).
- Glare sector (in degrees).
- Visibility (poor, less than 1km; moderate, 1-5km; good, 5-10kmand excellent, >10km).
- Sightability (subjective code: 1 poor, 2 moderate, and 3 good).

When cetaceans were sighted, the following data were tape recorded:

- Species.
- Species certainty (likely, possibly, certain).
- Bearing when sighted.
- Distance to the animal(s).
- Cue (i.e. fin, body, body underwater, splash, blow, etc.).
- Observer's name who sighted it.
- Group size (best, minimum and maximum).
- Swimming direction for baleen whales.
- Animal reaction to the ship.
- Animal behaviour (travelling, feeding, resting, undetermined).
- Pictures taken (yes or no and number)

Time and geographical position were recorded automatically by ship system *SeaPath 320+ fitted June 2011* (http://wiki.jcr.nerc-bas.ac.uk/Seatex). Wind speed and direction were recorded automatically by the ship anemometers (http://wiki.jcr.nerc-bas.ac.uk/JCR Anemometer\_Knowledge). Data were downloaded in an excel sheet and positions were populated with the observation tape-recorded.

The animal(s) position at sighting (lat2 and lon2) was calculated using the following formulas:

 $lat2 = \arcsin(\sin(lat1)*\cos(d/R) + \cos(lat1)*\sin(d/R)*\cos(\theta))$ 

 $lon2 = lon1 + arc tan2(sin(\theta)*sin(d/R)*cos(lat1), cos(d/R)-sin(lat1)*sin(lat2))$ 

Where lat1 and lon1 was the initial position, d the estimated distance of animal (in meters), R the radius of the earth (in meters), and  $\theta$  the animal bearing (in radians, clockwise from north).

# Results

A total of 88 hours and 45 minutes of observation was carried out from the 2<sup>nd</sup> to the 27<sup>th</sup> of December 2018. Sightability conditions were 'good' in 14.4% of the time, 'moderate' in 36.1%, and 'poor' in 38.3%.

Cetaceans were spotted in 125 occasions including the following five species: humpback whale (83 sightings), fin whale (15 sightings), sei whale (4 sightings), pilot whale and killer whale (1 sighting each) (Table 5.5.9). Furthermore 18 sightings of unknown baleen whales, 2 of unknown cetaceans and 1 of either a killer or a pilot whale were made. Species identification was not always possible (17% of the sightings). This was particularly true for the baleen whales. The baleen blow that was the cue for the animal sighting in 87% of the occasions, was in fact visible at great distances (maximum distance estimated was 10km) and/or in bad weather conditions and often animals moved away before the ship could approach for identification.

Date	Obs hours	No of sight	Fin	Humpback	Sei	Killer	Pilot	Unknown baleen	Unknown cetacean	Killer or pilot
20181202	3:44	1								1
20181203	7:26	6	1		3			1	1	
20181204	2:15	1					1			
20181205	3:52	6	2		1			3		
20181206	7:54	5	3					2		
20181207	10:18	6	1	1				4		
20181208	0:01	1		1						
20181210	13:39	2		2						
20181211	5:15	56	2	51				3		
20181212	4:19	5		5						
20181214	0:24	1		1						
20181215	0:33	2		1		1				
20181216	8:31	8		7					1	
20181217	3:41									
20181221	0:47									
20181225	3:27	9		6				3		
20181226	6:22	9		8				1		
20181227	6:20	7	6					1		
Total	88:48	125	15	83	4	1	1	18	2	1

**Table 5.5.9** – Observation (Obs) hours and number of sightings in total and per species for each day.

Humpback whale (Figure 5.5.10 A, B) was the most encountered species (66% of the sightings), followed by fin (12%) and sei (3%) whales. Only 3 sightings of delphinids were made (Table 5.5.10).

**Table 5.5.10** – Number of sighting, number of individuals, group size average and standard deviation for each species/group encountered.

Species	No of Sight	No of individuals	Average group size	Group size standard deviation
Fin whale	15	27	1.8	0.833
Humpback whale	83	147	1.8	0.826
Killer or Pilot whale	1	1	1	0
Killer whale	1	8	8	0
Pilot whale	1	8	8	0
Sei whale	4	6	1.5	0.500
Unknown baleen whale	18	22	1.2	0.416
Unknown cetacean	2	2	1	0
Total	125	221	-	-

Fin (Figure 5.5.10 C) and sei (Figure 5.5.10 D) whales were observed around the Burdwood bank and/or in open waters while all the humpback whales were all encountered off the Antarctic Peninsula northern of the 66 parallel of latitude south (Figure 5.5.9). The sightings of killer and pilot whales were made in Burgan Bay and in the waters around the Burdwood bank respectively.



**Figure 5.5.9** Sighting positions of the baleen whales encountered during the cruise ICEBERGS 2.

Information about time, position, species and group size for the 125 encounters are listed in Table 5.5.11.



Figure 5.5.10 – A., B. Humpback whale, C. fin whale, D. sei whale.

Table 5.5.11 Date, time, sighting number, bearing, distance, position, species, species certainty, spotter, cue, group size (minimum, maximum and best), whale swimming direction, animal reaction at sight, and pictures for the 125 encounters.

DateU TC	Time UTC	SightingN umber	TRUEBe aring	Distance Meters	LatCe ta	LonCe ta	Species	SpeciesQ uality	Spot ter	Cue	M in	M ax	Be st	WhaleSwimDire ction	ReactionAtSight	Pictures
02/12/ 2018	14:23 :00	1	Na	15	- 52.25 391	- 58.19 831	Killer or Pilot whale	Certain	MC OS	Body underwate r	1	1	1		Attracted to the boat	No
03/12/ 2018	18:07 :00	2	359	3704	- 55.02 453	- 56.97 309	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	2	3	2			No
03/12/ 2018	19:02 :00	3	166	1852	- 55.07 394	- 56.97 282	Sei whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	135	No reaction	No
03/12/ 2018	19:08 :00	4	164	1852	- 55.07 374	- 56.97 282	Fin whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	135	No reaction	Yes
03/12/ 2018	19:25 :00	5	1	Na	- 55.06 188	- 56.98 491	Sei whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	45	No reaction	No
03/12/ 2018	19:35 :00	6	356	2778	- 55.02 536	- 56.94 142	Sei whale	Possibly	KRET	Blow	2	2	2	40	No reaction	Yes - Ask Katy
03/12/ 2018	20:06 :00	7	8	4630	- 54.98 799	- 56.80 465	Unknown cetacean		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
04/12/ 2018	09:53 :00	8	181	50	- 54.95 212	- 57.80 184	Pilot whale	Certain	MC OS	Fin	7	8	8	266	Attracted to the boat	Yes
05/12/ 2018	09:14 :00	9	323	1000	- 54.94 205	- 57.27 729	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	230	Change direction to 195	Yes
05/12/ 2018	09:16 :00	10	Na	6000	- 54.94 964	- 57.28 898	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1			Yes
05/12/ 2018	09:23 :00	11	338	4000	- 54.91 727	- 57.31 900	Sei whale	Likely	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes
05/12/ 2018	10:15 :00	12	31	8000	- 54.89 660	- 57.56 456	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes
05/12/ 2018	20:05 :00	13	39	3000	- 55.46 303	- 57.43 032	Fin whale	Likely	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No rection	Yes
05/12/ 2018	20:14 :00	14	95	6000	- 55.51 155	- 57.41 892	Fin whale	Likely	CSA N	Blow	1	1	1		No rection	Yes
06/12/ 2018	16:16 :00	15	34	70	- 59.26 846	- 57.17 025	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	170	Dive when abeam	No
06/12/ 2018	20:33 :00	16	325	100	- 60.05 345	- 56.97 277	Fin whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3	300	Change direction to pass behind the ship	Yes

07/12         00.00         17         37.0         38.0         04.3         65.1         Finwahe         NC         User         No         1         1         1         Surface very divery diverse           07/12         00.0         18         35.1         15.0         60.4         55.94         Unknown         KRT         Blow         1         1         1         1         Surface very divery diverse           07/12         00.0         19         32.2         80         60.4         55.94         Unknown         NC         I         1         1         1         Surface very divery diverse           07/12         00.0         10.0         32.2         80         60.4         55.94         Finwahe         Possibly         NC         I         1         1         1         Gate         Surface very divery diverse           07/12         10.0         10         60.9         57.4         Unknown         Possibly         NC         Blow         1         1         1         I         Surface very diverse						-	-										
07.12       00.04       18       351       150       64.2       55.94       Unknown       KRET       Blow       1 <th1< th="">       1       1</th1<>	07/12/ 2018	00:00 :00	17	350	80	60.42 043	55.96 651	Fin whale	Likely	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		Surface very close and last dive when abeam	No - Ask Katy
07/12/ 2018         000/ 000         19         352         80         155.80 352         77         Fin whale         Likely         05         Blow         1         1         1         1         Surface very of when abeam           07/12/ 2018         100         20         350         60         1355         60.44         100         MC         Blow         1         1         68         Surface very of abeam           07/12/ 2018         1405         21         349         10         61.89         56.44         Unknown         MC         Blow         1         1         68         Surface very of abeam           07/12/ 2018         17.48         22         322         140         61.89         56.43         Unknown         MC         Blow         1         1         1         68         Surface ner wf disapper           07/12/ 2018         19.01         22         32         34         60.01         57.14         Unknown         MC         Blow         1         1         1         NA         Surface ner wf disapper           07/12/ 2018         19.01         24         62         7.38         Unknown         MC         Blow         1         1         1	07/12/ 2018	00:04 :00	18	351	150	- 60.42 668	- 55.94 425	Unknown baleen whale		KRET	Blow	1	1	1		Surface very close and last dive when abeam	No - Ask Katy
12,24 $12,24$	07/12/ 2018	00:27 :00	19	352	80	- 60.47 032	- 55.80 877	Fin whale	Likely	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		Surface very close and last dive when abeam	Yes
14:5 $$ $14:5$ $$ $14:5$ $$ $14:5$ $1$ <	07/12/ 2018	12:41 :00	20	350	60	- 61.82 335	- 56.04 769	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	68	Surface very close and dive when abeam	No
17.48 $1.04$	07/12/ 2018	14:15 :00	21	349	10	- 61.89 464	- 56.43 409	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		Surface when ship was passing by and dive immediately	No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/12/ 2018	17:48 :00	22	332	140	- 62.07 039	- 57.14 830	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	205	Surface once when close, dive and disappear	No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	07/12/ 2018	19:15 :00	23	34	400	- 62.14 267	- 57.43 219	Unknown baleen whale		Jam es	Blow	1	1	1		Only 1 surfacing and seemed to move away from the ship	No
08/12/1000 $01000$ $25$ $72$ $20000$ $3420$ $3250$ $41000$ $02000$ $050$ $01000$ $2$ <th< td=""><td>07/12/ 2018</td><td>22:09 :00</td><td>24</td><td>46</td><td>800</td><td>- 62.25 460</td><td>- 57.98 289</td><td>Unknown baleen whale</td><td></td><td>MC OS</td><td>Blow</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>NA</td><td></td><td>No</td></th<>	07/12/ 2018	22:09 :00	24	46	800	- 62.25 460	- 57.98 289	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	NA		No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	08/12/ 2018	01:00 :00	25	72	2000	- 62.31 342	- 58.60 925	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	Na	No reaction	No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	08/12/ 2018	12:30 :00	26	Na	Na	- 62.21 296	- 58.76 887	Humpback whale	Certain	SJEN	NA	1	1	1	NA	ΝΑ	Yes
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10/12/ 2018	11:00 :00	27	Na	Na	- 62.21 931	- 58.82 164	Humpback whale	Certain	KRET	NA	2	2	2	NA	Inspecting the ship at some point	Yes
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10/12/ 2018	12:21 :00	28	7	1500	- 62.20 406	- 58.77 412	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	340	No reaction	Yes
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11/12/ 2018	13:16 :00	29	313	5000	- 62.18 674	- 58.80 660	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	NA	No reaction	No
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11/12/ 2018	20:04 :00	30	69	6000	- 62.20 740	- 58.81 517	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
20:35       - <td>11/12/ 2018</td> <td>20:05 :00</td> <td>31</td> <td>83</td> <td>5000</td> <td>- 62.22 179</td> <td>- 58.81 778</td> <td>Humpback whale</td> <td>Certain</td> <td>ADL E</td> <td>Blow</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td>No reaction</td> <td>Yes</td>	11/12/ 2018	20:05 :00	31	83	5000	- 62.22 179	- 58.81 778	Humpback whale	Certain	ADL E	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes
20:39	11/12/ 2018	20:35 :00	32	289	2500	- 62.23 296	- 58.83 580	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes
11/12/     62.24     58.82     Humpback     MC       2018     33     287     4000     052     688     whale     Certain     OS     Blow     3     3     170     No reaction	11/12/ 2018	20:39 :00	33	287	4000	- 62.24 052	- 58.82 688	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3	170	No reaction	Yes

	20.42				-	-										
11/12/ 2018	20:42 :00	34	301	5000	62.23 612	58.81 496	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	20:45 :00	35	288	5000	- 62.25 281	- 58.80 199	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	20:47 :00	36	316	6000	- 62.23 250	- 58.78 786	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	20:50 :00	37	298	5800	- 62.25 450	- 58.77 808	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	21:56 :00	38	160	5500	- 62.48 341	- 58.88 126	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	295	No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:02 :00	39	322	6000	- 62.40 764	- 58.93 720	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:03 :00	40	41	5400	- 62.41 522	- 58.89 734	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:04 :00	41	40	6000	- 62.41 288	- 58.89 954	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:05 :00	42	49	6500	- 62.41 797	- 58.89 754	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:27 :00	43	78	6000	- 62.48 646	- 59.00 281	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:31 :00	44	284	3800	- 62.49 460	- 59.08 442	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:34 :00	45	6	1900	- 62.49 065	- 59.07 422	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:36 :00	46	39	6000	- 62.46 917	- 59.06 112	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:41 :00	47	6	2778	- 62.49 817	- 59.10 495	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:44 :00	48	41	1852	- 62.51 728	- 59.10 770	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:45 :00	49	93	1500	- 62.53 211	- 59.10 787	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:48 :00	50	301	7408	- 62.50 477	- 59.17 042	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes_jam med

11/12/	22:53				62 54	50.44										
2018	.00	51	38	1200	82.54 388	59.14 045	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	3	3	350	No reaction	Yes_Jam med
11/12/ 2018	22:56 :00	52	51	5000	- 62.53 123	- 59.12 780	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	23:00 :00	53	58	6700	- 62.53 726	- 59.12 911	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:01 :00	54	30	4630	- 62.53 665	- 59.15 614	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:09 :00	55	261	1500	- 62.59 551	- 59.21 089	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:15 :00	56	32	1100	- 62.60 215	- 59.21 844	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	343	No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:18 :00	57	31	7000	- 62.56 304	- 59.20 591	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:22 :00	58	38	5556	- 62.58 831	- 59.21 960	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:23 :00	59	32	3704	- 62.60 165	- 59.23 351	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	278	No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:36 :00	60	22	6482	- 62.60 919	- 59.27 269	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	4	4	4		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:38 :00	61	348	600	- 62.66 328	- 59.29 912	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	308	No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	23:42 :00	62	34	6482	- 62.63 066	- 59.28 561	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
11/12/ 2018	23:54 :00	63	59	2778	- 62.70 003	- 59.34 014	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
11/12/ 2018	23:56 :00	64	20	5556	- 62.66 988	- 59.34 980	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:00 :00	65	331	5556	- 62.68 414	- 59.40 144	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:01 :00	66	334	7408	- 62.67 027	- 59.40 853	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:06 :00	67	324	7408	- 62.68 996	- 59.43 674	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med

					-	-										
12/12/ 2018	00:08 :00	68	329	6482	62.69 942	59.43 651	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:09 :00	69	333	7408	- 62.69 250	- 59.43 984	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:10 :00	70	334	6700	- 62.70 059	- 59.44 065	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	3	3		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:25 :00	71	21	6482	- 62.74 135	- 59.45 819	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	Yes_jam med
12/12/ 2018	00:27 :00	72	350	900	- 62.79 317	- 59.48 742	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:32 :00	73	314	Na	- 62.81 400	- 59.50 836	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	190	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:34 :00	74	41	700	- 62.81 437	- 59.51 347	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	4	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:37 :00	75	297	2778	- 62.81 554	- 59.55 317	Fin whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3	173	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:49 :00	76	340	1700	- 62.84 186	- 59.59 546	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:55 :00	77	348	1100	- 62.86 004	- 59.62 036	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	240	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:58 :00	78	344	7408	- 62.81 262	- 59.65 074	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	220	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	00:59 :00	79	321	500	- 62.87 541	- 59.64 015	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	113	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	01:05 :00	80	15	1600	- 62.87 904	- 59.66 213	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	01:06 :00	81	63	650	- 62.89 257	- 59.66 539	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes
12/12/ 2018	01:09 :00	82	18	1300	- 62.89 098	- 59.68 136	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	01:14 :00	83	295	1500	- 62.90 798	- 59.72 268	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	01:15 :00	84	57	1400	- 62.90 905	- 59.70 317	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	No

	44.27				-	-										
12/12/ 2018	11:37 :00	85	266	220	64.48 392	62.25 995	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Body	1	1	1	55	Dive when passing by	No
12/12/ 2018	12:05 :00	86	16	40	- 64.52 570	- 62.42 593	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Body	2	3	2	229	Dive when passing by	Yes
12/12/ 2018	12:12 :00	87	10	60	- 64.53 775	- 62.47 522	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Body	1	1	1	202	Dive when passing by	Yes
12/12/ 2018	12:49 :00	88	261	730	- 64.59 653	- 62.70 690	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	178	No reaction	No
12/12/ 2018	13:32 :00	89	32	800	- 64.66 332	- 62.98 314	Humpback whale	Likely	MC OS	Splash	1	1	1		No reaction	No
15/12/ 2018	08:29 :00	90	Na	Na	- 64.73 331	- 63.45 276	Humpback whale	Certain	ARG O	Fin	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes
15/12/ 2018	05:00 :00	91	Na	Na	- 64.71 908	- 63.45 751	Killer whale	Certain	Brid ge	Fin	6	8	8		No reaction	Yes
15/12/ 2018	23:35 :00	92	Na	Na	- 64.73 410	- 63.45 583	Humpback whale	certain	Othe r	Body	1	1	1		Inspecting	Yes (video)
16/12/ 2018	07:54 :00	93	314	600	- 64.87 788	- 63.72 037	Humpback whale	certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	360	No reaction	Yes
16/12/ 2018	08:07 :00	94	347	4630	- 64.83 596	- 63.80 804	Humpback whale	certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3	111	No reaction	
16/12/ 2018	08:08 :00	95	5	5556	- 64.82 651	- 63.84 229	Humpback whale	certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	111	No reaction	No
16/12/ 2018	08:52 :00	96	22	670	- 64.86 633	- 64.14 433	Humpback whale	possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
16/12/ 2018	09:34 :00	97	302	3704	- 64.89 050	- 64.34 702	Unknown cetacean		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	
16/12/ 2018	10:27 :00	98	266	2000	- 64.92 662	- 64.71 385	Humpback whale	possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	No
16/12/ 2018	10:50 :00	99	36	3000	- 64.91 463	- 64.94 254	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	No
16/12/ 2018	10:56 :00	100	31	1500	- 64.92 778	- 64.95 853	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	188	No reaction	No
25/12/ 2018	09:00 :00	101	Na	30	- 66.76 884	- 69.38 195	Humpback whale	Likely	Deyl en	NA	1	1	1	Na	Na	No

	17:43				-	-										
25/12/ 2018	:00	102	310	800	65.88 204	67.88 567	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Fin	2	2	2	30	No reaction	
25/12/ 2018	18:36 :00	103	319	780	- 65.79 471	- 67.75 625	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	4	3	10	No reaction	No
25/12/ 2018	18:39 :00	104	319	2500	- 65.77 803	- 67.72 403	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	No
25/12/ 2018	18:53 :00	105	358	4000	- 65.73 238	- 67.70 010	Humpback whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3	90	Change direction	Yes
25/12/ 2018	18:54 :00	106	320	800	- 65.76 115	- 67.69 438	Unknown baleen whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	
25/12/ 2018	19:03 :00	107	55	800	- 65.75 087	- 67.68 889	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2		No reaction	
25/12/ 2018	19:17 :00	108	51	750	- 65.72 575	- 67.65 324	Unknown baleen whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	70	No reaction	
25/12/ 2018	19:22 :00	109	297	4630	- 65.70 107	- 67.58 577	Unknown baleen whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1		No reaction	
26/12/ 2018	07:51 :00	110	318	750	- 64.89 665	- 64.72 283	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Splash	1	1	1	30	No reaction	No
26/12/ 2018	08:36 :00	111	2	1700	- 64.86 972	- 64.52 124	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	3	3	3		No reaction	No
26/12/ 2018	08:44 :00	112	53	1500	- 64.87 188	- 64.50 831	Humpback whale	Certain	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	10	No reaction	No
26/12/ 2018	18:52 :00	113	Na	Na	- 64.83 021	- 64.06 639	Humpback	Certain	MC	Body	1	1	1		No reaction	Yes
26/12/	19:15 :00	11/	33/	1200	- 64.85 567	- 64.17 611	Humpback	Certain	MC	Blow	2	-	-	210	No reaction	Vos
26/12/	19:27 :00	114	120	2200	- 64.88	- 64.29	Humpback	Cortain	MC	Diow	2	2	- -	190	No reaction	163
26/12/	20:36 :00	115	130	2200	- 64.80	- 64.68	Humpback	Certain	MC	BIOW	Z	2	Z	180	No reaction	
2018 26/12/	20:55 ·00	116	20	5000	607 - 64.78	924 - 64.73	whale Unknown	Certain	os MC	Blow	2	2	2	230	No rection	Yes
2018	21:13	117	37	2000	680 - 64 74	023 - 64 72	baleen whale		OS MC	Blow	2	2	2		Na	No
2018	:00	118	36	1400	304 304	821	whale	Certain	OS	Blow	1	1	1	260 (compass)	Na	

27/12/ 2018	22:13 :00	119	27	4000	- 60.84 816	- 66.12 383	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	27	No reaction	Yes
27/12/ 2018	22:15 :00	120	53	3600	- 60.85 668	- 66.12 655	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	350	No reaction	Yes
27/12/ 2018	22:35 :00	121	22	9260	- 60.75 927	- 66.13 945	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	2	2	2	80 (respect to ship course)	No reaction	Yes
27/12/ 2018	22:37 :00	122	5	9260	- 60.74 924	- 66.13 418	Fin whale	Possibly	MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	80 (respect to ship course)	No reaction	Yes
27/12/ 2018	22:38 :00	123	349	800	- 60.82 314	- 66.13 247	Fin whale	Possibly	FHO W	Body	1	1	1	70 (respect to ship course)	No reaction	Yes
27/12/ 2018	22:51 :00	124	33	7408	- 60.74 700	- 66.14 950	Unknown baleen whale		MC OS	Blow	1	1	1	80 (respect to ship course)	No reaction	No
27/12/ 2018	23:29 :00	125	90	130	- 60.72 977	- 66.12 429	Fin whale	Possibly	Mich ael	Body	3	3	3	same direction of the ship	No reaction	Yes

#### Bibliography

- Atkinson, A., Siegel, V., Pakhomov, E.A., Rothery, P., Loeb, V., Ross, R.M., Quetin, L.B., Schmidt, K., Fretwell, P., Murphy, E.J. and Tarling, G.A (2008). Oceanic circumpolar habitats of Antarctic krill. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 362, 1-23.
- Perrin, W. F., Würsig, B., & Thewissen, J. G. M. (Eds.). (2009). Encyclopedia of marine mammals. Academic Press.



# 6. Chilean Collaboration

 Carlos Munoz-Ramirez Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción (carmunoz@umich.edu)
 Miguel Bascur Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción (mabascur@bmciencias.ucsc.cl)
 Paulina Brunning Laval University, Canada (paulinabruning@gmail.com)

ICEBERGS is a UK-Chilean collaboration, supported by a NERC-CONICYT grant, with Dr. Antonio Brante from Universidad Catolica de la Santisíma Concepción (UCSC) as the PI in the Chilean group. The Chilean team includes (as associated researchers) Dr. Patricio Camus (UCSC), Dr. Florence Tellier (UCSC), Dr. Angel Urzua and Dr. Carlos Munoz (UCSC). The project aims a multidisciplinary approach to evaluate the role of climate change on Antarctic benthic ecosystems. The Chilean collaborators will aid this project with their experience in ecological, genetics and bioenergetics analyses that will contribute to the understanding of relevant processes at the individual and population levels within the main frame of the project. In addition to Dr. Carlos Munoz (UCSC), two new Chilean researchers, the master student Miguel Bascur (UCSC) and the PhD student Paulina Bruning (IDEAL) have joined this year's cruise to collaborate with the sampling process.

# 6.1 Bioenergetics

As glaciers retreat due to the increase in temperatures, meltwater discharge from glaciers is causing physical changes in the sea that may impact on benthic fauna as environmental stressors. At the individual level, stressors affect physiological processes and bioenergetic components that may be translated in a deficiency in the individual performance directly impacting population dynamics and community structure. Hence, these changes in environmental conditions in Antarctic waters may influence fitness traits of individuals such as growth, survival and reproductive output. In this situation it is expected that organisms inhabiting localities impacted by ice loss and deglaciation may show a decrease in bioenergetic constituents, nutritional condition and reproductive output. In this project we will evaluate the effects of these perturbations on the bioenergetic, nutritional quality and reproductive output of Antarctic marine invertebrate organisms. We will examine such physiological parameters in the context of temporal disturbance revealed through sclerochronological analyses of mollusc shells as well as multiple environmental variables provided by CTD data.

# 6.2 Genetics

Extreme perturbation events, such as ice loss and deglaciation, not only may produce important effects at individual and ecological levels but also at the genetic level, with implications for the long-term sustainability of local benthic ecosystems. Decrease in species abundances as a result of perturbations may produce a genetic bottleneck increasing genetic drift and negatively impacting genetic diversity. In addition, both theory and experiments indicate that allelic richness is more sensitive to the effects of short, severe bottlenecks than is haplotype diversity. These genetic changes would affect viability of populations at two temporal scales: (1) over the short term it is expected that a reduction in genetic diversity will lead to an increase in the susceptibility of populations to pathogens and parasites, and fastening the fixation of deleterious alleles. (2) Over the long term, the diminished population would reduce capacity to respond to changing selection pressures if genetic reduction is associated with adaptive genes and so the absence of genetic variation may increase the risk of extinction. Increasing local fecundity may not be an effective strategy to compensate for abundance reduction because glacier perturbations strongly affect mortality of benthic species locally. By contrast, abundance and genetic population diversity may be maintained by immigration (dispersal), the "rescue effect". In this situation genetic diversity would be maintained or would be less impacted through genotype immigration from neighbouring populations. The significance of the rescue effect depends on the extent of the perturbation effect and the dispersal potential of the species. In this context we predict a reduction of genetic diversity in localities impacted by ice loss and deglaciation with higher effects in species with low dispersal potential than those with high dispersal potential. In addition, disturbed localities will show less population connectivity (higher population genetic distance) and higher inbreeding levels than less impacted localities.

# 6.3 Sampling on the JCR

Samples for both goals, the bioenergetics and the genetics studies, were obtained from the Hamon Grab sampling (see section 5.4.1). This year's cruise was highly successful in terms of sampling, with the completion of 95 successful grabs from three different fjords: Marian Cove, Börgen Bay, and the newly sampled Sheldon Cove. To make the best use of these samples in terms of rapid availability and distribution, the samples are to be taken to Chile as soon as we reached port in Punta Arenas instead of being kept in the ship for months. In this manner, they can be readily processed, sorted, identified, and distributed to the different researchers as needed in a much shorter period of time.

# 7. Outreach activities

Alice Guzzi, U. Genoa, Italy Alejandro Román González, U. Exeter, UK Nadescha Zwerschke, BAS, UK

The activities carried out during the expedition were promoted through social media, following the suggestions from the scientific report campaign JR17001 - NERC ICEBERGS & ORCHESTRA projects 2017 (9. ICEBERGS Outreach by Alexis Janosik, James Scourse, Katy Sheen & Marlon Clark) this year Twitter has been joined by Instagram as the main platforms to communicate with the public. The creation of a hashtag dedicated to the cruise (#ICEBERGS2) allowed to collect all the contents and to simplify the sharing on different social media. One of the main problems faced during the cruise regarding the sharing of online content is the absence of internet connection in some sampling sites, in these cases the publication of materials has been postponed.

# Twitter

As for last year, Twitter was used as the main social media for the promotion of activities. The profile, active since September 2017, has reached 645 subscribers (we've gained around 5 new followers for day in December by Twitter analytics tools), with an average of 2.9K impressions per day. During the scientific cruise time, we achieved 80.4K total impressions. The sharing of material related to the cruise from the crew members on their own private social media pages allowed to keep high the frequency of tweets. Thanks to the internationality of the ICEBERGS2 team, the interest generated is distributed all over the globe (see figure 7.1) with United Kingdom and USA as areas of greatest activity (respectively 60.3% and 13.1%), followed in smaller proportion by Italy, France, Germany, Spain, Australia, Canada, Ireland and Brazil.



Figure 7.1 Percentages of Twitter interactions by country

#### Instagram

The sharing of material through this social network has allowed to expand public profile and involve different age groups. The Instagram profile, active since October 2018, has quickly reached 164 followers, with an average of 40 likes for each shared photo. From the response obtained by the public, Instagram seems to be a good tool of communication for the scientific activities and also in this case the sharing of photographic material among the team members was essential to maintain quality content.

# Blog

During the expedition the activities on board and the highlights were told through blogs. The most technical approach has been maintained regarding the blog present at the following link:

# https://www.bas.ac.uk/blogpost/a-research-cruise-and-my-gateway-to-antarctica/

For the personal blogs Nadescha Zwerschke contributed by presenting her personal point of view at the following links:

#### https://67-degrees-south.blog/

# School-linkup

As for ICEBERGS 2017, the interest in scientific activities by the public and especially schools has been remarkable. James Scouse continued his outreach project with a phone call with pupils at Fox Primary School, Tooting, London on Wednesday 19th December. The children were provided in advance with photographic material and during the phone call they showed considerable interest by asking questions for about 40 minutes. David Barnes with Anna Pienkowski gave answers to questions posed by school children through a phone call on December 4th with the Red Rose Primary School lasting about 50 minutes. The children have been provided previously with information and photographs and during the call they asked numerous questions including "Can you describe the Antarctic Sea bed and how is it different to European sea bed?". The children also had fun by producing a series of masterpieces for an Antarctic flag (Figure 7.2). David Barnes also conducted a second phone call interview with the Grosvenor Road Primary School together with Nadescha Zwerschke. In this call the enthusiastic children asked numerous questions including "Where does plankton end during the winter?". The children of the Grosvenor Road Primary School later produced a school newspaper where they summarized the interview and sent a copy to Nadescha for a fun reading in Rothera.

After the end of the cruise, many of the participants will be involved in interviews with schools and other outreach activities to talk about the scientific activities carried out on board and their personal experience.



Figure 7.2 Some of the flags designed by children from Red Rose Primary School

# Podcasting

A radio interview was carried out at University of Exeter (UoE) prior to cruise departure. This interview was supported by an ample range of social media managed by UoE and it can be accessed at: <u>https://blogs.exeter.ac.uk/exetermarine/2018/12/20/icebergs-with-dr-alejandro-roman-gonzalez/</u>

During the interview, the rationale of the project, experiences from 2017/18 (JR17001) cruise were discussed as well as the planned activities for the current cruise (JR18003). It was also agreed that a follow-up interview will be carried out at some point in the first half of 2019 regarding the outcomes from JR18003.

# Photography

As in the case of JR17001, photographs taken during JR18003 will be presented to national and international photography competitions and promoted through photography social media platforms (e.g. Flickr, Facebook 500px, photoblogs). Any results from these competitions will be communicated to the members of the team and will mostly likely generate an outreach stream on their own that will be linked to ICEBERGS general media stream.
# 8. AME Electronic technical report

# David Goodger, Ship Science Engineer <u>davodg@bas.ac.uk</u>

# 8.1 Cruise Summary

Cruise	Departure	Arrival	AME Engineer(s)
JR18003	01/12/18 (MH FLK)	31/12/18 (Punta)	David Goodger
			(davodg@bas.ac.uk)

This cruise is part of the Icebergs science program, with sediment coring, Multibeam and CTDs to determine the effect of glacial retreat and meltwater on life in the sediment. Systems used on cruise

# 8.2 Instrumentation

# 8.2.1 systems used on cruise

Instrument	#SN if Used	Make and Model	Comments		
Lab Instruments					
AutoSal	65763	OSIL 8400B	See "autosal section"		
Saintillation counter	No	PERKINELMER	Not Used, Tested for Future		
Schumation counter	INO	TRI-CARB 2910TR	Cruises		
XBT	No		Set up but not used.		
Acoustic					
ADCP	Yes				
EM122	Yes				
TOPAS	Yes				
EK60/80	Yes				
K-Sync	Yes				
SSU	No				
USBL	Yes	Sonardyne Ranger 2	Beacons 1 and 2 attached at never needed		
10kHz IOS Pinger	No				
Benthos 12kHz Pinger	No				
Benthos 14kHz	No				
Pinger	INO				
Mors 10kHz	No				
Transponder	INU				
EA600	Yes		Bridge Equipment but logged		
Oceanlogger					
Barometer1	V145002	VAISALA PTB210B1A2B	Inside the UIC		

Barometer2 V145003		VAISALA PTB210B1A2B	Inside the UIC
Air humidity & temp1	61019333	Rotronic Hygroclip	On Foremast
Air humidity & temp2	61019251	Rotronic Hygroclip	On Foremast
TIR1 sensor (pyranometer)	172882	Kipp & Zonen Sp Lite2	On Foremast
TIR2 sensor (pyranometer)	172883	Kipp & Zonen Sp Lite2	On Foremast
PAR1 sensor	160959	Kipp & Zonen PQS- 1	On Foremast
PAR2 sensor	160960	Kipp & Zonen PQS- 1	On Foremast
Thermosalinograph	0018	SBE45	PrepLab
Transmissometer	1497DR	CST-846DR	PrepLab
Fluorometer	1498	WSCHL-1498	PrepLab
Flow meter	05/811950	LitreMeter F112-P- HC-AP-OR-PP	PrepLab
Seawater temp 1	0765	SBE38	Sea Inlet
Seawater temp 2	0771	SBE38	Sea Inlet
Instrument	#SN if Used	Make and Model	Comments
СТД			
Deck unit 1	0548	SBE11plus	
Underwater Comms/	0010	SBE9plus	
Depth	1225	5525prus	
Temp1	5645	SBE3plus	
Temp2	2191	SBE3plus	
Cond1	3248	SBE 4C	
Cond2	4126	SBE 4C	
Pump1	1807	SBE5T	
Pump2	7966	SBE5T	
Standards		SBE35 0024	
Thermometer	0061		
Transmissometer	527DR	C-Star	
Oxvgen sensor	0620	SBE43	
PAR sensor	70442	OCP2350	
Fluorometer         12.8513-001		CTG Aqua Tracker MkIII	
Altimeter	10127.244739	Tritech S10127 232	
CTD swivel linkage 1961018		Focal Technologies Group	
LADCP Master	14442	TeleDyne WHM300	
Down	14443		
I ADCD Clove Up			
LADCP Slave Up	No	TeleDyne WHM300	
Pylon	No 0636	TeleDyne WHM300 SBE32	

Anemometer	1511001	Gill Instruments Windobserver 70	Bridge Equipment, logged by Oceanlogger. On
			Foremast an of cruise
Ships Gyro	Yes		Bridge Equipment, logged

### 8.2.2 Notes for Heading and Course Instruments

### Seatex

Changes were made so that seatex could log raw data, this was done to enable post corrections using the RINEX 2 raw data format. The changes involved using telegrams 14, 15, and 16 (previously unused) to log raw; binary, RTK and IMU data respectively. This functioned well for the duration of the cruise although when transferring data off the system using the provided interface only 24h can be removed at a time. If more than this is attempted the system will error until the HMI is rebooted. The logging was disabled and enabled regularly to reduce data space used and unwanted data creating. The additional logging was off at the end of the cruise.

8.2.3 Notes for Lab Instruments used

### AutoSal

Upon arrival I was briefed on the current state of autosal which I could summarise as, "one is un-calibrated and gives bad readings, the other has faulty pumps". Autosal 65763 was used for the duration of the cruise although both pumps are intermittent. Fortunately the original "bottle pump" is unused by our Autosal due to the external pump so this was attached when the flush pump failed midway through the cruise. It is still intermittent when starting.

### Scintillation Counter

The Mechanical test was carried out during this cruise and documentation was produced on how to carry it out. There was no scientific need for this system to be used.

# 8.2.4 Notes for Deck Systems

### Winch Counter

Repairs were carried out to one of the metering sheaves, which now works well. It should be noted that the frequency needs to be changed on the receive unit for the two different units (red and blue).

### XBT

Basic Stats			
Number Deployed	0	Number of Successful Casts	0
		Number of Failures	0

The XBT was setup at the start of the cruise but not needed due to synthetic SVP's and use of the CTD. At the end of the cruise it was left in place as it will be needed on JR18004 and has been tested.

### 8.2.5 Notes for Acoustic Systems used

# ADCP

Used on cruise with no issues, a small number of software crashed occurred but this is not unusual for the system.

### EM122

EM122 was used extensively on the cruise with an external operator, Kate doing the vast majority of the operation. The new dimensional survey was greatly appreciated and the system was pushed beyond what it has been with what appears to be good results. See her report for details

### Topas

Topas was used and started by following the keycards. Floyd, a ex-BAS data manager controlled and operated the system for the duration of the cruise. It ran as expected.

### EK60/80

EK80 was used by the Bangor university turbulence team and run opportunistically. It was started using the key cards and some assistance from IT. It ran as expected.

### EA600

EA600 is the bridge Ran all cruise and ran without issue, some data is unreliable due to passive receive from EM122 not being started or disabled at the correct time.

# 8.2.6 Notes about the Oceanlogger

The Oceanlogger ran without issue through the cruise, some doubt was cast on the data from some of the coves as conductivity appeared to be noisy. The data between coves was as expected and noise free. The noise in the coves was put down to fresh water from ice sheets and seawater mixing.

# 8.2.7 Notes about the CTD

Basic Stats			
Number Of Casts	39	Number of Successful Casts	37.5
Max Depth	3012	Min Depth	180
Cable Removed (m)	291m	Number of Re-terminations	3
		(elect.)	

### LADCP Cable Damage

Just after leaving FLK I noticed that the LADCP was not charging, the cause was found to be the LADCP cables closed in the cable gland hatch, despite me checking that the "safety"

wooden block was still there some time before. The cable damage was only to the "com 7" yellow line not the "Com 8" blue line as we are only using 1 LADCP I just switched cable. The Comms part of the yellow cable is working so only charging is affected.

# Retermination of CTD Cable

The CTD Cable Failed and needed to be re-terminated 3 times on the cruise. The first of these was nothing unusual, with the termination mealy failing because of flex and age. The second was needed due to a twist in the mechanical termination pulling on the pigtail, in the end causing an intermittent short in the pigtail itself. The third was needed due to a manufacturing fault in the cable.

In this third case the inner core was not central in the dielectric and after some assumed use and wear and tear through the rollers it was failing a mega test and communications failure. Cutting off 250m got past the issue and the CTD seems to be functioning well again.

# CTD Deployment Procedure

Prior to deployment all bottles are cocked and the deionised water is vented from the T/C sensors. Pre-deployment technical tests are carried out on the LADCP's and are logged. The LADCP is then activated and starts logging.

Once the Deck crew and winch operator are ready the CTD is lifted into the water and lowered to 10m, where power is started and logging begins. It is held here until the operator sees the difference between T1 and T2 stabilize. This can take some time, especially if the air temperature and sea temperature are far apart. In some circumstances, mainly turbulent surface waters it can be necessary to lower the CTD to 20m or further where the temperature is more stable, this is at the operator's discretion. Once stable, the CTD is lifted to as near to the surface as the winch op deems safe then is lowered to the required depth or bottom without stopping. The bottom depth is an approximation from the best echo sounder available, commonly the EM122. If bottom depth is required then the altimeter will start working from under 100m of the sea bed and is used to stop approximately 10m from the sea bed. From here some adjustment can be made to get closer, this is done at the operator's discretion. Once the down cast is complete bottles are fired at requested depths, in order, deepest first. When each bottle is fired 15 seconds are given to ensure that the independent standards thermometer has time to take a reading.

Once on the surface the CTD is returned to the vessel, the C/T sensors are filled with deionised water to avoid damage. All data is backed up as soon as possible.

Name	Purpose	Distance from Base of Frame	
		to sensor	
Altimeter	Distance to sea bed (max 100m)		
LADCP Master	Downward Facing LADCP		
Temp1/Temp2	Temperature at 24Hz		
Fluorimeter	Measures Florescence		

# Information about CTD configuration

0	Communications and		
9+	Pressure measurement		
C1/C2	Conductivity Cells		
Dissolved Oxygen	Oxygen in the Water		
Bottles Bottom End Cap	Water collection (24)		
Bottles Top End Cap	Water collection (24)		
Transmissomater	Measure of light transmitted		
Tansmissometer	through water		
SBF35 Ton	Accurate Temperature		
SBE35 TOP	sensor		
SBE35 Bottom	Accurate Temperature		
SBE35 Dottom	sensor		
Par	Radiation Sensor		

# 8.3 Additional work completed on cruise

### 8.3.1 Fuel Monitoring

The system for monitoring the ships fuel consumption had been having issues since refit, I was asked to assist due to knowledge of monitoring system and labview. After swapping around the fuel sensors so that the calibrations matched and then updating the calibrations the Chief Eng. was happy with the system.

### 8.3.2 Deep Tow Cable

In preparation for the next cruise some work needed to be carried out on "Deep Tow" or "Conducting" Cable. This mainly involved testing the continuity and sealing the end for a deep sea test. The cable seems electrically good although I have some worries about the attachment of the CTD to the end. Once the mechanical termination has been applied and load tested I will be happier with the situation.

### 8.3.3 Dave Barnes Camera

A sea ice camera was maintained on an island near Rothera. The field party changed the SD card but on photo recovery it was found that the screen wiper was not functioning as intentioned. After talking to David Barnes and Will Clarke (in Cambridge) a plan was made for short term repair. Using a spare from Cambridge to plan the repair. A long-term plan of; replacement, maintenance and running the site in a more "AME field site" style has been planned and submitted to Mike Rose.

# 8.3.4 Rothera Marine Lab

Assistance was provided to the marine lab who have a small seabird CTD. This appears to be under maintained especially when it comes to the details. Assistance was provided servicing O-rings, greasing connectors, looking at cables and generally providing TLC. If possible, it would be nice for AME to do this in the future when the skills to do so are in Rothera.

# 8.3.5 Rothera Cover

Due to a slow start to the field season, both the incoming and outgoing radar (AME) engineer where in the field simultaneously. To enable this I covered the science alarms for the day while the JCR was in Rothera.

# 8.4 AME Department notes

# 8.4.1 Pre-cruise tasks

Task	Status
Download AME_Eng/Platform_Specific/JCR	Y
Check cruise planning meeting notes	Y
Number of hours hand over with previous ships AME Engineer	40

# 8.4.2 Daily & weekly tasks

Task	Frequency	Status
Sanity check the Oceanlogger data	Daily	Y/N
Check the Following Fans:	Daily	
Oceanlogger Acoustic Rack Seapath EM122 (Tween)		Y/N
Topas (Tween)		
Mega test CTD cable	Weekly	Y/N
Clean Underway System	Weekly	Y/N

# 8.4.3 End of cruise checks

Task	Status		
XBT left in cage, in a suitable state	Ν		
The salinity bottles have been cleaned, if used	Y		
CTD left in suitable state - Ducts cleaned with Triton and deionised water,	V		
blanking plugs installed and system washed with water			
CTD Slip Ring have been cleaned	Y		
Office is tidy, with manuals and files returned and items stowed for sea	Y/N		
Clean the following fans:	V		
Oceanlogger Acoustic Rack Seapath EM122 (Tween) Topas (Tween)			
Scintillation Counter test Precedure	Y		

# 8.4.4 Items to be purchased

Item	Supplier	Quantity	Use
Additional CTD sea cable Pigtails.	Seabird	4	
Down to last one			

Marine Radio	1	1 lost overboard by AME Staff

# 9. AME Mechanical technical report

Bjørg Helen Apeland Gareth Flint

# 9.1 Multicorer

### Marian Cove

Multicorer deployed 27 times, 12 unsuccessful.

Most of these deployments were unsuccessful as the multicorer did not fire, or the cores were too few and too shallow. However, after calling the manufacturers they suggested completely removing the damper piston.

On inspection, some light scoring and dirt contamination was found on the piston sleeve, but the root cause of the failures has not been identified. Dialogue with the manufacturer is open and hopefully this can be resolved prior to the next multicore cruise.

After the piston was removed, nearly all deployments were successful. It is still however, very important to properly clean the Multicorer, especially the spring mechanism, and the top lid latch. It is also necessary to keep the firing spring in the correct position to keep it from catching on the bottom lid. Another item to keep an eye on is the firing latch (connected to the bungee cords) itself. It was discovered that these may move and completely overshoot the top lids, and hence not activating the firing mechanism.

# Börgen Bay

Deployed 15 times, 1 unsuccessful.

After the unsuccessful deployment wooden "skis" were fitted to the legs to prevent excessive sinking into the sea bed and allow the mechanism to fire. The skis stayed on for the remainder of deployments in Börgen Bay.

# Sheldon Cove

Deployed 17 times, 4 unsuccessful

Some sandy sediment in certain locations appeared to be preventing firing of the corer. The skis were removed. Additional weights and a faster descent could have helped but we were able to obtain the required number of cores without. It's also worth noting that a faster descent onto a firm seabed might have caused damage due to the absence of the damper piston.

On one deployment the rope became caught under one of the legs and the corer came out almost sideways. It was landed on the deck but one corer tube and one of the firing latches (attached to bungee) is bent. We have spares. Three firing latches seem to have moved and now foul the trigger when installing core holders. Needs checking & re-rigging and a thorough once-over to ensure everything else is ok.



On a few occasions the corer fired but the mechanisms weren't closing with enough force to create a good seal and samples were being lost. The sliding surfaces and spring cylinders were greased, this significantly improved the action of the corer and the retention of samples in core tubes. It also made the cores easier to remove. If the corer remains unmodified we need to take spray grease (Rocol Biogen) on its next cruise.

The firing mechanism can be easily tested on deck by craning the weight head onto a barrel (arm) and lifting it clear again (fire).

We lost three core holders in total. We were removing them each time to clean initially but it's not necessary and clearly introduces the risk of incorrect reinstallation .

The average number of cores throughout the cruise was less than 5, although with the piston removed and 'agreeable' sediment that increased to around 6 (of 9 by this point). It would be helpful to have an identification system so repeat failing ones could be identified and remedial action taken.

A rattle gun should be taken as part of the multicore kit to allow quicker adjustment of the feet, if required.

# **Cambridge Maintenance:**

- One of the legs is bent.
- Build suitable platform
- Develop removable "skis"
- Full inspection & torque of all fasteners.
- There's a lot of friction in the sliding mechanism, maybe it can be modified.
- Manufacturer recommends replacement of springs after 2 years.

# 9.2 Mini AGT

### Burdwood Bank

4 successful deployments.

### Sheldon Cove

6 successful deployments.

The tears in the rubber are advancing. The worst was stitched with whipping twine to prevent further tearing and this seems to have worked. Suggest packing twine, needle & awl in AGT spares box.

Some holes in both nets.

### **Cambridge Maintenance:**

- Fix holes in nets
- Give once over
- Several tears to rubber assess & repair/replace

# 9.3 Hamon Grab

### Marian Cove

Deployed 35 times, 10 unsuccessfully.

There was a discrepancy between the ea600 and the em122 leading to some failed deployments. Or the slack on the wire was not enough.

### Börgen Bay

Deployed 42 times, 2 unsuccessfully.

The wire was found to have a lot of twists in it which couldn't be removed & was replaced. A second rope got caught on the grab and a strand was broken, also replaced. There is one remaining spare.

The pulleys are not running smoothly.

# Sheldon Cove

Deployed 33 times, 2 unsuccessfully.

Wire rope replaced again. No spares remain and unable to make more as the JCR's swager isn't working.

# **Cambridge Maintenance:**

- Should receive an onceover upon return to Cambridge.
- More spare ropes, it might be worth assessing the use of Dyneema ropes over SWR.
- Check pulleys

# 9.4 N70 Plankton Net

# Marian Cove

Deployed 5 times successfully. Lost resting cradle for weight.

# Börgen Bay

Deployed 5 times successfully.

# Sheldon Cove

Deployed 9 times successfully.

The brass shackles were working loose, make sure to mouse them when assembling at the next cruise.

# **Cambridge Maintenance:**

- Replace resting cradle

# 9.5 Gravity Corer

From BGS, and came without correct deployment gear. Was deployed of the side gantry using the coring warp as main lifting point, and the 2T auxiliary winch as secondary lifting point. By lifting with both winches simultaneously we were able to lift the gravity corer horizontally, come forward on the gantry, lift the corer outboards, lower the 2T winch to slack, remove the 2T winch attachment point, and the lower the gravity corer vertically by the coring warp. The gravity corer was then deployed successfully.

The Deck Engineer has a method statement, to be added to AME OneDrive for future reference.

The hydraulic core tube extractor was functional but wasn't necessary.

# Marian Cove

Deployed 8 times, 1 unsuccessful. Failed due to rock blocking coring tube.

# Börgen Bay

Deployed 8 times, 5 unsuccessful.

# Sheldon Cove

Deployed 8 times, 1 poor core.

# 10. IT

David Hunter British Antarctic Survey

- Data is logged under the concept of a "*leg*" which is defined as a port-to-port voyage of the JCR.
- JR16004 data will be available on the BAS Storage Area Network (SAN) as leg 20170120.
- *legs* start or end at ports with customs, for example; Punta, Stanley and UK ports.
- A leg number is the date at which the leg was started in the format YYYYMMDD.

# **Data Collection Process**

- Most instruments are connected via serial links to the central collection point; the SCS server (JCR-SCSS1).
- The data is recorded in raw format (.RAW) and also sub-sampled to give a time delimited data file (.ACO). An indication of the variables and their units can be found in the (.TPL) files. This data is found under the /scs directory.
- Data from instruments which do not log directly to the SCS are also gathered in the legdata area such as CTD, ADCP, XBT, etc.
- A copy of all data collected under legdata is transferred to Cambridge and will be available forever. Please make requests via the Polar Data Centre or <u>helpdesk@bas.ac.uk</u>.

# **Significant Incident Log**

# Logistics & Prep 20181127

- <u>dahun</u> joined at 09:00L in Stanley, FI
- Worked from Stanley office

# 20181128

• Worked Stanley office

 Inbound MoD flight canx - tomorrow's departure changed from 20:00L to 16:00L

# 20181129

- Issues raised surrounding use of Office on arrival
  - Purser and others can open existing Excel files, but highlighting a cell and trying to up font size, for example, crashes the program.
  - Profile rebuild resolved.
- Deck Engineer laptop given to add drives for
  - Attempted but need credentials from Tom for his JCR domain account.
- Radio Officer was given an XP laptop to add to network but hasn't done so yet
  - Directed user to O365 for now.

# Transit 16:00L from FIPASS to HMNB East Cove 20181130

# Arrive HMNB East Cove 0800L

- Working through issues
  - Lab Manager couldn't print. Re-added printers from server and attempting to remove previous lab manager's email account.
  - Tried to change the Master's personal account password (tspa) but couldn't.
- Powerdown confirmed for 0830L tomorrow. Will shut down at 0800L
- Started newleg process up to powering equipment back up.

# 20181201

- 0600L prep for powerdown
- 0800L initiate powerdown of VM hosts and servers
- Assist AME with XBT
- 1000L power restored
- 1030L servers powering up
- 1130L newleg process complete will start collection process after lunch
- Delayed collection process until tomorrow when all available to test
- ChEng PC issue with Office different to Purser
  - Clicking to open a document flashes the document then reverts to just Excel with no document opened. Minimising that window and reopening the document opens it in that window. Having an xlsx open then opening another closes the open document and just opens blank Excel.
  - Suspected update. Shows updates for Office 2007 (*why is this even installed still?*) at time of failure. Will try System Restore.
  - 1830L ChEng PC finished System Restore and Office now working again as intended.
- 1900L started setting-up scientist laptops in Conference room.
  - Many with Macbooks do not have adapters now have a handful to loan
- 2200L finished most scientist laptops

# Week 1

# 20181202

- 0820L depart HMNB East Cove for Rothera.
- 0920L Lifeboat Drill
- 1005L begin startups for collection of underway data.
- 1100L startups of EA600, EM122, ADCP.
- 1300L found the adapters and distributed.

- Users unable to write to *legwork* again.
  - Fixed with *chown -R nobody:nobody* \* on
    - jrlb/data/cruise/jcr/current/work
- EA600 seems to be logging but not feeding to SCS monitor.

# 20181203

- 0300L deep CTD test (3000m) OK
- Handful more laptops brought to set-up this morning.

# 20181204

- Connected Purser's PC to JRsafety AMS account
- Issue reported around not being able to connect one of their PC's to workdrives in the UIC using the pstar account
  - Had to change many Symlinks and edit some of the scripts.

# 20181205

- Called to bridge as all Eventlogs had failed
  - Upon inspection all Apache had failed on jrlb
  - service apache restart resolved.

# 20181206

# 20181207

- Set-up EK80 as requested ahead of Marian Cove survey
  - Scientists have a previous paper they need to compare results to, which used an EK80

# 2100L arrive MARIAN COVE, KING GEORGE ISLAND 20181208

- Positive feedback on EK80 results
- Working with <u>David Goodger</u> on EA600 not repeating to NavMet.
  - EA600 can be seen logging to the correct folders as required. SCS and NavMet however refuse to acknowledge their existence.

# Week 2

# 20181209

• EK80 saying U: is full even though there is >1TB free. For reliability the scientists would like to use an external HDD, which I have provided temporarily.

# 20181210

• ADCP crashed. Powered-down and started again OK from scratch.

# 20181211

- Restarted EA600 again but still no NavMet/SCS output
- EA600-SCS fixed
  - COM4 output on EA600 was set to 9600 but COM9 receiving on SCS is expecting 4800 BAUD
- Sophos started blocking Firefox and Adobe Reader. Suspect changes in Cambridge as this is on PC's that seem to have had the licensing fixed.
  - Cambridge known issue and fix being pushed-out

# ~1900L depart MARIAN COVE

# 20181212

# ~1200L arrive BÖRGEN BAY, ANVERS ISLAND

- Sophos still blocking called in by Master as stopping critical business now
  - Have changed Sophos settings myself now. Firefox Quantum browser is in an app control list

- All the DPREP (Public UIC) PC's and the Lab Manager's laptop are sapping all useable bandwidth downloading updates from Windows Update, not WSUS
  - Forcing WSUS in local group policy seemingly doesn't have any effect
  - Lab Manager's PC isn't on the domain
- EK80 still very unstable

20181213

20181214

20181215

Week 3

20181216

# 20181217

# ~1630L arrive ROTHERA

20181218

- Rothera Layover
  - Went to assist <u>Martin Steel</u> but due to arriving earlier he was working Deep Field.

# 20181219

- Rothera Layover
  - Assist vessel cargo and assist Martin Steel for a little while in stores

# 20181220

# ~0830L depart ROTHERA 20181221

- ~0600 no DHCP
  - Upon inspection all SAN storage had failed again. VMware host reboots fixed. SCS collection restarted.

# 20181222

- ~2030 Profiler PC lost mountings during the storage failure. Scripts won't read data files properly - truncating at time of failure despite further rows in the same file
  - Remounted path. xinetd restart didn't help truncation issue. Will ask Andy/Jeremy.

# Week 4

# 20181223

- ~1300 fire in an Upper Deck cabin
  - Suppressed no further details

# 20181224

# • Rothera Dropoff

- 20181225
- Science completed so shut down SCS logging and transducers **20181226**

# ~0800L arrive PALMER, ANVERS ISLAND

Engaged with USAP Comms and AME counterparts

# ~1600L depart PALMER, ANVERS ISLAND

- Science NOT over! Restarted logging and equipment back on!
  20181227
  - Combined Office printers remapped for Doctor
  - Combined Office PC screen misbehaving cable was loose.

# 20181228

• Bridge Microplot not loading new license or charts for Punta/TdF

- Fixed license old license was being read because files weren't in root of USB
- Chief Mate in contact with Admiralty/UKHO over charts not loading, as I checked disks and chart isn't there
- Admiralty confirm charts were withdrawn so ascertaining next steps for navigation
- Deleted duff logs from Eventlog

# 20181229

• Assist George with EK80 PC to get some screenshots off

- Week 5
- 20181230
- 20181231

Shore transfer 1200L

~2200L arrive PUNTA ARENAS

# 11. Appendix

### A1.1 EM122 System Setup and Calibration

Installation and runtime parameters can be found in the document 'EM122 Settings 2 Dec.rtf' within the 'scientific work areas / EM122' folder and are available on request from the UK Polar Data Centre (polardatacentre@bas.ac.uk).

Initial set-up of EM122 followed the process outlined in the document 'Using EM122 Multibeam on an Opportunistic Basis v3.3' provided on the JCR. A Basic Installation Self-Test (BIST) was conducted in SIS on system start up and no errors were identified. The system appeared in good health with the exception of the stave display which showed staves 60 and 97 as having reduced signal level (Figure A1.1). This had no apparent effect on data acquisition.



Figure A1.1 SIS Stave display showing 2 receive staves with reduced signal level (vertical blue lines)

It was not possible to conduct a static validation or patch test during the period owing to tight time constraints and lack of a suitable seabed target. The initial multibeam work at Burdwood Bank produced a number of lines of various orientation which were used to confirm no obvious pitch, roll or latency issues.

A value for the MRU to waterline offset was calculated from vessel's midships draft marks and input in SIS installation parameters (see *Figure A1.2 and Table A1.1*). All other values for offsets were checked against the vessel's latest reference frame survey<sup>5</sup>. Calibration certificates for all systems can be found in the JR18003 'Legwork' folder and are also available on board. JCR's last Dimension control survey in Harwich, UK, 15-17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Oceanfix International RRS James Clark Ross, Gyro & MRU Calibration, DGNSS Verification and Offset Survey Report, 15-

<sup>17</sup> September 2018

September 2018, included gyro and MRU calibration, DGNSS verification, vessel reference frame survey and static validation.



Figure A1.2 MRU to waterline calculation on departure from ECMP.

waterline corrections		
Occurrence	Draft Fwd / Midships / Aft (m)	Correction
		Applied
Sailing from ECMP	5.88 / 6.33 / 7.08	0.775m
		(downwards)
Sailing from Rothera after cargo	5.28 / 5.90 / 6.56	1.205m
offload		(downwards)
	waterline corrections Occurrence Sailing from ECMP Sailing from Rothera after cargo offload	waterline correctionsOccurrenceDraft Fwd / Midships / Aft (m)Sailing from ECMP5.88 / 6.33 / 7.08Sailing from Rothera after cargo offload5.28 / 5.90 / 6.56

### A1.2 Position Data

Seapath 320 GNSS NMEA data were logged to the JCRs computer system (SCS) and input to SIS during data collection. The position output is the standard GNSS service with no differential corrections outside of the standard Northern Hemisphere EGNOS<sup>6</sup> and WAAS<sup>7</sup> service areas. Standard GNSS position was logged during all MBES operations but it is intended the position be refined using Real Time Kinematic (RTK) post processing in Marian Cove, Börgen Bay and Sheldon Glacier to improve horizontal and vertical accuracy in these shallow water locations. To facilitate this, and following advice from Kongsberg Maritime (KM), the Seapath raw position data was logged as Telegram Output messages for post-processing against RINEX data from nearby IGS stations (*Table A5.1.2*). Instructions on how to log raw Seapath data has been saved in the JCR AME Wiki webpage. Seapath Raw data were exported as \*.I15, \*.I14 and \*.I16 Telegram outputs (R24,R25, R26 Seapath Proprietary formats, RTCM v3 messages and IMU Raw data). RTCM data were then converted using the Kongsberg 'rinexconverter 2.00.00' tool and post processed using the RTKLib 'RTKPost' tool (Kinematic setting). IGS

observation data (\*.18o file for each IGS station) and navigation files (brbc \*.18n) were obtained from the NASA Space Based Geodesy website: CDDIS<sup>8</sup>. RTK processed data will be converted to binary or SBET format for use in Qimera processing software to refine the vessel's horizontal accuracy and build a GPS Tide model.

Although it is possible to download SBAS real time corrections via the internet from the European Space Agency, this method was least preferred owing to spatial decorrelation from the WAAS and EGNOS correction service areas.

Table A1 2	GNSS observation	files used	for	nast	nrocessina
TUDIE AL.Z.	GIV55 ODSELVULION	lies asea	וטן	posi	processing

Area	IGS Reference Station	Period of observation	f Seapath Raw files	Converted Obs files	Daily GNSS Navigation files	IGS Reference Station files (rapid ephemeris)
Marian Cove	OHI3 (O'Higgins)	JD344-JD345	27	27	2	2
Börgen Bay	PALM (Palmer)	JD346-JD350	90	90	5	5
Sheldon Glacier	ROTH (Rothera)	JD351-JD358	166	166	8	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> <u>https://www.gsa.europa.eu/egnos/services</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>https://www.faa.gov/about/office\_org/headquarters\_offices/ato/service\_units/techops/navservices/gnss/waas/</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> https://cddis.nasa.gov/Data\_and\_Derived\_Products/GNSS/broadcast\_ephemeris\_data.html

### A1.3 Tides

The small tidal range in all areas enabled rapid initial MBES processing without application of tidal corrections to support cruise scientific activity.

Post-processing will make use of RTK GNSS data to generate GPS tide corrections using separation values provided by the UKHO as shown in table A1.3.

Location	Position	ITRF to CD separation (m)	ITRF to MSL separation (m)	ITRF to EGM08 separation (m)	Uncertainty (m)
Börgen Bay (Anvers Island)	64° 44′·687 S 63° 28′·472 W	+13.8695	+14.9466	+18.0710	±0.2618
Marian Cove (S. Shetland Islands)	62° 13'.000 S 58° 48'.000 W	+19.8628	+21.2087	+21.7870	±0.2621
Sheldon Glacier (Adelaide Island)	67° 33'·212 S 68° 18'·796 W	+6.0618	+7.0625	+8.5030	±0.2623

Table A1.3 UKHO Provided separation values for GPS tide.

Seapath raw data was logged alongside Rothera to obtain values for a GPS tide validation against the Rothera Tide Gauge. Periods suitable for use were confined to those outside of stores transfer and fuelling activity to avoid draught changes and are shown in table A1.4.

Table A1.4. Periods alongside Rothera identified as suitable for GPS Tide Validation.

	5		
Start Time (UTC)	End Time (UTC)	Draught	Comments
17/12/18 1942	18/12/18 1130	6.00m	Taken from boat readings
18/12/18 2240	19/12/18 1100	Not Known	Draught readings not available
19/12/18 2100	20/12/18 1130	5.90m	Alongside measurements

Tide gauge data were downloaded<sup>9</sup> from the stations summarised in *Table A1.5* and will be used if the GNSS tide validation is not successful.

#### Table A1.5 Tidal stations (if GPS Tide not achieved):

Station	IOC ID	Туре	Logging Interval
Roth (Sheldon Glacier)	ID: 342	Radar	1 min
Vern (Börgen Bay)	ID: 188	Pressure	1 min
Prat3 (Marian Cove)	ID: 189	Pressure / Radar	1 min

Photos of the tide gauge at Rothera show it to be in good condition and protected from the elements and ice. It appears to be in similar condition to when Kate last visited with HMS Protector in Spring 2017. The gauges at Vernadsky and Prat were not accessed during this cruise.

Email contact with South Korean Base (King Sejong) in Marian Cove confirmed the presence of a tidal station and Differential GNSS station at the base. A request was made via email for the data covering the survey period and is currently ongoing.

#### A1.4 Sound Velocity

CTD casts performed routinely as part of the scientific cruise plan were used to obtain SVPs and were preferred to XBT generated SVPs for cost and environmental reasons. It is intended to post process sound velocity spatially during processing in Qimera using CTD data as little temporal variation was noticed. During passage synthetic SVPs were applied due to time and budget constraints. These SVPs were generated using HydrOffice Sound Speed Manager software following the procedure outlined in JR17004's cruise report.

The 'sound speed at the transducer' value in SIS runtime parameters was set to 'sensor' and updated at 5 second intervals with sound velocity values taken from the vessel's uncontaminated seawater. This was achieved by running the python script from the documents folder on the SIS terminal in Windows Powershell using the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> http://www.ioc-sealevelmonitoring.org/

command: "python .\JCR\_c\_keel.py" following the procedure outlined in JR17004's cruise report. The sound velocity was monitored during data collection for differences greater than 3 ms<sup>-1</sup> between the SV profile and SV in use. A list of sound velocity (\*.asvp) files is shown in table A1.6.

Filename	Date and Time (UTC)	Project
20181202_1501_WOA09.asvp	2/12/18 1501	jr18003_a
20181202_2301_WOA09.asvp	2/12/18 2301	jr18003_a
JR18003_001.asvp	3/12/18 1027	jr18003_a
JR18003_002.asvp	4/12/18 1103	jr18003_a
20181204_1139_WOA09.asvp	4/12/18 1139	jr18003_a
20181205_0100_WOA09.asvp	5/12/18 0100	jr18003_a
WOA09_20181206081341.asvp	6/12/18 0813	jr18003_b
20181206_0910_WOA09.asvp	6/12/18 0910	jr18003_b
20181206_1927_WOA09.asvp	6/12/18 1927	jr18003_b
20181207-044941-WOA09.asvp	7/12/18 0449	jr18003_b
20181207_1700_WOA09.asvp	7/12/18 1700	jr18003_b
20181207_2359_WOA09.asvp	7/12/18 2359	jr18003_b
JR18003_003.asvp	8/12/18 0359	jr18003_c
JR18003_004.asvp	8/12/18 0625	jr18003_c
JR18003_005.asvp	8/12/18 0757	jr18003_c
JR18003_006.asvp	8/12/18 0956	jr18003_c
JR18003_007.asvp	8/12/18 1130	jr18003_c
JR18003_008.asvp	8/12/18 1243	jr18003_c
JR18003_009.asvp	8/12/18 2227	jr18003_c
JR18003_010.asvp	8/12/18 2344	jr18003_c
JR18003_011.asvp	9/12/18 0215	jr18003_c
JR18003_012.asvp	9/12/18 0341	jr18003_c
JR18003_013.asvp	9/12/18 0708	jr18003_c
JR18003_014.asvp	9/12/18 0835	jr18003_c
JR18003_015.asvp	10/12/18 1111	jr18003_c
JR18003_016.asvp	10/12/18 1636	jr18003_c
JR18003_017.asvp	10/12/18 1711	jr18003_c
20181212-0718_WOA09.asvp	12/12/18 0718	jr18003_d
JR18003_018.asvp	12/12/18 1817	jr18003_e
JR18003_019.asvp	13/12/18 1609	jr18003_e
JR18003_020.asvp	13/12/18 1809	jr18003_e
JR18003_021.asvp	13/12/18 1925	jr18003_e
JR18003_022.asvp	13/12/18 2057	jr18003_e
JR18003_023.asvp	13/12/18 2237	jr18003_e
JR18003_024.asvp	13/12/18 2359	jr18003_e
JR18003_025.asvp	14/12/18 0037	jr18003_e
JR18003_026.asvp	14/12/18 0112	jr18003_e
20181217-0813_WOA09.asvp	17/12/18 0813	jr18003_t
JR18003_027.asvp	20/12/18 1323	jr18003_g
JR18003_028.asvp	20/12/18 1750	jr18003_g
JR18003_029.asvp	20/12/18 1926	jr18003_g
JR18003_030.asvp	20/12/18 2043	jr18003_g
JR18003_031.asvp	20/12/18 2203	jr18003_g
JR18003_032.asvp	20/12/18 2306	Jr18003_g
JK18003_035.asvp	21/12/18 0030	Jr18003_g
JK18003_035.asvp	21/12/18 1353	JF18003_g
JK18003_037 avvi	21/12/18 1453	Jr18003_g
JR18003_037.asvp	21/12/18 1620	Jr18003_g
JK18003_030 asve	21/12/18 1/43	Jr18003_g
JKT8003_039.92vb	24/12/18 0236	Jr18003_g
20181227-2142_WOA09.asvp	27/12/18 2142	jr18003_h

#### A1.5 MBES Processing

Raw Kongsberg (.all) files were imported into QPS Qimera and Fledermaus software and an initial rough edit performed to remove gross error. Combined Uncertainty Bathymetric Estimate (CUBE) and manual editing methods were used to remove systematic noise and random errors. Tide and GNSS solutions were not applied during the cruise owing to IGS data delays and time constraints and will be processed later with a more thorough edit.

An initial appraisal of each area was conducted to identify medium scale changes from the 2017 ICEBERGS cruise. Some minor potential changes were noted but cannot be confirmed until more robust processing has been achieved.

The Geocoder tool within QPS FMGT software was used to perform Angular Range Analysis on MBES backscatter data. The products show variability broadly in line with that expected but further analysis incorporating seabed sample particle-size analysis will enable calibration of the vessel's beam pattern correction and a more accurate assessment of sediment characterisation of the seabed.

Outputs for each survey area are shown in table A1.7. QPS iView4D Free Software can be used to view QPS files.

Table A1.7 Survey Data Outputs for JR18003 – Processed Projects

Survey	Area	Projection	QPS Project	Products	Comments
JR18003 a	Burdwood	WGS84	Burdwood	78 x .all files (Raw)	Full product list:
_	Bank	World	Bank	6 x ASVP files	scientific_work_area
		Mercator		QPS Dynamic Surfaces:	folder/EM122/Burdwood
				- Burdwood_Bank 25m	Bank EM122 Products
				- Burdwood_Bank 50m	list.docx
				<ul> <li>Burdwood_CUBE_50m</li> </ul>	
				BAG files:	No Tide / SV / or GNSS post
				- JR18003_a_100m	processing conducted.
				- JR18003_a_50m	
				- JR18003_a_50m_CUBE	
				ASCII files:	
				<ul> <li>JR18003_a_AcceptedSoundings WGS84.xyz</li> </ul>	
				- JR18003 a RejectedSoundings	
				WGS84	
				- JR18003 a CUBE 50m.xyz	
				QPS Scene files:	
				- ARA.scene	
				Images:	
				Burdwood Bank.tif	
				Burdwood Bank Coverage.tif	
				JR18003_a_Area Stats.jpg	
				JR18003_a_overview.jpg	
				JR18003_a_overview3D.jpg	
				Video files:	
				Burdwood_Bank_001.wmv	
				Burdwood_Bank_002.wmv	
JR18003_c	Marian	WGS 84	Marian Cove	18 x .all files (Raw)	Cube 2m and 5m grids
	Cove	UTM Zone	2018	15 x ASVP files	produced. Edited iaw MCA
		215		QPS Dynamic Surfaces:	SOP using manual removal
				- Marian Cove_5m	of soundings and update of
				BAG files:	CUBE surface.
				<ul> <li>JR18003_c_5m.bag</li> </ul>	
				ASCII files:	No Tide / SV / or GNSS post
				<ul> <li>JR18003_c_AcceptedSoundings_ WGS84.xyz</li> </ul>	processing conducted during cruise.
				- JR18003_c_RejectedSoundings_	Ū
				- Marian Cove Em yuz	
				OPS Scone files:	
				- Marian Cove scene	
				- Marian Cove.scene	

						Marian Cove_5m.tif Marian Cove Mosaic.tif Marian Cove Mosaic 2.tif	
JR18003_e	Börgen Bay	WGS UTM 20S	84 Zone	Börgen 2018	Bay	<ul> <li>77 x .all files (Raw)</li> <li>9 x ASVP files</li> <li>QPS Dynamic Surfaces: <ul> <li>Börgen Bay CUBE 5m</li> </ul> </li> <li>BAG files: <ul> <li>JR18003_e_5m_CUBE.bag</li> </ul> </li> <li>ASCII files: <ul> <li>JR18003_e_AcceptedSoundings</li> <li>_WGS84.xyz</li> </ul> </li> <li>JR18003_e_RejectedSoundings_</li> <li>WGS84</li> <li>Börgen_Bay_5m_CUBE.xyz</li> </ul> <li>QPS Scene files: <ul> <li>Börgen_Bay.scene</li> <li>Images:</li> <li>Börgen Bay Overview.tif</li> </ul> </li>	CUBE 5m grid produced. Edited with Very Weak Spline filter and manually checked to ensure features preserved. No Tide / SV / or GNSS post processing conducted during cruise.
JR18003_g	Sheldon Glacier	WGS UTM 19S	84 Zone	Sheldon 20	018	<ul> <li>68 x .all files (Raw)</li> <li>12 x ASVP files</li> <li>QPS Dynamic Surfaces: <ul> <li>Sheldon_10m_working</li> <li>Sheldon_5m_working</li> </ul> </li> <li>BAG files: <ul> <li>JR18003_g_10m.bag</li> </ul> </li> <li>ASCII files: <ul> <li>JR18003_g_AcceptedSoundings _WGS84.xyz</li> </ul> </li> <li>JR18003_g_RejectedSoundings_WGS84</li> <li>Sheldon_10m_CUBE.xyz</li> </ul> <li>QPS Scene files: <ul> <li>Sheldon.scene</li> <li>Images:</li> </ul> </li>	CUBE 5m grid produced. Edited with Weak Spline filter and manually checked to ensure features preserved. No Tide / SV / or GNSS post processing conducted during cruise.

Images.

74 x supporting photos

Opportunistic sounding conducted between survey sites was not processed onboard. This will be rendered in raw data format to BAS as outlined in table A1.8.

Survey Name	Start	End	Projection	Description	Number of
	(UTC)	(UTC)			RAW files (.all)
jr18003_b	5/12/18	10/12/18	WGS84 World	Transit from Burdwood Bank to	55
	1846	1123	Mercator	Marian Cove	
jr18003_d	11/12/18	12/12/18	WGS84 World	Transit from Marian Cove to	21
	2047	1508	Mercator	Börgen Bay	
jr18003_f	16/12/18	17/12/18	WGS84 World	Transit from Börgen Bay to	31
	0722	1903	Mercator	Rothera	
Jr18003_h	24/12/18	28/12/18	WGS84 World	Transit from Rothera to edge of	36
	1851	0139	Mercator	Argentinian EEZ	
				Including Interim Seamount	

Table A1.8 Unprocessed MBES Transit data from JR18003

### A1.6 Supporting photographs

74 photographs were taken at Sheldon Glacier to provide supporting evidence for the apparent floating ice front. Details are shown in table A1.9 below.

Table A1.9 Sheldon Glacier Supporting Photos									
Ship Position		Date / Time		Bearing	File Number	Comments			
Latitude	Longitude								
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	310° - 333° True	NEF 8254 - 8269	Floating Ice Edge panorama (bearing 310-333)			
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	310° - 333° True	NEF 8270 - 8286	Floating Ice Edge 2nd panorama			
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	310° - 333° True	NEF 8287 - 8292	Floating Ice Edge Overview			
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	345° - 003° True	NEF 8293 - 8304	Other Ice Feature panorama			
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	003° – 025° True	NEF 8305 - 8324	Panorama continued right			
67°32′.34S	068° 15′.83W	24/12/18 1125 (0825 Local)	UTC	345° - 003° True	NEF 8325 - 8327	Panorama Overview of other Ice Feature			

#### A1.7 Equipment Issues

Very few issues were encountered with the ship's survey systems. A list of problems is shown in table A1.10.

Table A1.10 Problems encountered with EM122 acquisition software

			<b>,</b>	
	Date (UTC)	Issue	Resolution	Observer
	12/12/2018	SIS not able to grid data (Make xyz: Problem transferring data to Grid Engine. Input Queue Full (224))	Too much data stored. Created new survey prior to Börgen Bay and ensured project cell size was not set too small.	Kate/Floyd
	14/12/2018 12:21	Seapath HMI Crash	System Restarted	Kate
	20/12/2018 08:00	SIS EM122 not pinging	System Restarted	Kate/Floyd

Standard GNSS position data was not deemed accurate enough for the shallow water work in Börgen Bay and Marian Cove. Kongsberg Maritime were engaged and provided assistance instructing as to the use of Seapath to log raw GNSS data that could be post processed using IGS data. Standard GNSS solutions were used for deep water survey of Burdwood Bank and Passage Sounding.

#### A1.8 Conflicts with Other Systems

JCR's Kongsberg KSYNC system was used to avoid interference with other underwater acoustic systems by deconflicting transmissions. Despite this, interference was stilled noted between EM122 and the EA600 and TOPAS sensors. EA600 was switched to passive mode whenever sounding with MBES to avoid this. TOPAS lines were run as separate lines to the MBES survey as to ensure sufficient data density. No interference was observed with the EK80 or ADCP which were used extensively throughout the cruise.

#### A1.9 Software and versions

Seapath 320-5+ Product version 1.10.00 Processing SW version 4.15.02

GNSS Antenna 1 Type Novatel OEMV Firmware L1G DES10319106V1GV-1.03-TT 3.907 3.010 2015/May/27 16:29:46

GNSS Antenna 2 Type Novatel OEMV GNSS 2 Firmware L1G DES10160010V1GV-1.02-TT 3.907 3.010 2015/May/27 16:29:46

Kongsberg Seafloor Information System (SIS) Version 4.1.3 Build 14

Qimera Version 1.6.0 64 bit edition

Fledermaus Version 7.8.0 64 bit edition

FMGeocoder Toolbox Version 7.8.0 64 bit edition

Kongsberg RINEXCONVERTER Version 2.00.00